Dynamical and chemical properties of the “starless” core L1014


1 Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
2 Università degli Studi di Firenze, Dipartimento di Astronomia e Scienza dello Spazio, Largo E. Fermi 5, 50125 Firenze, Italy
e-mail: crapsi@arcetri.astro.it
3 University of Texas at Austin, 1 University Station C1400, Austin, TX 78712-0259, USA
4 Leiden Observatory, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands
5 Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie (MPIfR), Bonn, Germany
6 Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute, 61-1 Hwaam-dong, Yusung-gu, Daejon 305-348, Korea
7 National Radio Astronomical Observatory, PO Box 0, Socorro, NM 87801, USA

Received 22 November 2004 / Accepted 28 January 2005

Abstract. Spitzer Space Telescope observations of a point-like source, L1014-IRS, close to the dust peak of the low-mass dense core L1014, have raised questions about its starless nature. These show the presence of an object with colors expected for an embedded protostar with the implication that L1014-IRS would be the lowest luminosity isolated protostar known, and an ideal target with which to test star formation theories at the low mass end.

In order to study its molecular content and to search for the presence of a molecular outflow, we mapped L1014 in at least one transition of $^{13}$CO, N$_2$H$^+$, HCO$^+$, CS, and of their isotopologues $^{12}$CO, C$^{18}$O, C$^{17}$O, N$_2$D$^+$, and H$^{13}$CO$^+$, using the Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory (FCRAO), the IRAM 30 m antenna, and the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory (CSO). The data show physical and chemical properties in L1014 typical of moderately evolved dense cores: i.e. H$_2$ central density of a few 10$^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$, estimated mass of $\sim 2 M_\odot$, CO integrated depletion factor less than 10, $N$(N$_2$H$^+)/(N$(H$^+)$) $\approx 6 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-2}$, $N$(N$_2$D$^+)/(N$(N$_2$H$^+)$) equal to 10%, and relatively broad N$_2$H$^+$ and N$_2$D$^+$ lines (0.35 km s$^{-1}$). Infall signatures and significant velocity shifts between optically thick and optically thin tracers are not observed in the line profiles.

No classical signatures of molecular outflow are found in the $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO FCRAO observations. In particular, no high velocity wings are found, and no well-defined blue-red lobes of $^{12}$CO emission are seen in the channel maps. Sensitive, higher resolution observations will clarify the presence of a molecular outflow on a smaller scale than that probed by our observations.
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1. Introduction

Starless cores are cold (~10–20 K) and dense (>10$^4$ cm$^{-3}$) condensations of gas and dust in which no sign of a central protostellar object has been found. Previously, the easiest way to determine if dust emission was associated with a protostellar object was to search for a source emitting near-infrared wavelengths in the IRAS catalogue (see Beichman et al. 1986). This technique was obviously limited by the IRAS sensitivity and angular resolution.

A good example of this limitation is represented by IRAM 04191. Although André et al. (1999) found a clear sign of a class 0 object represented by a collimated bipolar outflow departing from the core peak, IRAS does not show a point source towards the dust emission peak. Moreover, the CS line they observed towards the nucleus confirmed star formation activity showing a clear double peaked profile with the blue peak brighter than the red one. This spectral asymmetry has been recognized as an indicator of systematic inward motion (Snell & Loren 1977; Zhou 1992; Tafalla et al. 1998; Lee et al. 1999).

In the same fashion, we present here the case of L1014. This core, listed as an opacity class 6 object in the Lynds (1962) catalogue, lies ~0:25 below the galactic plane, has a line of sight velocity of ~4 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the local standard of rest, and is projected just 10’ south of another dark globule B362$^1$. These cores can be seen in the Digital Sky Survey optical image presented in Fig. 1, where we have overlaid visual extinction contours derived from near-infrared color excesses of background stars listed in the 2MASS catalog using the NICE technique (e.g. Lada et al. 1994; Alves et al. 1998).

---

1 We note that B362 and L1014 were called “L1014-1” and “L1014-2” in Lee & Myers (1999). In the present paper we keep the original names as in Barnard et al. (1927) and Lynds (1962).
L1014 was included in a survey for infall asymmetry in starless cores performed while observing CS(2−1) and N$_2$H$^+$(1−0) (Lee et al. 1999); given the weak detection for CS, no N$_2$H$^+$ observations were attempted. The dust continuum from L1014 was first detected at 260 $\mu$m using the NASA Kuiper Airborne Observatory (KAO, Keene 1981). Subsequently an 850 $\mu$m emission map (Visser et al. 2001) taken with SCUBA showed a dust emission peak at (21$^h$24$^m$07$^s$, 49$^\circ$59$'$02$''$, J2000) coinciding with the visual extinction peak. These authors then performed an unsuccessful search for high velocity gas, observing $^{12}$CO(2−1) at five points around the dust peak with a sensitivity of 0.3 K km s$^{-1}$ in a 0.2 km s$^{-1}$ channel.

The Spitzer Space Telescope (hereafter Spitzer) observed L1014 in December 2003 as part of the Legacy program “From Molecular Cores to Planet Forming Disks” (Evans et al. 2003). Surprisingly, a strong (81.8 ± 16 mJy) point source was detected with MIPS at 24 $\mu$m towards the center of L1014 (Young et al. 2004), coinciding with both the 850 $\mu$m peak (Visser et al. 2001) and 1.2 mm peak (Young et al. 2004; Kauffmann et al., in preparation). This object, referred to as L1014-IRS, is also clearly detected in each of the 4 IRAC bands (3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0 $\mu$m) and at 70 $\mu$m with MIPS; and, most importantly, it shows a Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) compatible with an embedded protostar that has an effective temperature of 700 ±300 K according to the IRAC data at $\lambda \leq$ 8 $\mu$m.
Table 1. FCRAO settings and parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>HPBW</th>
<th>TSYS</th>
<th>∆vres</th>
<th>Vel. cov.</th>
<th>Mapped region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$H$^+$ (1−0)</td>
<td>93.1737725</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>−94 → 57</td>
<td>5′ × 5′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS(2−1)</td>
<td>97.980953</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>−90 → 55</td>
<td>5′ × 5′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$^{18}$O(1−0)</td>
<td>109.782173</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>−60 → 70</td>
<td>5′ × 5′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$CO (1−0)</td>
<td>110.201370</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>−81 → 45</td>
<td>20′ × 32'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$^{17}$O(1−0)</td>
<td>115.271203</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>−78 → 43</td>
<td>20′ × 32'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Column (2) line rest frequency (GHz); Col. (3) Half Power Beam Width ("); Col. (4) System Temperature (K, main beam scale); Col. (5) Channel Spacing (km s$^{-1}$); Col. (6) Usable velocity coverage (km s$^{-1}$); Col. (7) RA and Dec extension of the mapped region.

Considering that L1014-IRS is the only source visible at 70 μm in the 5′ × 5′ field observed with the Spitzer and that L1014 is the only starless core present in the same region, Young et al. (2004) concluded that a chance alignment of the two sources was unlikely although not impossible. Naïvely, dividing the Spitzer field of view into squared cells with a side of 30′, the chance probability of having both L1014 and L1014-IRS in the same cell would be 1%. Although we will consider the chance alignment as an alternative explanation, we will devote more discussion to the hypothesis of association since the weight of the evidence supports it. Assuming a distance of 200 pc to the core, Young et al. (2004) determine a luminosity of 0.09 $L_\odot$ for L1014-IRS. From the bolometric temperature ($T_{bol}$ = 50 K) and the ratio between bolometric and submillimeter luminosity ($L_{bol}/L_{mm}$ = 20), Young et al. (2004) classified L1014-IRS as a class 0 protostar (see André 1994, for a review of class 0 properties).

Outflows are a ubiquitous tracer of protostellar activity (André 1994; Richer et al. 2000); and so, in an attempt to support the classification of L1014-IRS as a class 0 protostar, we deepened the search for high velocity $^{13}$CO with a better combination of resolution and sensitivity than in past searches. We report here observations of $^{13}$CO, $^{12}$CO, $^{13}$CO, C$^{18}$O, C$^{17}$O, CS, N$_2$H$^+$, N$_2$D$^+$, HCO$^+$, and H$^{13}$CO$^+$ aimed at surveying the molecular content of L1014 and at studying its kinematical properties.

2. Observations

Observations were performed during March and April 2004 using the 32-pixel SEQUOIA focal plane array mounted on the Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory (FCRAO) 14 m telescope. We mapped L1014 in CO(1−0), $^{13}$CO(1−0), C$^{18}$O(1−0), N$_2$H$^+$ (1−0), and CS(2−1) using the on-the-fly position switching mode. Adopted frequencies, telescope half power beam widths, system temperatures, channel spacings, and the size of the mapped area are given in Table 1. Temperatures were converted to the main beam brightness scale according to the efficiencies tabulated in the FCRAO web page. Data were reduced and convolved onto a 20″ spaced grid (circa Nyquist sampling) using the otftool software (Heyer et al. 2001) available at FCRAO and then analysed using CLASS, the spectral line analysis software of IRAM and Observatoire de Grenoble (see Buisson et al. 2002, for a manual). Angular offsets throughout this paper are relative to the 1.2-mm continuum peak position (21h24m07.5, 49°59′05″, J2000), which is 4″ south of L1014-IRS position.

Complementary higher-resolution observations of N$_2$H$^+$ (1−0), (3−2), N$_2$D$^+$ (1−0), (2−1), (3−2), and C$^{17}$O(1−0) were obtained in August 2004 using the IRAM-30 m telescope. The observations consisted of a 5-pointings cross spaced by 20″ around the 1.2-mm peak position for N$_2$H$^+$ (1−0), N$_2$D$^+$ (1−0), and N$_2$D$^+$ (2−1), while N$_2$H$^+$ (3−2) and N$_2$D$^+$ (2−1) were observed only at the (0,0), (20,0), and (−20,0), and C$^{17}$O (1−0) observed only at the peak position. These data were taken in frequency switching mode using the facility autocorrelator as the backend. Information about the telescope parameters (channel spacing, HPBW, system temperatures) are reported in Table 2. Intensities were converted in the main beam brightness scale using the efficiencies reported on the IRAM web site.

Finally, we used the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory in August 2004 to obtain HCO$^+$ (3−2), H$^{13}$CO$^+$ (3−2), and HCN(3−2) spectra towards the central position, and a small map in C$^{18}$O(2−1) and C$^{17}$O(2−1). Spectra were obtained in position switching mode. Although the single pointing observations were performed 6″ south of L1014-IRS, the ~30″ beam allows us to consider them as relative to the infrared source position. The conversion factor to the main beam temperature ($T_{mb}$, $T_{exc}$) was 0.64 was evaluated from observations of planets. Other informations for the telescope set-up are reported in Table 2.

The pointing accuracy for all our observations was measured as ~4−6″.

3. Results

3.1. Maps

In Fig. 2, we present integrated intensity emission maps of L1014 ($V_{LSR}$ = 4.2 km s$^{-1}$) in N$_2$H$^+$ (1−0), CS(2−1), and $^{13}$CO(1−0). The maps were created using a point source brightness scale and then convolved onto a 20″ spaced grid (circa Nyquist sampling) using the otftool software. Other informations for the telescope set-up are reported in Table 2.
### Table 2. IRAM-30 m and CSO telescope settings and parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>HPBW (″)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{sys}}$ (K, main beam scale)</th>
<th>$\Delta v_{\text{res}}$</th>
<th>Vel. cov. (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$N_{\text{obs}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRAM-30 m observations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_2H^+$ (1–0)</td>
<td>93.1737725</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>$-10 \rightarrow 16$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_2H^+$ (3–2)</td>
<td>279.511863</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>$-16 \rightarrow 12$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_2D^+$ (1–0)</td>
<td>77.109626</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>$-14 \rightarrow 20$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_2D^+$ (2–1)</td>
<td>154.217137</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>$-10 \rightarrow 11$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_2D^+$ (3–2)</td>
<td>231.321966</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>$-16 \rightarrow 16$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$CO (1–0)</td>
<td>112.358990</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>$-10 \rightarrow 16$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSO observations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$CO (2–1)</td>
<td>219.560352</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>$-29 \rightarrow 37$</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO$^+$ (3–2)</td>
<td>267.557620</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>$-21 \rightarrow 28$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$CO (2–1)</td>
<td>224.714214</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>$-29 \rightarrow 37$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN (3–2)</td>
<td>260.255478</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>$-24 \rightarrow 32$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO$^+$ (3–2)</td>
<td>265.886434</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>$-24 \rightarrow 31$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Column (2) line rest frequency (GHz); Col. (3) Half Power Beam Width (″); Col. (4) System temperature (K, main beam scale); Col. (5) Channel spacing (km s$^{-1}$); Col. (6) Usable velocity coverage (km s$^{-1}$); Col. (7) Number of observed positions.

**Fig. 2.** 1.2-mm continuum, $N_2H^+$ (1–0), CS(2–1) and $^{13}$CO(1–0) emission towards L1014. Molecular line maps were taken at FCRAO with the exception of the $N_2H^+$ (1–0) map in the inset (from IRAM-30 m) and the $^{13}$CO(2–1) map in the inset (from CSO) The 1.2-mm continuum was taken from Young et al. (2004) and it was observed with IRAM-30 m. First contour and contour spacings are 0.08 K km s$^{-1}$ for the FCRAO molecular data and 4 mJy/11″ beam$^{-1}$ for the dust continuum. The white contour represents the half peak intensity in all the maps. Beam sizes are displayed at the top-left of each map in all but the 1.2-mm map. The white cross places the position of a point-like source detected by the Spitzer Space Telescope, and colors are compatible with an embedded protostar. Angular offsets are relative to the 1.2-mm continuum peak (21h24m07.5, 49°59′05″, J2000). The inset on the $N_2H^+$ (1–0) FCRAO map shows the 5-points observations of $N_2H^+$ (1–0) at IRAM-30 m. Levels start at 0.8 K km s$^{-1}$ and increase by 0.3 K km s$^{-1}$. The IRAM-30 m beam size is shown inside that of the FCRAO. Similarly, the inset in the $^{13}$CO(1–0) FCRAO map shows the 13-points map of $^{13}$CO(2–1) taken at CSO. Levels start at 0.35 K km s$^{-1}$ and increase by 0.1 K km s$^{-1}$. The CSO beam size is shown inside that of the FCRAO.
C^{18}O(1−0) taken with FCRAO, the 1.2-mm continuum from Young et al. (2004), the 5-points map of N_{2}H^{+}(1−0) taken with the IRAM-30 m, and the 13-points map of C^{18}O(2−1) from CSO. The half maximum contour of each map is rendered in white. A white cross shows the position of L1014-IRS, the candidate protostellar object embedded in L1014 according to Young et al. (2004). The area observed with the FCRAO is 10′' × 10′' in size, but only the inner 8′′ × 8′′ region where emission was detected is shown in Fig. 2.

N_{2}H^{+}(1−0) emission, which comes from the high density nucleus of the core, is very concentrated (FWHM ~ 70′′, in the FCRAO observations) and peaks ~10′'' west of the dust emission within the uncertainties due to the 54′'' FCRAO beam width and the Nyquist sampling of the observations. Since the emitting region is comparable in size to the telescope beam, some dilution is very likely. In fact, IRAM-30 m observations of N_{2}H^{+}(1−0) with a 26′′ beam showed spectra 3 times brighter than those observed by FCRAO towards the dust continuum peak, although the emission still does not seem to be fully resolved. In these higher resolution observations, the integrated intensity peak falls 5′′ south of L1014-IRS. We evaluated the equivalent radius of the N_{2}H^{+}(1−0) emission from FCRAO both from the square root of the area within the 50% contour divided by π and from the geometric mean of the semi-major and semi-minor axis, both estimates furnish 0.034 pc. This equivalent radius is among the 5 smallest radii found in Lee et al. (2001) and in Caselli et al. (2002), who observed 52 starless cores altogether using FCRAO and then evaluated the equivalent radius with one of the two techniques. The integrated intensity of N_{2}H^{+}(1−0) at peak observed with FCRAO is also lower (a factor of 2) than any other core reported in Lee et al. (2001) and Caselli et al. (2002), although the beam dilution should be kept in mind. Following Caselli et al. (2002), we calculated the virial mass of L1014 from M_\text{vir}(M_{\odot}) = 210 \, r \, \Delta \alpha_{m}^{2} \, (\text{km}^{2} \, \text{s}^{-2})$, where r is the typical radius of the N_{2}H^{+} emission from FCRAO and \Delta \alpha_{m} is the velocity dispersion of the mean mass molecule (see Caselli et al. 2002, for the definition). In this way we obtained a virial mass of 2.1 M_{\odot}, that is again among the smallest in the Caselli et al. (2002) sample. This estimate is in agreement with the mass evaluated from the extinction map in Fig. 1. In fact, using a conversion factor of 1 × 10^{21} molecules cm^{-2} per mag of visual extinction (Bohlin et al. 1978), we obtained a mass of 1.5 M_{\odot} above the A_V = 5 mag contour.

The CS(2−1) emission is much more extended than the 1.2-mm continuum and N_{2}H^{+}(1−0), as expected due to its lower critical density and possible depletion effects (Tafalla et al. 2002). The integrated intensity peak lies ~30′'' west of the dust emission, although the same caveats about the limited resolution and sampling apply here as in the N_{2}H^{+} map.

C^{18}O(1−0) observations reveal more structure than previous observations, showing a secondary peak at (−200′′, −200′′) from the reference position. Note that the 1.2-mm map did not cover that area, and the C^{18}O(1−0) integrated intensity peaks west of the continuum, although the peak is less constrained than the other tracers. The flatness of the C^{18}O map and the offset of its peak with respect to the dust are typical features of starless cores (Tafalla et al. 2002), where CO (and its isotopologues) freeze-out onto dust grains in the high density nucleus. To test if this is the situation in L1014, we follow Caselli et al. (2002b) by evaluating the degree of CO depletion as the ratio of the canonical CO abundance ([CO]/[H_{2}] ≡ 9.5 × 10^{-5}, Frerking et al. 1982) and the observed abundance derived from the ratio of C^{18}O and H_{2} column densities (for details see Caselli et al. 2002b). Following Crapsi et al. (2005), the CO column density was derived under the constant excitation temperature approximation (CTEX, see Eq. (A4) in Caselli et al. 2002b) assuming that C^{18}O emission is optically thin. In this way we derived a C^{18}O column density of 7.8 × 10^{14} cm^{-2} at the dust peak position, which increases to 8.2 × 10^{14} cm^{-2} at the C^{18}O peak position (~20′′, 20′''). The CO column density can then be inferred from the local interstellar medium relative abundance value ([CO]/[C^{18}O] = 560; Wilson & Rood 1994). H_{2} column density was inferred from the 1.2-mm emission assuming constant dust temperature (10 K) and emissivity (κ_{1.2 mm} = 0.005 cm^{2} g^{-1}) similarly to Ward-Thompson et al. (1999), thereby obtaining N(H_{2}) = 4 × 10^{22} cm^{-2} at the dust peak position and N(H_{2}) = 2.2 × 10^{22} cm^{-2} at the C^{18}O peak position. We thus found a depletion factor around 9 at the dust peak, whereas it drops to 5 at the C^{18}O peak. These values are typical of starless cores with moderate chemical evolution (e.g. L1495, L1498, L492) with densities of about a few 10^{5} cm^{-3}(Crapsi et al. 2005). The 30′'' map of C^{18}O(2−1) obtained at CSO is shown in an inset of Fig. 2. Note that the error on the integrated intensity for the points outside the peak is 2.5 times the value reported in Table 3. Similarly to N_{2}H^{+} high-resolution observations, the C^{18}O(2−1) from CSO seems to peak south of L1014-IRS.

While the 1.2-mm emission peak in L1014 (23 mJy (11′′ beam)^{-1}) is comparable in intensity to the majority of N_{2}H^{+} emitting starless cores (see e.g. Crapsi et al. 2005), it is relatively weak if compared with high-density, evolved pre-stellar cores such as L1544 (>60 mJy (11′′ beam)^{-1}), Ward-Thompson et al. 1999). Consequently, the H_{2} central volume density inferred from it is 6 times lower (2.5 × 10^{5} cm^{-3} in L1014 vs. 1.4 × 10^{6} cm^{-3} in L1544) when evaluated with the same technique and physical parameters as in Tafalla et al. (2002). This technique derives the density profile of the spherically symmetric core that best fits the observed 1.2-mm continuum. Our calculations adopted a density profile model of the form n(H_{2}) = n_{0}/(1 + r/r_{0})^{α} to find, in addition to the central density, the radius of the “flat” region ~20′'' and the asymptotic power law index ~2.7. Note that the central H_{2} density value (1.5 × 10^{5} cm^{-3}) given in Young et al. (2004) was evaluated using a dust opacity equal to 0.0104 cm^{2} g^{-1}.

3.2. Spectra towards dust peak

The spectra observed towards the dust emission peak with FCRAO are shown in Fig. 3 while those observed with IRAM-30 m are shown in Fig. 4 and those taken at CSO in Fig. 5. Gaussian fits were performed using the CLASS fitting procedure, and results are presented in Table 3. In the case of lines with hyperfine structure, all the hyperfine components were simultaneously fit, which also furnishes the opacity of
Table 3. Line parameters at the 1.2-mm continuum peak position from line profile fitting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>$V_{\text{LSR}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta V$</th>
<th>$\tau$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{12}$CO$^+(1-0)$</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.239 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.354 ± 0.033</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS(2–1)</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.279 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.677 ± 0.031</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$^{18}$O(1-0)</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.224 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.484 ± 0.012</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$CO(1-0)</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4.258 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.864 ± 0.019</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{12}$CO(1-0)</td>
<td>9.30 ± 0.17</td>
<td>4.211 ± 0.022</td>
<td>2.261 ± 0.049</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Column (2) $\int T_A^J dV$ (K km s$^{-1}$) (in presence of hyperfine structure; we integrated over all the components; $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO lines were integrated between 1 and 7 km s$^{-1}$ and 3 and 5.5 km s$^{-1}$ respectively); Col. (3) Rest velocity (km s$^{-1}$); Col. (4) Full width half maximum (km s$^{-1}$); Col. (5) Sum of the opacity of all the hyperfine components.

- Opacity was evaluated from the opacity of C$^{17}$O (2–1) and assumed the relative abundance in Wilson & Rood (1994).
- Upper limit on integrated intensity was evaluated for a line-width equal to H$^{13}$CO$^+$(3–2).

The $^{12}$CO (1–0) and $^{13}$CO (1–0) profiles are much more asymmetric than the other lines, and their observed line widths (~2 km s$^{-1}$ and ~1 km s$^{-1}$) are broader (~0.5 km s$^{-1}$). This occurrence is not consistent with line broadening caused by opacity effects alone, as already noted by Robert & Pagani (1993). A possible explanation could be found by considering that CO and $^{13}$CO emission comes from a combination of emissions by randomly-moving, low-density parts of the cloud with different velocities along the line of sight and with larger turbulence.

Interestingly, our CS(2–1) spectra do not show the asymmetric double-peaked structure, with the blue peak stronger than the red one, as is typical of the cores undergoing infall (Zhou 1992; Mardones et al. 1997; Lee et al. 1999).
This feature was clearly seen in IRAM 04191 (André et al. 1999). Moreover, the difference in line velocity between CS and $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$ in units of the $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+ (1-0)$ line width ($\delta V_{\text{CS}} = (V_{\text{CS}} - V_{\text{N}_2\text{H}^+})/\Delta V_{\text{N}_2\text{H}^+}$), which is supposed to gauge the extended infall motions of the core (Mardones et al. 1997; Lee et al. 1999), is very small in L1014 (0.11 ± 0.04).

In contrast, HCO$^+ (3-2)$ observations show the opposite asymmetry revealing a red peak brighter than the blue peak (see Fig. 5). This line profile can be produced by a rotating, expanding, or pulsating core (Lada et al. 2003). The velocity of the self-absorbed feature corresponds to the velocity of the optically thin $^{13}\text{CO}(2-1)$ line as predicted by the models. Given the moderate signal to noise ratio of the present data, it is necessary to confirm these indications with higher sensitivity and higher resolution observations and to retrieve a map of HCO$^+$ in order to search for spatial patterns for the expansion asymmetry.

We failed to detect H$^{13}\text{CO}^+ (3-2)$ and HCN(3-2) towards L1014-IRS with upper limits of 0.02 and 0.03 K km s$^{-1}$, respectively (assuming line widths of 1.2 km s$^{-1}$ at base).

### 3.3. The search for outflow in L1014

In Fig. 6, we present channel maps of CO$(1-0)$ (top) and $^{13}\text{CO} (1-0)$ (bottom) in a range of velocities, $-9$ km s$^{-1}$ to 15 km s$^{-1}$, centered around L1014’s typical velocity (~4.2 km s$^{-1}$). Emission was integrated in 2 km s$^{-1}$ intervals. No CO emission was found anywhere in the mapped area between $-35$ km s$^{-1}$ and $-9$ km s$^{-1}$ or between 15 km s$^{-1}$ and 25 km s$^{-1}$, i.e. at velocities departing more than 10 km s$^{-1}$ from the L1014 rest velocity. The 1σ errors for the $^{13}\text{CO}$ and...
Fig. 5. C$^{18}$O(2−1), C$^{17}$O(2−1), HCO+(3−2), H$^{13}$CO+(3−2), and HCN(3−2) spectra observed with the CSO towards the 1.2-mm peak of L1014. Gaussian or hyperfine fits are plotted. The asymmetric shape of HCO+(3−2) is consistent with outward motions of the gas.

$^{13}$CO channel maps are 0.06 K km s$^{-1}$ and 0.03 K km s$^{-1}$ respectively, less than the lowest contours adopted in Fig. 6.

Using IRAM 04191 (André et al. 1999) as a guide, we searched for $^{12}$CO (1−0) wing emission at velocities 3 km s$^{-1} < |V−V_0| < 9$ km s$^{-1}$. No wing-like emission was found in this range (see maps labeled −5, −3, −1 and 7, 9, 11 in Fig. 6).

Nevertheless, given the very low luminosity of L1014-IRS, we also examined the possibility of less energetic wings. The most likely outflow feature present in L1014 is along position angle 45° (measured East of North) where we can see red emission in the SW lobe between 5 and 7 km s$^{-1}$ and blue emission in the NE part in the bin 1 to 3 km s$^{-1}$. This axis was identified mainly from the jet-like appearance of the “red lobe”, but the fact that this feature is also seen in the $^{12}$CO (1−0) and C$^{18}$O(1−0) emissions, which typically are not sensitive to outflows, leaves us think that these components arise from different parts of the cloud with different velocities along the line of sight. The presence of gas with different velocities along the same line of sight would also explain the relatively broad $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO line widths found in the entire map (see Robert & Pagani 1993, and Sect. 3.2). A peculiar feature of the $^{12}$CO channel maps is the presence of several small spots of emission in the NW part of the map at velocities smaller than 1 km s$^{-1}$ and of one spot at 9 km s$^{-1}$ in the SE. Although these may be interpreted as molecular “bullets” coming from the L1014 nucleus, their asymmetric positioning, low velocity, and large distance from the nucleus (0.05 pc) make them very different from the prototypical cases (Bachiller 1996).
We conclude that no classical outflow signature is present at the large scales investigated with the FCRAO. Further sensitive observations must be performed with smaller beams to probe the CO emission within the inner 45″ (the FCRAO beam size). We can evaluate an upper limit on the mass of a compact outflow within the inner beam determining the CO column density under the LTE approximation; using \( T_{\text{ex}} = 10 \) K and \([^{12}\text{CO}]/[\text{H}_2] = 9.5 \times 10^{-3}\), we obtained: \( M_{\text{outflow}} = 3.9 \times 10^{-4} \sigma_{\text{peak}}/f \) \([M_\odot]\), where \( \sigma_{\text{peak}} \) is the 1σ error on the integrated intensity of the CO wing and \( f \) is the filling factor of the outflow in the beam. Considering an outflow of 5″ in length and 3 km s\(^{-1}\) of velocity, the error on the integrated intensity of the CO spectrum at peak (0.1 K km s\(^{-1}\)) furnish an upper limit of \( \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot \).

### 3.4. The background component

The Spitzer observations presented by Young et al. (2004) left open the possibility of L1014-IRS being a more massive but less embedded distant protostar aligned by chance with the L1014 nucleus. Although the relative rarity of embedded protostar and starless cores do not favour such a chance occurrence, the fact that we are looking close to the galactic plane and towards the Perseus spiral arm did not allow us to disregard it on a statistical basis alone. Although preliminary results from recent deep near-infrared observations (T. Huard et al. 2005, in prep.) and high resolution CO observations (T. Bourke et al. 2005, in prep.) now seem to indicate that L1014-IRS is associated with the nearby L1014 core, in this paper we take the conservative approach and assume that the association is yet to be confirmed.

We searched for signatures of infall-outflow activity towards L1014-IRS also at the Perseus arm velocity (near \( \sim 40 \) km s\(^{-1}\)). No \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+\) (1–0), CS(2–1), and \( \text{C}^{18}\text{O}(1–0) \) emissions were detected at these velocities, most likely because of beam dilution given the small scales traced by those species and the large Perseus arm distance (2.6 kpc, Brand & Blitz 1993). Young et al. (2004) calculated that assuming a distance of 2.6 kpc for L1014-IRS, the protostar whose emission would fit the observed SED best would have a luminosity of 16 \( L_\odot \). Class I and class 0 protostars with comparable bolometric luminosity, like L1165 (Visser et al. 2002), L1448, and L1157 (Bachiller 1996), drive outflows of \( \sim 0.3 \) pc in size, corresponding to \( \sim 20″ \) at the Perseus arm distance. Although this size is smaller than the FCRAO beam, we performed a search for high velocity wings in the \( \sim 40 \) km s\(^{-1}\) component. CO and \( ^{13}\text{CO} \) emission is seen between \( \sim 56 \) km s\(^{-1}\) and \( \sim 36 \) km s\(^{-1}\), but no clear-cut outflow features are seen in the channel maps, similarly to the component at 4 km s\(^{-1}\).

### 4. Discussion

The contradiction between the relatively young evolutionary status of L1014, as derived from chemical indicators and the presence of a protostellar object embedded in its nucleus, is puzzling. L1014 shows continuum and line intensities lower than well-studied evolved starless cores; in particular, the \( \text{H}_2 \) volume density, and \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{D}^+ \) column densities are smaller than the average starless core, from which we did not expect L1014 to be close to star formation. Moreover, the CS observation does not indicate the presence of inward motions, and the HCO\(^+\) line profile shows hints of outward motions.

On the other hand, we report also relatively high deuterium fractionation, moderate CO depletion, broad (if compared to other low-mass starless cores) \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{D}^+ \) lines, and a very compact \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \) equivalent radius which are typical of more evolved objects. We speculate that either i) the very low-luminosity nature of the central source (0.09 \( L_\odot \), Young et al. 2004) makes its chemical and dynamical evolution different from any other observed core; or ii) that a low-mass, low-luminosity “seed” is present long before the protostellar phase in L1014 and perhaps in some other “starless” core. Boss & Yorke (1995) and Masunaga et al. (1998) modeled the early phase of protostar formation and introduced the “first hydrostatic core” or “class –I” protostar as a short-lived precursor of class 0. During this phase, the central temperature should reach a value of 200 K (3 times lower than the temperature derived by Young et al. 2004) and no outflow emission is expected. According to the duration of this phase, we could expect to detect similar sources in the nuclei of some other starless cores in future Spitzer observations. This seed might also be produced by an impulsive event, such as a sudden compression early in the core evolution, before it would otherwise form a star “synchronously”. In this sense, the presence of a protostar in L1014 seems to challenge the idea that there is a single path toward forming a protostar that all cores must follow.

Considering that L1014-IRS is classified as a class 0 protostar (Young et al. 2004) that meets the requirements of \( T_{\text{bol}} < 70 \) K and \( L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{mm}} < 200 \) (Andre et al. 1993), we expected it to show also a bipolar outflow; on the other hand our observations show that it does not have a strong, extended molecular outflow. Even IRAM 04191, which has bolometric luminosity comparable to L1014-IRS (\( \sim 0.15 \) \( L_\odot \)), has an easily detected, very extended outflow (Andre et al. 1999); thus, it seems unlikely that the weakness of the central source is responsible for the lack of outflow detection. In any case, one should bear in mind that differences in inclination angle, collimation factor, age, as well as in the external environment, could make detection of the outflow more difficult even in presence of two jets with comparable momenta. We can speculate that either the accretion rate of L1014-IRS is currently too low to power the outflow or that the magnetic field that threads the disk is too weak (Bachiller 1996). Alternatively, we may have caught L1014 in the earliest phases of outflow ignition. In fact, our observations do not probe small scale outflows that would be diluted in the 45″ FCRAO beam, in particular if the outflow is very young and therefore compact. Strong molecular outflows are a hallmark of class 0 protostar (Andre 1994), so it is of fundamental importance to extend the search for the outflow to a smaller scale. High-resolution observations of CO(2–1) from SMA are currently under analysis (T. Bourke et al. 2005, in prep.); although yet to be confirmed, these data seem to show that a very compact outflow may be present in the inner 4″.

The present data alone cannot conclusively rule out the possibility of chance alignment, although our search for
background dense cores through $N_2H^+$ and CS was negative, and no signatures of molecular outflows were found in the CO background component. The absence of a background dense core could be explained by the chance crossing of a T Tauri star born elsewhere and expelled in the direction of L1014 (see PV Cephei, Goodman & Arce 2004). This explanation, although difficult to rule out, is even less probable than chance alignment, given additional requirements of a favourable trajectory from the parent cloud to L1014 and the coincidence in the epoch of observations. Further evidence that L1014-IRS is a young stellar object embedded within L1014 comes from deep near-infrared observations and will be presented by T. Huard et al. (in preparation).

5. Conclusions

We observed the starless core L1014 with the FCRAO antenna in $N_2H^+(1-0)$, CS(2-1), $^{18}O(1-0)$, $^{13}CO(1-0)$, and $^{12}CO(1-0)$, combined with literature 1.2-mm continuum data and new $N_2H^+(1-0)$, $N_2H^+(3-2)$, $N_2D^+(1-0)$, $N_2D^+(2-1)$, $N_2D^+(3-2)$, and $C^{17}O(1-0)$ observations from the IRAM-30 m, along with $^{18}O(2-1)$, $^{13}CO(2-1)$, HCO$^+(3-2)$, H$^13CO^+(3-2)$, and HCN(3-2) spectra from CSO to study its chemical status and to search for the presence of an extended molecular outflow. The results of our study are summarized below.

1. The chemical and physical properties of L1014 derived from the present observations are not typical of highly evolved low-mass starless cores. In particular, we found: molecular hydrogen volume density of $n(H_2) \approx 2.5 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$, $N_2H^+$ column density of $N(N_2H^+) \approx 6 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$, $M_{\text{vir}} = 2.1 \times 10^4$ $M_\odot$, CO integrated depletion factor equal to 9, absence of CS double peaked profile with infall signature, absence of significant velocity shifts between self-absorbed optically thick (CS) and optically thin ($N_2H^+$) tracers, and profile asymmetry in HCO$^+(3-2)$ consistent with outward motions. On the other hand, we found an enhanced degree of deuterium fractionation equal to 10%, which is higher than the average starless core, a very compact $N_2H^+$ equivalent radius ($\sim 0.03$ pc), and broad $N_2H^+$ and $N_2D^+$ lines ($\sim 0.3$ km s$^{-1}$) suggestive of unresolved kinematical activity in the inner nucleus. These diverging indications could be reconciled considering that we are observing either a very young stage of star formation or an extremely low-luminosity object exhibiting characteristics that differ significantly from previously known cases.

2. No classical signatures of molecular outflow were found towards L1014 in the FCRAO data. In particular, we did not detect high velocity wings or symmetric well-defined red-blue lobes in the large scale CO channel maps, although it is important to bear in mind that the angular resolution of the present observations might be too low to detect a compact, young outflow.
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