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Stellingen

Behorende bij het proefschrift *Hawks and Doves. Democratic peace theory revisited* van Femke Elisabeth Bakker.

1. Democratic peace theory needs to be revised and take the individual level perspective into account. [this dissertation]
2. The micro-level assumptions on which democratic peace theory rests need to be tested empirically and cannot be used without such a test. [this dissertation]
3. It does not suffice to refer to Kant as a theoretical argument for democratic peace research without explaining the specific interpretation of Kant’s work. [this dissertation]
4. Liberal norms are individually held values rather than norms instilled by the structure of a political regime. [this dissertation]
5. Hawkishness of decision-makers explains their decisions during conflict resolution best. [this dissertation]
6. Structure-centric theories of political science and international relations need political psychology to inform them about the validity of their assumptions. [subject area]
7. Whether the agent-structure relationship is one-directional, two-directional or dialectical, it is prudent to include the actor’s perspective within theories of political science and international relations. [subject area]
8. Experiments are an excellent research instrument to test the microfoundations of International Relations theories. [subject area]
9. Research in the field of international relations could benefit from leaving the normative perspectives of its grand theories behind. [subject area]
10. Writing a dissertation, or any writing that takes up a lot of your time, should follow a path of inspiration rather than a path of motivation. [own choice]
11. Conducting research can benefit immensely from a daily meditation practice. [own choice]
12. Individuals are naturally born with an actor-centric perspective, after which life tends to convince them to submit to the surrounding structures. Those that manage to maintain (or regain) an actor-centric perspective are able to experience a genuine feeling of freedom. [own choice]
13. You create your own reality: what you believe is what you see. [own choice]
What influences decision-makers to decide to attack another country when they are on the brink of war? The main aim of this study is to detect if there is a causal mechanism underlying the decision to attack another country when on the brink of war, and whether or not this mechanism differs between regime-types. It investigates whether or not regime-type, the nature of the conflict, the power used, and hawkish beliefs of decision-makers matter in this decision.

By addressing this question from a political psychological and comparative perspective, this dissertation tests the microfoundations of democratic peace theory simultaneously with alternative theories of decision-making during conflict resolution. The core analytical instrument is a decision-making experiment, executed in the US, Russia, and China. The experimental results are triangulated with a large N study, and a case study.

The overall results show that although the democratic peace as an empirical regularity might still be valid, the theoretical arguments to explain why democracies do not fight with each other turn out to have been built on empirically unsupported foundations. This study argues that an actor-based approach towards decision-making processes within international relations offers important insights to the more structured-based theories of international relations. It thereby convincingly shows that the individual matters, also in international relations.