Cover Page

Universiteit Leiden

The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/67540 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Geambasu, A.
Title: Simple rule learning is not simple : studies on infant and adult pattern perception and production
Issue Date: 2018-12-11

References

Alhama, R. G. (2017). *Computational modeling of artificial language learning: Retention, recognition and recurrence.* University of Amsterdam.

Ashby, F. G., Maddox, W. T., & Bohil, C. J. (2002). Observational versus feedback training in rule-based and information-integration category learning. *Memory & Cognition*, *30*(5), 666–677.

Aslin, R. N. (2007). What's in a look? *Developmental Science*, *10*(1), 48–53.

Beckers, G. J., Bolhuis, J. J., Okanoya, K., & Berwick, R. C. (2012, feb). Birdsong neurolinguistics. *NeuroReport*, *23*(3), 139–145.

Bergelson, E., & Swingley, D. (2012). At 6-9 months, human infants know the meanings of many common nouns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*(9), 3253–8.

Bernard, C., & Gervain, J. (2012). Prosodic cues to word order: What level of representation? *Frontiers in Psychology*, *3*(OCT), 451.

Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (2007). Praat: doing phonetics by computer (Version 4.5.)[Computer program]. *Retrieved from http://www.praat.org/*, *5*(9/10), 341–345.

Braine, M. D. S. (1987). *What is Learned in Acquiring Word Classes - A Step Toward an Acquisition Theory.*

Bunge, S. A., & Zelazo, P. D. (2006). A brain-based account of the development of rule use in childhood. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *15*(3), 118–121.

Chen, J., & ten Cate, C. (2015). Zebra finches can use positional and transitional cues to distinguish vocal element strings. *Behavioural Processes*, *117*, 29–34.

Chen, J., van Rossum, D., & ten Cate, C. (2015). Artificial grammar learning in zebra finches and human adults: XYX versus XXY. *Animal Cognition*, *18*(1), 151–164.

Christiansen, M. H., Conway, C. M., & Curtin, S. (2000). A Connectionist Single-Mechanism Account of Rule-Like Behavior in Infancy. *Proceedings*

of the 22nd Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, *1*(Experiment 1), 83–88.

Christophe, A., & Morton, J. (1998). Is Dutch native English? Linguistic analysis by 2-month-olds. *Developmental Science*, *1*(2), 215–219.

Cohen, J. (1994). The earth is round (p<.05). *American Psychologist*, *49*(12), 997–1003.

Conway, C. M., & Christiansen, M. H. (2006). Statistical learning within and between modalities : Pitting abstract against stimulus-specific representations. *Psychological Science*, *17*(10), 905–912.

Cooper, R. P., & Aslin, R. N. (1990). Preference for Infant-Directed Speech in the First Month After Birth. *Child Development*, *61*(5), 1584–1595.

Corballis, M. C. (2007). Recursion, language, and starlings. *Cognitive science*, *31*(4), 697–704.

Creel, S. C., Newport, E. L., & Aslin, R. N. (2004). Distant melodies: statistical learning of nonadjacent dependencies in tone sequences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *30*(5), 1119–1130.

Csibra, G., Hernik, M., Mascaro, O., Tatone, D., & Lengyel, M. (2015). Statistical treatment of looking-time data. *Developmental Psychology*(2015), 1–16.

Dale, R., & Christiansen, M. H. (2004). Active and passive statistical learning: Exploring the role of feedback in artificial grammar learning and language. In *Proceedings of the annual meeting of the cognitive science society* (Vol. 26).

Davis, B. L., & MacNeilage, P. F. (1995). The articulatory basis of babbling. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, *38*(6), 1199–1211.

de Boysson-Bardies, B. (2001). *How language comes to children: From birth to two years.* MIT Press.

de Boysson-Bardies, B., & Vihman, M. M. (1991). Adaptation to language: Evidence from babbling and first words in four languages. *Language*, *67*(2), 297–319.

Demuth, K., Culbertson, J., & Alter, J. (2006). and Coda Licensing in the Early Acquisition of English.

Demuth, K., & Tremblay, A. (2008). Prosodically-conditioned variability in children's production of french determiners. *Journal of Child Language*, *35*(1), 99–127.

DePaolis, R. A., Vihman, M. M., & Nakai, S. (2013). The influence of babbling patterns on the processing of speech. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *36*(4), 642–649.

de Vries, M., Christiansen, M., & Petersson, K. M. (2011). Learning recursion: Multiple nested and crossed dependencies. *Biolinguistics*, *5*(1-2), 010–035.

de Vries, M., Monaghan, P., Knecht, S., & Zwitserlood, P. (2008). Syntactic structure and artificial grammar learning: The learnability of embedded

hierarchical structures. *Cognition*, *107*(2), 763–774.

Edmunds, C., Milton, F., & Wills, A. J. (2015). Feedback can be superior to observational training for both rule-based and information-integration category structures. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *68*(6), 1203–1222.

ELAN Version 5.0.0. (2017). Nijmegen: Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics.

Endress, A. D. (2013). Bayesian learning and the psychology of rule induction. *Cognition*, *127*(2), 159–176.

Endress, A. D., & Bonatti, L. L. (2007). Rapid learning of syllable classes from a perceptually continuous speech stream. *Cognition*, *105*(2), 247–299.

Endress, A. D., Dehaene-Lambertz, G., & Mehler, J. (2007). Perceptual constraints and the learnability of simple grammars. *Cognition*, *105*(3), 577–614.

Endress, A. D., Nespor, M., & Mehler, J. (2009). Perceptual and memory constraints on language acquisition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *13*(8), 348–353.

Endress, A. D., Scholl, B. J., & Mehler, J. (2005). The role of salience in the extraction of algebraic rules. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *134*(3), 406–419.

E-Prime 2.0, Psychology Software Tools, Inc. (2012).

Fagan, M. K. (2015). Why repetition? Repetitive babbling, auditory feedback, and cochlear implantation. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *137*, 125–136.

Ferguson, B., & Lew-Williams, C. (2016). Communicative signals support abstract rule learning by 7-month-old infants. *Nature Publishing Group*(May), 1–7.

Ferguson, B., & Waxman, S. R. (2015). Visual abstract rule learning by 3- and 4-month-old infants. *Proceedings of the 39th Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, 692–697.

Fitch, W. T. (2014). Toward a computational framework for cognitive biology: unifying approaches from cognitive neuroscience and comparative cognition. *Physics of Life Reviews*, *11*(3), 329–364.

Fitch, W. T., & Friederici, A. D. (2012, jul). Artificial grammar learning meets formal language theory: an overview. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London - Series B: Biological Sciences*, *367*(1598), 1933–55.

Fitch, W. T., & Hauser, M. D. M. (2004). Computational constraints on syntactic processing in a nonhuman primate. *Science*, *303*(5656), 377.

Forkstam, C., Elwér, Å., Ingvar, M., & Petersson, K. M. (2008). Instruction effects in implicit artificial grammar learning: A preference for grammaticality. *Brain Research*, *1221*, 80–92.

Frank, M. C. (2013). Throwing out the Bayesian baby with the optimal bathwater: Response to Endress (2013). *Cognition*, *128*(3), 417–423.

Frank, M. C., Slemmer, J. A., Marcus, G. F., & Johnson, S. P. (2009). Information from multiple modalities helps 5-month-olds learn abstract rules. *Developmental Science*, *12*(4), 504–509.

Frank, M. C., & Tenenbaum, J. B. (2011, sep). Three ideal observer models for rule learning in simple languages. *Cognition*, *120*(3), 360–371.

Freitas, M. J. (1997). *Aquisição da estrutura silábica do português europeu.*

Friederici, A. D., Bahlmann, J., Heim, S., Schubotz, R. I., & Anwander, A. (2006). The brain differentiates human and non-human grammars: functional localization and structural connectivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *103*(7), 2458–63.

Geambașu, A., Ravignani, A., & Levelt, C. C. (2016). Preliminary experiments on human sensitivity to rhythmic structure in a grammar with recursive self-similarity. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *10*, 281.

Geambașu, A., Scheel, M., & Levelt, C. C. (2016). Cross-linguistic patterns in infant babbling. In *Proceedings of the 40th annual Boston University Conference on Language Development.* Cascadilla Press.

Gebhart, A. L., Newport, E. L., & Aslin, R. N. (2009). Statistical Learning of Adjacent and Non-Adjacent Dependencies among Non-Linguistic Sounds. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*(3), 486–490.

Gebhart, A. L., Newport, E. L., & Aslin, R. N. (2010). NIH Public Access. , *16*(3), 486–490.

Gerken, L. (2006). Decisions, decisions: Infant language learning when multiple generalizations are possible. *Cognition*, *98*(3), B67–74.

Gerken, L. (2010). Infants use rational decision criteria for choosing among models of their input. *Cognition*, *115*(2), 362–366.

Gerken, L., & Bollt, A. (2008). Three Exemplars Allow at Least Some Linguistic Generalizations: Implications for Generalization Mechanisms and Constraints. *Language Learning and Development*, *4*(3), 228–248.

Gerken, L., Dawson, C., Chatila, R., & Tenenbaum, J. (2015). Surprise! Infants consider possible bases of generalization for a single input example. *Developmental Science*, *18*(1), 80–89.

Gerken, L., Wilson, R., & Lewis, W. (2005). Infants can use distributional cues to form syntactic categories. *Journal of Child Language*, *32*(2), 249–268.

Gervain, J., Macagno, F., Cogoi, S., Peña, M., & Mehler, J. (2008). The neonate brain detects speech structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *105*(37), 14222–14227.

Gervain, J., & Werker, J. F. (2013). Learning non-adjacent regularities at age 0 ; 7. *Journal of Child Language*, *40*(4), 860–72.

Gómez, R. L. (1997). Transfer and Complexity in Articial Grammar Learning. *Cognitive Psychology*, *33*, 154–207.

Gómez, R. L. (2002). Variability and detection of invariant structure. *Psychological science : a journal of the American Psychological Society / APS*, *13*(5), 431–436.

Gómez, R. L., & Gerken, L. (1999). Artificial grammar learning by 1-year-olds

leads to specific and abstract knowledge. *Cognition*, *70*(2), 109–35.

Gómez, R. L., & Gerken, L. (2000). Infant artificial language learning and language acquisition. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, *4*(5), 178–186.

Gómez, R. L., Gerken, L., & Schvaneveldt, R. W. (2000). The basis of transfer in artificial grammar learning. *Memory & Cognition*, *28*(2), 253–263.

Hauser, M. D., Chomsky, N., & Fitch, W. T. (2002). The faculty of language: What is it, who has it, and how did it evolve? *Science*, *298*(5598), 1569–1579.

Hay, J. S. F., & Diehl, R. L. (2007). Perception of rhythmic grouping: testing the iambic/trochaic law. *Perception & psychophysics*, *69*(1), 113–122.

Hochmann, J. R., Azadpour, M., & Mehler, J. (2008). Do Humans Really Learn A^nB^n Artificial Grammars From Exemplars? *Cognitive Science*, *32*, 1021–1036.

Hoff, E. (2008). *Language development*. Cengage Learning.

Horst, J. S., & Hout, M. C. (2016). The Novel Object and Unusual Name (NOUN) Database: A collection of novel images for use in experimental research. *Behavior Research Methods*, *48*(4), 1393–1409.

Isbilen, E. S., McCauley, S. M., Kidd, E., & Christiansen, M. H. (2017). Testing statistical learning implicitly: a novel chunk-based measure of statistical learning. In *the 39th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society (CogSci 2017)* (pp. 564–569).

Jang, Y., Wixted, J. T., & Huber, D. E. (2009). Testing signal-detection models of yes/no and two-alternative forced-choice recognition memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *138*(2), 291.

JASP Team. (2017). *JASP*.

Jeffreys, H. (1961). The theory of probability. *International series of monographs on physics*.

Johansson, T. (2009). Strengthening the case for stimulus-specificity in artificial grammar learning. *Exp Psychol*, *56*(3), 188–197.

Johnson, E. K., & Zamuner, T. (2010). Using infant and toddler testing methods in language acquisition research. *Experimental Methods in Language Acquisition Research*, 73–94.

Johnson, S. P., Fernandes, K. J., Frank, M. C., Kirkham, N., Marcus, G., Rabagliati, H., & Slemmer, J. A. (2009). Abstract Rule Learning for Visual Sequences in 8- and 11-Month-Olds. *Infancy*, *14*(1), 2–18.

Johnstone, T., & Shanks, D. R. (1999). Two Mechanisms in Implicit Artificial Grammar Learning ? *Cognition*, *25*(2), 524–531.

Johnstone, T., & Shanks, D. R. (2001). Abstractionist and processing accounts of implicit learning. *Cognitive Psychology*, *42*(1), 61–112.

Junge, C., Cutler, A., & Hagoort, P. (2012). Electrophysiological evidence of early word learning. *Neuropsychologia*, *50*(14), 3702–3712.

Jusczyk, P. W., & Aslin, R. N. (1995). Infants detection of the sound patterns of words in fluent speech. *Cognitive Psychology*, *29*, 1–23.

Jusczyk, P. W., & Luce, P. (1994). Infants' Sensitivity to Phonotactic Patterns

in the Native Language. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *33*(5), 630–645.

Kemler Nelson, D. G., Jusczyk, P. W., Mandel, D. R., Myers, J., Turk, A., & Gerken, L. (1995). The head-turn preference procedure for testing auditory perception. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *18*(1), 111–116.

Kern, S., Davis, B., & Zink, I. (2009). From babbling to first words in four languages: Common trends across languages and individual differences.

Kidd, C., Piantadosi, S. T., & Aslin, R. N. (2010). The Goldilocks Effect: Infants' preference for stimuli that are neither too predictable nor too surprising. *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, 2476–2481.

Kinder, A., & Assmann, A. (2000). Learning artificial grammars: no evidence for the acquisition of rules. *Memory & Cognition*, *28*(8), 1321–1332.

Knowlton, B. J., & Squire, L. R. (1996). Artificial grammar learning depends on implicit acquisition of both abstract and exemplar-specific information. *Journal of experimental psychology. Learning, memory, and cognition*, *22*(1), 169–181.

Koopmans-van Beinum, F. (1980). *Vowel contrast reduction: An acoustic and perceptual study of Dutch vowels in various speech conditions*.

Koopmans-Van Beinum, F. J., & van der Stelt, J. M. (1986). Early stages in the development of speech movements. In *Precursors of early speech* (pp. 37–50). Springer.

Kovács, Á. M., & Endress, A. D. (2014). Hierarchical processing in seven-month-old infants. *Infancy*, *19*(4), 409–425.

Kovács, Á. M., & Mehler, J. (2009). Cognitive gains in 7-month-old bilingual infants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *106*(16), 6556–60.

Kuhn, G., & Dienes, Z. (2005). Implicit Learning of Nonlocal Musical Rules: Implicitly Learning More Than Chunks. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *31*(6), 1417–1432.

Kuhn, G., & Dienes, Z. (2006). Differences in the types of musical regularity learnt in incidental- and intentional-learning conditions. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *59*(10), 1725–1744.

Lai, J., & Poletiek, F. H. (2013). How "small" is "starting small" for learning hierarchical centre-embedded structures? *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, *25*(4), 423–435.

Lany, J., & Gómez, R. L. (2008). Twelve-Month-Old Infants Benefit From Prior Experience in Statistical Learning. *Psychological Science*, *19*(12), 1247–1252.

Levelt, C. C. (1994). *On the acquisition of a place feature representation*. Dordrecht.

Levelt, W. (2013). *A history of psycholinguistics: The pre-Chomskyan era*. Oxford University Press.

Lindenmayer, A. (1968). Mathematical Models for Cellular Interactions in

Development I. Filaments with One-sided Inputs. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, *18*, 280–299.

Lindenmayer, A., & Rozenberg, G. (1972). Developmental systems and languages. In *STOC '72 proceedings of the fourth annual ACM symposium on theory of computing* (pp. 214–221).

Lipkind, D., Marcus, G. F., Bemis, D. K., Sasahara, K., Jacoby, N., Takahasi, M., ... Tchernichovski, O. (2013). Stepwise acquisition of vocal combinatorial capacity in songbirds and human infants. *Nature*, *498*(7452), 104–8.

Maddox, W. T., Ashby, F. G., & Bohil, C. J. (2003). Delayed feedback effects on rule-based and information-integration category learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *29*(4), 650–662.

Majorano, M., Vihman, M. M., & DePaolis, R. A. (2014). The relationship between infants? production experience and their processing of speech. *Language Learning and Development*, *10*(2), 179–204.

Marcus, G. F., Fernandes, K. J., & Johnson, S. P. (2007). Infant rule learning facilitated by speech. *Psychological Science*, *18*(5), 387–391.

Marcus, G. F., Vijayan, S., Bandi Rao, S., & Vishton, P. (1999). Rule learning by seven-month-old infants. *Science*, *283*(5398), 77–80.

Martins, M. D. (2012). Distinctive signatures of recursion. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *367*(1598), 2055–2064.

Maye, J., Weiss, D. J., & Aslin, R. N. (2008). Statistical phonetic learning in infants: Facilitation and feature generalization. *Developmental Science*, *11*(1), 122–134.

Maye, J., Werker, J. F., & Gerken, L. (2002). Infant sensitivity to distributional information can affect phonetic discrimination. *Cognition*, *82*(3), B101—-11.

Mealor, A. D., & Dienes, Z. (2013). Explicit feedback maintains implicit knowledge. *Consciousness and Cognition*, *22*(3), 822–832.

Mehler, J., Nespor, M., & Peña, M. (2008). What Infants Know and What They have to Learn about Language. *European Review*, *16*(04), 429.

Milne, A. E., Wilson, B., & Christiansen, M. H. (2018). Structured sequence learning across sensory modalities in humans and nonhuman primates. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, *21*, 39–48.

Moon, C., Cooper, R. P., & Fifer, W. P. (1993). Two-day-olds prefer their native language. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *16*(4), 495–500.

Mueller, J. L., Friederici, A. D., & Mannel, C. (2012). Auditory perception at the root of language learning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*(39), 15953–15958.

Newport, E. L., & Aslin, R. N. (2004). Learning at a distance I. Statistical learning of non-adjacent dependencies. *Cognitive Psychology*, *48*(2), 127–162.

Newport, E. L., Hauser, M. D., Spaepen, G., & Aslin, R. N. (2004). Learning at a distance II. Statistical learning of non-adjacent dependencies in a non-human primate. *Cognitive Psychology*, *49*(2), 85–117.

Oller, D., Yeni-Komshian, G., Kavanaugh, J., & Ferguson, C. (1980). Child phonology.

Onnis, L., Christiansen, M. H., Chater, N., & Gómez, R. (2003). Reduction of uncertainty in human sequential learning: Evidence from artificial grammar learning. In *Proceedings of the annual meeting of the Cognitive Science Society* (Vol. 25).

Opitz, B., Ferdinand, N. K., & Mecklinger, A. (2011). Timing matters: the impact of immediate and delayed feedback on artificial language learning. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *5*(February), 8.

Ota, M. (2003). *The development of prosodic structure in early words: Continuity, divergence, and change* (Vol. 34). John Benjamins Publishing.

Peña, M., Bonatti, L. L., Nespor, M., & Mehler, J. (2002). Signal-driven computations in speech processing. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *298*(5593), 604–607.

Perruchet, P., & Pacteau, C. (1990). Synthetic grammar learning: Implicit rule abstraction or explicit fragmentary knowledge? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *119*(3), 264–275.

Perruchet, P., & Pacton, S. (2006). Implicit learning and statistical learning: one phenomenon, two approaches. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *10*(5), 233–238.

Perruchet, P., Tyler, M. D., Galland, N., & Peereman, R. (2004). Learning non-adjacent dependencies: no need for algebraic-like computations. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *133*(4), 573–583.

Petersson, K.-M., Folia, V., & Hagoort, P. (2012). What artificial grammar learning reveals about the neurobiology of syntax. *Brain and Language*, *120*(2), 83–95.

Poletiek, F. H., Fitz, H., & Bocanegra, B. R. (2016). What baboons can (not) tell us about natural language grammars. *Cognition*, *151*(2016), 108–112.

Prusinkiewicz, P., & Lindenmayer, A. (1990). *The Algorithmic Beauty of Plants (The Virtual Laboratory)*.

Rabagliati, H., Senghas, A., Johnson, S. P., & Marcus, G. F. (2012). Infant rule learning: Advantage language, or advantage speech? *PLoS ONE*, *7*(7), e40517.

Ravignani, A., Westphal-Fitch, G., Aust, U., Schlumpp, M. M., & Fitch, W. T. (2015). More than one way to see it: Individual heuristics in avian visual computation. *Cognition*, *143*, 13–24.

Reber, A. S. (1967). Implicit learning of artificial grammars. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *6*(6), 855–863.

Reber, A. S. (1976). Implicit learning of synthetic languages: The role of instructional set. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning*

and Memory, *2*(1), 88–94.

Reber, A. S. (1989). Implicit Learning and Tacit Knowledge. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *118*(3), 219–235.

Reber, A. S., Kassin, S. M., Lewis, S., & Cantor, G. (1980). On the relationship between implicit and explicit modes in the learning of a complex rule structure. *Journal of Experimental Psychology Human Learning and Memory*, *6*(5), 492–502.

Romberg, A. R., & Saffran, J. R. (2013). All together now: Concurrent learning of multiple structures in an artificial language. *Cognitive Science*, *37*(7), 1290–1320.

Rose, Y., & MacWhinney, B. (2014). The PhonBank project. In *The Oxford handbook of corpus phonology*.

Rose, Y., MacWhinney, B., Byrne, R., Hedlund, G., Maddocks, K., O'Brien, P., & Wareham, T. (2006). Introducing phon: A software solution for the study of phonological acquisition. In *Proceedings of Boston University Conference on Language Development* (Vol. 2006, p. 489).

Rost, G. C., & McMurray, B. (2009). Speaker variability augments phonological processing in early word learning. *Developmental Science*, *12*(2), 339–349.

Roug, L., Landberg, I., & Lundberg, L. (1989). Phonetic development in early infancy : a study of four Swedish children during the first eighteen months of life. *Journal of Child Language*, *16*, 19–40.

Saddy, D. (2009). *Perceiving and processing recursion in formal grammars.* (Recursion: Structural Complexity in Language and Cognition Conference at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst)

Saffran, J. R., Aslin, R. N., & Newport, E. L. (1996). Statistical Learning by 8-Month-Old Infants. *Science*, *274*(5294), 1926–1928.

Saffran, J. R., Pollak, S. D., Seibel, R. L., & Shkolnik, A. (2007). Dog is a dog is a dog: Infant rule learning is not specific to language. *Cognition*, *105*(3), 669–680.

Saffran, J. R., & Wilson, D. P. (2003). From Syllables to Syntax : Multilevel Statistical Learning by 12-Month-Old Infants. *Infancy*, *4*(2), 273–284.

Samal, A., Peterson, B., & Holliday, D. J. (1994). Recognizing plants using stochastic L-systems. In *Proceedings - International Conference on Image Processing, ICIP* (Vol. 1, pp. 183–187).

Schlichting, L., & Lutje Spelberg, H. (2009). Lexilijsten en Lexiconlijsten, oudervragenlijsten voor de taalontwikkeling. *Logopedie en Foniatrie*, *6*, 190–195.

Shi, R. (2007). Infants' Recognition of Function Words in Continuous Speech. *ICPhS XVI*(August), 1541–1544.

Shirley, E. J. (2014). *Representing and remembering Lindenmayer grammars.* University of Reading.

Sloetjes, H., & Wittenburg, P. (2008). Annotation by category - ELAN and ISO DCR. *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Language*

Resources and Evaluation (LREC'08), 816–820.

Smith, B. L., Brown-Sweeney, S., & Stoel-Gammon, C. (1989). A quantitative analysis of reduplicated and variegated babbling. *First Language*, *9*(6), 175–189.

Spierings, M. J. (2016). *The music of language in zebra finches and budgerigars.* Leiden University.

Spierings, M. J., & ten Cate, C. (2016). Budgerigars and zebra finches differ in how they generalize in an artificial grammar learning experiment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *113*(27), E3977–E3984.

Stark, R. E. (1980). Stages of speech development in the first year of life. In *Child phonology* (pp. 73–92). Elsevier.

Steegen, S., Tuerlinckx, F., Gelman, A., & Vanpaemel, W. (2016). Increasing Transparency Through a Multiverse Analysis. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *11*(5), 702–712.

Sun, F., Hoshi-Shiba, R., Abla, D., & Okanoya, K. (2012). Neural correlates of abstract rule learning: An event-related potential study. *Neuropsychologia*, *50*(11), 2617–2624.

ter Haar, S. M., & Levelt, C. C. (2018). Disentangling attention for frequency and phonological markedness in 9-and 12-month-old infants. *Language Learning and Development*, 1–18.

Thiessen, E. D. (2012). Effects of Inter- and Intra-modal Redundancy on Infants' Rule Learning. *Language Learning and Development*, *8*(3), 197–214.

Uddén, J., Ingvar, M., Hagoort, P., & Petersson, K. M. (2012). Implicit Acquisition of Grammars With Crossed and Nested Non-Adjacent Dependencies: Investigating the Push-Down Stack Model. *Cognitive Science*, *36*(2012), 1078–1101.

Uriagereka, J., Reggia, J. A., & Wilkinson, G. S. (2013, jan). A framework for the comparative study of language. *Evolutionary psychology : an international journal of evolutionary approaches to psychology and behavior*, *11*(3), 470–92.

van der Kant, A. (2015). *Neural correlates of vocal learning in songbirds and humans.*

van Heijningen, C. A. A., Chen, J., van Laatum, I., van der Hulst, B., & ten Cate, C. (2013). Rule learning by zebra finches in an artificial grammar learning task: which rule? *Animal Cognition*, *16*(2), 165–75.

van Heijningen, C. A. A., de Visser, J., Zuidema, W., & ten Cate, C. (2009). Simple rules can explain discrimination of putative recursive syntactic structures by a songbird species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *106*(48), 20538–20543.

Vihman, M. M. (1996). *Phonological development: The origins of language in the child.* Blackwell Publishing.

Wagenmakers, E.-J., Morey, R. D., & Lee, M. D. (2016). Bayesian Benefits for the Pragmatic Researcher. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*,

25(3), 169–176.

Whittlesea, B. W., & Dorken, M. D. (1993). Incidentally, things in general are particularly determined: An episodic-processing account of implicit learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *122*(2), 227–248.

Zimmerer, V. C., Cowell, P. E., & Varley, R. a. (2011). Individual behavior in learning of an artificial grammar. *Memory & Cognition*, *39*(3), 491–501.