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ABSTRACT

Infection with nidoviruses like corona- and arteriviruses induces a reticulovesicular network of interconnected ER-derived double-membrane vesicles (DMVs) and other membrane structures. This network is thought to accommodate the viral replication machinery, and protect it from innate immune detection. We hypothesized that the innate immune response has tools to counteract the formation of these virus-induced replication organelles in order to inhibit virus replication. Here we have investigated the effect of type I interferon (IFN) treatment on the formation of arterivirus-induced membrane structures. Our approach involved ectopic expression of arterivirus non-structural proteins nsp2 and nsp3, which induce DMV formation in the absence of other viral triggers of the interferon response, such as replicating viral RNA. Thus, this set-up can be used to identify immune effectors that specifically target the (formation of) virus-induced membrane structures. Using large-scale electron microscopy mosaic maps, we found that IFN-β treatment significantly reduced the formation of the membrane structures. Strikingly, we also observed abundant stretches of double-membrane sheets (a proposed intermediate of DMV formation) in IFN-β-treated samples, suggesting the disruption of DMV biogenesis. Three interferon-stimulated gene products, two of which have been reported to target the hepatitis C virus replication structures, were tested for their possible involvement, but none of them affected membrane structure formation. Our study reveals the existence of a previously unknown innate immune mechanism that antagonizes the viral hijacking of host membranes. It also provides a solid basis for further research into the poorly understood interactions between the innate immune system and virus-induced replication structures.

Importance

Viruses with a positive-strand RNA genome establish a membrane-associated replication organelle by hijacking and remodeling intracellular host membranes, a process deemed essential for their efficient replication. It is unknown whether the cellular innate immune system can detect and/or inhibit the formation of these membrane structures, which could be an effective mechanism to delay viral RNA replication. In this study, using an expression system that closely mimics the formation of arterivirus replication structures, we show for the first time that IFN-β treatment clearly reduces the amount of induced membrane structures. Moreover, drastic morphological changes were observed among the remaining structures, suggesting that their biogenesis was impaired. Follow-up experiments suggested that host cells contain a hitherto unknown innate antiviral mechanism, which targets this common feature of +RNA virus replication. Our study provides a strong basis for further research into the interaction of the innate immune system with membranous viral replication organelles.
INTRODUCTION

All positive-strand RNA (+RNA) viruses of eukaryotes studied to date modify intracellular membranes into unique structures that presumably facilitate viral RNA synthesis. These can therefore be viewed as the “headquarters” of +RNA viral replication (17-19, 323). Elaborate interactions between virus and host are believed to form the basis for the striking, virus-induced remodeling of specific cellular organelles in the infected cell (35, 41, 45, 324). These replication organelles may consist of different sub-structures, such as spherules, tubules, convoluted membranes, paired membranes or double-membrane vesicles. Despite this diversity, two recurrent classes of replication organelles induced by +RNA viruses have been recognized. The first type consists of membrane invaginations that create small ‘spherules’ in the membranes of intracellular organelles or the plasma membrane. Neck-like connections between the cytosol and the interior of the spherule, in which RNA synthesis takes place, are presumed to facilitate transport of viral RNA products to the cytosol for translation and packaging. Spherules of this kind have been described for e.g. alphaviruses, some flaviviruses, nodaviruses, and bromoviruses (27, 48, 50, 60). The second type of structures is characterized by unique membrane tubules and/or vesicles that have a double membrane. During the past decade, this kind of membrane structures has been observed and characterized extensively by electron tomography for arteriviruses, coronaviruses, picornaviruses, and hepatitis C virus (HCV) (20-23, 56, 65). For some of these double-membrane vesicle (DMV)-forming viruses connections between the DMV interior and the cytosol have been observed (23, 56, 65). However, this was not the case for arteri- and coronaviruses, raising the question whether their RNA synthesis takes place inside these vesicles, by analogy with the replication spherules described above. In that scenario, it would be unclear how newly synthesized RNA molecules are exported to the cytosol for translation and packaging (20, 21).

Equine arteritis virus (EAV) is the prototype of the arterivirus family and induces the formation of an extensive reticulovesicular network (RVN) of DMVs, which is thought to be derived from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (20). Furthermore, EAV infection results in the formation of proteinaceous tubules containing the nucleocapsid (N) protein, which were found in close proximity of the DMVs and were suggested to be involved in nucleocapsid assembly (20, 115). The EAV replicase gene encodes two large polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab, the second being a C-terminally extended version of the first produced by ribosomal frameshifting. These precursors are cleaved by internal proteases to yield at least 13 mature viral non-structural proteins (nsps) (reviewed in (4)). Previous studies from our laboratory provided the first detailed description of arterivirus-induced remodeling of host membranes and established that expression of the nsp2-7 part of the EAV replicase polyproteins suffices to induce the formation of DMVs strikingly similar to those formed in infected cells (88). Subsequent studies demonstrated that the same result can be achieved by expressing a polyprotein fragment encompassing nsp2 and nsp3, including the papain-like protease in nsp2 (PLP2) that cleaves the nsp2-nsp3 junction (24, 180). As for other +RNA viruses, the viral non-structural proteins directly involved in RNA synthesis, such as the
RNA-dependent-RNA-polymerase (RdRp), as well as the (presumed) double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) intermediates of viral RNA replication, co-localize with the membrane structures induced during EAV infection (18, 20, 88). When analyzed in more detail however, the dsRNA was mainly located in the core of the DMVs whereas the non-structural proteins were located mainly on the membranes of the vesicles as well as on surrounding membranes (20, 88), as was also observed for coronaviruses (21, 125).

How replication organelles are formed during infection is still largely unclear. One proposed mechanism for DMV biogenesis, termed “double budding”, includes the acquisition of the double membrane by the sequential budding of vesicles into and out of the ER lumen. Alternatively, ER membranes may pair to form double-membrane sheets, which would then bend and undergo fission to produce closed vesicles, a process termed “enwrapping” (18, 88, 180). In a recent study, we detected intermediate structures compatible with both models. Putative intermediates consisting of double-membrane sheets that fitted different stages of enwrapping were, however, particularly prominent, suggesting that enwrapping could be a key biogenesis pathway for EAV-induced structures (180). In the current study, we will refer to any combination of EAV nsp-induced membrane structures, including these intermediates, as “double-membrane structures” (DMS), irrespective of whether they are formed in the context of viral infection or upon expression of viral proteins.

The exact benefits of +RNA viral replication organelles formation remain unclear, although several advantages have been proposed (17, 18, 36, 180, 323). Firstly, the replication organelles could constitute a suitable micro-environment for viral RNA synthesis by concentrating the necessary viral and host proteins. Secondly, they could play an important role in the spatio-temporal coordination of the different steps of the viral replication cycle, such as genome translation, replicase polyprotein processing, RNA synthesis, and virion assembly. The third proposed role is hiding viral RNA species from detection by innate immune sensors. These sensors recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) such as virus-derived nucleic acids, leading to the induction of inflammatory responses and the production of type I interferons such as IFN-β (29-31). These interferons then signal in autocrine and paracrine fashion to up-regulate the expression of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) inducing a so-called antiviral state that strongly restricts further spread of the infection (29-31). The antiviral activities of several of the hundreds of ISGs induced upon type I interferon signaling have been characterized to a certain extent and these impact diverse aspects of viral infection such as entry, genome replication, particle formation, or budding (325). Even though virus-induced replication organelles are a prominent feature of +RNA viruses, there is very limited evidence for a direct targeting of these replication organelles by the innate immune system. To our knowledge, in fact only two reports have described such effects, in both cases on the membranous web formed during HCV replication (326, 327); however, the underlying mechanisms remain to be fully characterized and data for any other +RNA virus are lacking.

We here studied the effects of type-I IFN-induced signaling on DMS formation induced by EAV nsp expression, measured using quantitative electron microscopy (EM) methods that allowed the direct evaluation of the impact of the innate immune response on the
formation of EAV-induced DMS. We observed that IFN-β treatment reduces the number of cell sections showing DMS and drastically changed the morphology of the remaining structures. In order to investigate the underlying mechanism, we evaluated the role of individual ISGs, including cholesterol 25-hydroxylase (CH25H) and viperin, which are known to inhibit HCV membranous web formation (326, 327). However, the candidates tested appear not to be involved in restricting EAV nsp-induced membrane remodeling. This suggests the existence of a previously unknown IFN-β-induced mechanism targeting the formation of the replication organelles induced by arteriviruses and – possibly – other +RNA viruses.

**RESULTS**

**Experimental set-up for studying the interaction of the innate immune system with arterivirus-induced membrane structures**

Our goal was to analyze whether the innate immune system responds to the formation of +RNA virus-induced replication organelles and we hypothesized that such a response could be linked to the type-I IFN signaling pathway, which has an important role in counteracting virus infections from their earliest stage onwards. Human liver carcinoma cells (HuH-7), which are susceptible to EAV infection (Fig. 1A; (180)) and produce high titers of infectious virus, were used based on their responsiveness to IFN-β treatment (Fig. 1B; (328)). When EAV-infected HuH-7 cells were fixed by high-pressure freezing followed by freeze substitution (HPF-FS) and subsequently analyzed by EM, DMS similar to those previously described upon EAV infection in other cell types were readily observed (Fig. 1C) (20, 180). DMVs with characteristic double membranes and cores were abundantly present (Fig. 1C, examples indicated with red arrows) as well as the N protein-containing tubules described previously (20) (Fig. 1C, examples indicated with black arrows). In order to establish whether EAV replication is sensitive to IFN-β treatment in HuH-7 cells, cells were infected with a recombinant GFP-expressing reporter virus (EAV-GFP (329); multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10) and treated with IFN-β from 1 h p.i. onward. A clear dose-dependent reduction of the GFP signal was observed during a single cycle of infection (Fig. 1D).

Because DMS formation requires the accumulation of viral nsps (88) derived from the abundant replication and translation of the viral RNA genome, it is not possible to study the effect of IFN-β treatment on DMS formation directly in infected cells, since the treatment will inhibit overall viral replication and – consequently – nsp synthesis. Thus, to investigate innate immune responses specifically targeting DMS formation, the latter process needed to be mimicked in a system that does not depend on EAV replication. Previously, the co-expression of EAV nsp2 and nsp3 (as a self-cleaving nsp2-3 polyprotein fragment) has been shown to be both required and sufficient to induce DMV formation in transfected cells and such a system can thus be used as a ‘surrogate’ to mimic the formation of DMS outside the context of infection (24).
In order to develop a stable and inducible expression system, we generated polyclonal HuH-7 cell lines expressing EAV nsp2-3 with an HA-tag at the nsp2 N-terminus and a C-terminal GFP tag on nps3 under the control of a tetracycline-inducible CMV promoter (HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP; Fig. 2A) (164). Induction of nsp2-3 expression in this cell line resulted in the formation of uniform DMVs (Fig. 2B), which appeared similar to those observed in HuH-7 infected cells, whereas such structures were not observed in non-induced cells. Although these DMVs were slightly larger than those found during EAV infection and (as expected) lacked the RNA-containing electron-dense core (compare Fig. 1C and Fig. 2B), electron tomography showed that their double-membrane architecture was identical (Fig. 2B). At 24 hours post tetracycline induction, many DMVs were found (Fig. 2B). The presence of the C-terminal GFP tag on nsp3 did not influence the morphology of DMVs, as they were indistinguishable from those induced by expression of HA-nsp2-3 lacking the GFP tag (180). The nsp2-3 expressed in HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells localized to the perinuclear region (Fig. 2C), which is very
similar to their localization in EAV-infected cells (Fig. 1A). While the expression levels of nsp2 were somewhat lower in the tetracycline-induced HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells compared to EAV-infected cells, the nsp2-3 polyprotein was correctly and efficiently cleaved by PLP2, as no precursor protein could be observed (Fig. 2D). In conclusion, this cell line could be used to reproducibly and quantitatively examine the specific interactions of arterivirus-induced membrane structures with the cell’s innate immune responses.

IFN-β treatment disrupts the formation of double-membrane structures

We first checked whether the expression of nsp2-3GFP in HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells and the resulting DMV formation by itself induced an innate immune response, which would imply that the structures can be sensed by the innate immune system. In our setup, this was not the case since no IFN-β or IFIT2 mRNA could be detected after induction of nsp2-3GFP expression in HuH7 or MEF cells (data not shown). We argued that in EAV-infected cells type
I IFN induction might be triggered by other viral PAMPs (e.g. viral RNA), which could affect the formation or function of the replication organelles by inducing the expression of particular ISGs and thus augmenting the general antiviral effect of the innate immune response. In order to address this possibility, we initially asked the question whether IFN-β treatment affects the number of EAV nsp2-3-induced DMS formed per cell. For this time-consuming quantitative analysis, we decided to use chemically fixed samples, which simplified the workflow while providing sufficient preservation to clearly assess the general morphology of the DMS induced upon nsp2-3 expression (see below). The HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells were chemically fixed, scraped from the dish, pelleted, and embedded in an epoxy resin, after which thin sections suitable for transmission EM imaging were cut. By using cell pellets rather than monolayers, these sections represented random planes through the cells. We argued that if there would be a difference in the extent of DMS formation, this would be reflected in the number of cell profiles that contain DMS, as the fraction of random

Fig. 3. IFN-β treatment reduces the number of double-membrane structures formed by EAV nsp2-3 while protein levels and nsp2-3 cleavage efficiency are not affected. (A) Schematic overview of the experimental setup. (B) HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for GFP fluorescence after 24 hours of the indicated treatments. (C) Levels of the indicated proteins in HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells were analyzed 24 hours after the indicated treatments, using Western blotting. The expected position of the HA-nsp2-3GFP precursor is indicated. (D) Example of a mosaic map (right) of a single mesh of an EM grid (tetracycline treated HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells) composed of 1164 images (2048x2048 pixels each) acquired at 6800x magnification and binning 2, which corresponded to a pixel size of 3.2 nm. The close-up (left) was extracted from the mosaic map as indicated. Coloring represents annotations of nuclei (blue ovals) and EAV nsp2-3 induced DMS (green ovals) in this mesh. Scale bars represent 500 nm (left) and 20 µM (right) respectively. (E) In four independent experiments the number of cell profiles positive for DMS (DMS+) was quantified as well as the number of cell profiles containing a nucleus (Nuclei). Multiple mosaic maps were analyzed for each sample. Ratios are calculated as the number of DMS+ cell profiles divided by the number of cell profiles containing a nucleus and p-values were calculated using chi-square tests for each individual experiment; n.s. = not significant; * = p<0.05; ** = p<0.01. The average reduction over 4 experiments was 27% ± 7 (p=0.001).
sections containing structures would decrease. Importantly, we first checked the effect of IFN-β treatment on nsp2-3 expression levels and polyprotein cleavage in HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells, since altered expression of nsp2-3 would likely affect DMS formation and possibly mask specific effects of the IFN-β treatment. Cells were treated with tetracycline and/or IFN-β (Fig. 3A), and nsp3-GFP expression was measured by flow cytometry. GFP signal increased after tetracycline induction, as expected, but was not affected by IFN-β treatment at the concentration used in our experiments (Fig. 3B). Likewise, polyprotein cleavage was not majorly affected since no HA-nsp2-3GFP precursor could be observed after IFN-β treatment, similar to the situation without IFN-β treatment (Fig. 3C).

After setting up this system for DMS quantification, the next step was to quantify nsp2-3-induced DMS in HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells, treated with tetracycline alone or in combination with IFN-β. Using large mosaic maps of EM micrographs (330), we quantified the number of cell profiles positive for DMS as well as the total number of cell profiles showing a nucleus, which was used as a reference for normalizing the total number of cells analyzed (Fig. 3D). In four independent experiments, sections containing 300-500 cell profiles with a nucleus were analyzed for each condition. This revealed a consistent decrease (27% ± 7) in the number of cell profiles positive for nsp2-3-induced DMS after treatment with IFN-β (Fig. 3E). Because the nsp2-3 expression levels were very similar between the samples (Fig. 3B), our conclusion is that the induction of DMV formation by EAV nsp2 and nsp3 was substantially inhibited and that the type I interferon treatment restricts the hijacking of cellular membranes.

Besides the changes in their abundance caused by IFN-β treatment, we also analyzed the morphology of the DMS. As mentioned previously, tetracycline-induced HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells mainly developed the DMV type of DMS (Fig. 4A). In 1% of the DMS-containing cell profiles, we also observed some double-membrane sheets, a presumed precursor of DMVs during their biogenesis (Fig. 4A; bottom panel; red arrow) (88, 180). These sheets were mostly found in the vicinity of DMVs and resembled the two tightly apposed membranes of the DMVs themselves, but they varied in shape depending in part on the sectioning plane for EM. When the tetracycline-induced HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cells were also treated with IFN-β, double-membrane sheets were found in much larger fraction of the DMS-containing cell profiles (36% ± 3) (Fig. 4B,C). With a few exceptions, the double-membrane sheets were found in cell profiles that also contained DMVs (Fig. 4B,C). They were often juxtaposed to intact DMVs and were usually strikingly more extensive than the double-membrane sheets observed without IFN-β treatment (compare Fig. 4A (red arrow) and Fig. 4B). This strong increase in double-membrane sheet formation suggested a major effect in DMS biogenesis after IFN-β treatment.

**Involvement of ISGs in inhibition of DMV formation**
Likely, the effects of IFN-β treatment on DMS morphology and abundance is caused by the products of one or more ISGs, which are expressed as a result of the IFN-β treatment. Since EM is required to distinguish DMVs from double-membrane sheets, a high-throughput screen of the hundreds of ISG candidates was not feasible. Interestingly, two membrane-
associated ISGs, viperin (also called radical S-adenosyl methionine domain containing protein 2; RSAD2) and CH25H (the latter resulting in production of effector 25-hydroxy cholesterol (25HC)) were previously shown to influence HCV replication membranes, which have a similar double-membrane architecture as described for arterviruses (36, 326, 327). Anggakusuma and co-workers expressed HCV NS3-5B proteins in HuH-7 cells, which resulted in the formation of membrane structures similar to those found upon HCV infection (23). Additional treatment of these cells with 25HC triggered, among other changes, the formation of smaller DMVs, leading to the conclusion that 25HC influences the HCV-induced membrane structures (326). The changed DMVs these authors observed were different from the double-membrane sheets that accumulate in our EAV nsp2-3 expression system upon IFN-β treatment.

In order to evaluate whether either of these ISGs may play a role in the biogenesis of EAV membrane structures, we first examined the expression of viperin, CH25H, and a third membrane-associated ISG, phospholipid scramblase 1 (PLSCR1), in parental HuH-7 cells upon IFN-β treatment. The mRNA of both viperin and PLSCR1 was strongly up-regulated,
whereas CH25H mRNA could not be detected, either with or without IFN-β treatment (Fig. 5A). Although the observation that CH25H was not expressed in HuH-7 cells after IFN-β treatment indicated that this factor could not be responsible for the observed effects in our experiments, we decided to test its impact on EAV nsp2-3-induced DMS, since this IFN-induced factor could be relevant during a natural infection if produced by immune cells. We treated HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP with 10 µM 25HC, a concentration that partially inhibited EAV replication in parental HuH-7 cells (Fig. S1). We however found no significant
reduction in the number of DMS-containing cells nor did we observe an increase of double-
membrane sheet formation as observed after IFN-β treatment (Fig. 5B,D). We therefore
ruled out 25HC synthesis by CH25H as a mechanism that could be responsible for the
effects we observed of IFN-β treatment on EAV nsp2-3-induced DMS.

To determine the impact of viperin and PLSCR1 on EAV nsp2-3GFP-induced DMS, we
used CRISPR/Cas9 technology to knock-out either PLSCR1 or viperin expression in the
HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cell line, which indeed abolished their expression upon IFN-β
treatment (Fig. S2). If either viperin or PLSCR1 were required for the effects of IFN-β
treatment we observed (Fig. 4), IFN-β treatment should no longer lead to the proliferation
of double-membrane sheets in these respective knock-out cells. When the DMS in
tetracycline-induced and IFN-β-treated knock-out cell lines were examined, we found no
significant differences in the fraction of cells showing double-membrane sheets when
compared to the parental HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cell line (Fig. 5C,D). Together, these
data suggest that Viperin and PLSCR1 do not contribute to the disruption of nsp2-3-
induced DMV biogenesis.

Strikingly, our results imply that, in the same cell line, HCV- and EAV-induced membrane
structures, which both include DMVs, are targeted by different IFN-induced effectors. For
HCV, 25HC treatment led to the formation of smaller DMVs whereas for EAV DMV biogenesis
was not affected by 25HC. Our study thus suggests the existence of an alternative innate
immune mechanism that antagonizes the viral hijacking of host membranes.

DISCUSSION

Modification of host membranes to accommodate the viral RNA replication machinery
appears to be an essential and universal feature of +RNA virus infection. Our hypothesis
was that – given their abundance in the cytosol of the infected cell – these structures are
a likely target of the innate immune system. Disrupting the formation and/or function of
virus-induced replication organelles would hamper viral replication and could therefore
constitute an effective antiviral strategy for the cell. Our findings show that treatment of
cells with IFN-β (mimicking the triggering of innate immunity after recognition of PAMPs
such as viral nucleic acids) inhibits the formation of arterivirus-induced DMVs. We not
only observed a decrease in the fraction of cells positive for EAV nsp2-3-induced DMS,
but we also noticed the extensive accumulation of double-membrane sheets, which could
be intermediates of DMV morphogenesis that become much more prevalent upon IFN-β
treatment. This suggests that the membrane-curving and/or -fission events that could lead
to DMV formation after initial membrane pairing (180) were inhibited by IFN-β treatment
(Fig. 6). This conclusion is further supported by a preliminary experiment (data not shown) in
which expression of nsp2-3GFP was first induced for 24 hours, to allow DMS formation, after
which IFN-β treatment was performed for another 24 hours. In the latter experiment, the
effect of IFN-β treatment on double-membrane sheet formation was markedly decreased:
only 19.9% of the DMS-containing cell profiles contained sheets instead of 34.4% in cells
treated with IFN-β from the moment of induction of nsp2-3GFP expression. This renders the alternative scenario, IFN-β treatment disrupting existing DMVs and converting them into double-membrane sheets, less likely and strongly suggests that IFN-β treatment indeed influences DMV biogenesis, although we cannot exclude some effects on existing structures. Our data may also shed more light on DMV morphogenesis, since it reinforces the notion that the enwrapping model is a prominent pathway for DMV formation (88, 180). The fact that DMVs were still present after IFN-β treatment suggests that either the enwrapping pathway was only partially blocked or that the remaining DMVs were formed via an alternative mechanism, for example double budding (180).

We tested the involvement of three selected candidate interferon-induced host factors, PLSCR1, viperin, and CH25H (331-333). When CRISPR/Cas9 knockout cells lacking viperin or PLSCR1 were IFN-β treated, the number of cells showing double-membrane sheets did not decrease. This indicated that, although those ISGs were abundantly expressed after IFN-β treatment, neither of them had any impact on DMS formation in our study. CH25H turned out not to be expressed after IFN-β signaling in HuH-7 cells and treatment of cells with 25HC, the antiviral metabolite synthesized by CH25H, did not visibly affect the EAV nsp2-3-induced DMS. Based on these observations, we concluded that the disruptive effect of IFN-β treatment on DMV formation was unlikely caused by PLSCR1, viperin, or CH25H.

In order to identify the IFN-β-induced factors sought, the best approach would be an unbiased screen of all ISGs expressed after IFN-β treatment. Because of the time-consuming type of EM analysis required to identify DMS, high-throughput EM analysis of all ISGs is not feasible and screening would have to rely on other methods such as pull-down assays or co-localization studies that could reveal promising candidates, which could then be analyzed by EM. A previous high-throughput ISG screen, in which the effect of individual ISGs on virus infection was evaluated using fluorescent reporter viruses, included EAV-
GFP (334). Most hits in that screen were well-characterized ISGs, such as the OAS proteins, which are known to affect other stages of the viral replication cycle or components in the innate immune signaling cascade, such as IRF1, which lead to the expression of a variety of ISGs. This study did not yield obvious candidates that could be responsible for the observed effects on EAV-induced membrane structures, although some of these could be tested to confirm this. Other possible candidates that we have not explored yet are transmembrane ISGs, such as members of the membrane-anchored IFITM protein family. Because their main mode of action seems to be the blocking of virus entry from endosomes (335), we do not consider these likely responsible for the effects on replication organelles at present.

Interestingly, the type II interferon (interferon gamma; IFN-γ) pathway has recently been shown to interact with the LC3 conjugating system, which is an established component of the autophagy machinery. LC3 was shown to label the vacuolar membrane of the parasite Toxoplasma gondii (336) and also the replication organelles induced by murine norovirus, another +RNA virus. This serves to recruit immunity-related GTPases induced by IFN-γ signaling to disrupt these membranes and control T. gondii and norovirus infections (336, 337). These pathogen-restricting effects did not depend on the degradative functions of autophagy, rather LC3 seems to function as a “flag” that labels foreign membrane structures. Whether similar mechanisms are also in play upon IFN-β treatment has not been investigated, but is an interesting possibility. Since LC3 (although in some cases also in its non-lipidated form) has been found to co-localize with nidovirus replication organelles (148, 150), it would be interesting to investigate whether this could be related to antiviral IFN-β or IFN-γ effects.

The impact of the disruption of DMS formation following IFN-β treatment still requires validation in infected cells, which would be most easily achieved if the responsible factor(s) were identified, because we could then evaluate the effect of this specific factor on replication organelles during infection. If the reduction in DMS prevalence that was observed after IFN-β treatment is representative for what happens during an infection, this could directly reduce overall replication efficiency. It should be noted though, that in the case of coronaviruses, the size and number of DMVs observed during infection was reported not to correlate with viral fitness in cell culture (131, 186). However, impairment of DMV biogenesis could also indirectly affect viral replication, based on their proposed role in the shielding viral PAMPs from cytosolic innate immune sensors. Even though the precise location of arterivirus RNA synthesis remains unclear, the interior of DMVs in EAV-infected cells labels strongly for dsRNA and is enriched for phosphorous, suggesting the abundant presence of viral RNA (20). The decrease of the number of closed DMVs, as observed after IFN-β treatment, could render this material accessible to cytosolic innate immune sensors and promote their recognition as a PAMP (338). Similarly, the exposure of viral RNA to the antiviral action of ISGs could be enhanced, for example to the ISGs that target viral RNA directly, such as the OAS proteins that indeed very effectively restrict EAV replication if over-expressed (334).

In future research, it would be interesting to investigate whether EAV and other +RNA viruses counteract innate immune responses targeted at virally induced membrane
structures, as so many other immune responses are counteracted by viral evasion mechanisms. Interestingly, the PLP2 protease in arterivirus nsp2 was shown to reverse post-translational conjugation of ubiquitin and ISG15 conjugation to cellular targets (165, 313, 338). Since both conjugation systems are thought to play a role in innate immune responses, these viral activities could be linked to countering the innate immune-triggered disruption of replication organelles.

In conclusion, we here describe a previously unknown effect of IFN-β treatment on viral replication organelles, which is distinct from earlier described effects on the HCV membranous web. This implies that the innate immune system possesses multiple ways to counteract the formation of replication organelles, thus augmenting the importance of these virally induced double-membrane structures as a target of the antiviral IFN-β response. HCV and EAV both belong to the DMV-forming class of viruses (19) and it would be very interesting if membrane structures formed by spherule-forming viruses are also targeted by the innate immune system. If so, the next question would be whether the same or different ISGs are involved. Given the rather large body of literature describing the ultrastructure of viral replication organelles, surprisingly little is known about their actual function during virus replication and the reasons why these replication organelles are such a conserved and prominent feature of +RNA virus replication. Further investigation of the interactions between antiviral innate immunity and replication organelles will likely provide clues on this matter.
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MATERIALS & METHODS

Cells, viruses and antibodies
HuH-7 cells (Bartenschlager lab; Heidelberg University) were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Lonza) supplemented with 8% (v/v) FCS (Bovinco), 2 mM L-glutamine (PAA Laboratories, Pasching, Austria) and non-essential amino acids (PAA Laboratories). 293T cells (Virgin lab; Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis) and wild type
C57BL/6 MEFs (Chen lab; University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center) were cultured in DMEM with 10% (v/v) FCS. All cell culture media contained 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. EAV (Bucyrus strain) and recombinant EAV-GFP were grown on BHK-21 cells as described previously (329). Infections were carried out with MOI 10 unless otherwise indicated and incubated at 37°C. Innate immune stimulation was performed by addition of human Interferon-β (IFN-β; PBL) at a concentration of 500 U/ml unless otherwise indicated. 25HC (Sigma) was dissolved in ethanol before use at indicated concentrations. Cell viability assays were performed using CellTiter 96 AQueous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. GFP and cell viability assays were analyzed using a Mithras LB940 (Berthold) in 96-well plates.

Primary antibodies used were mouse α-HA (Clone HA.C5; Abcam), mouse α-β-actin (Clone AC-74; Sigma), mouse α-viperin (Clone MaPVIP; Millipore) and goat α-PLSCR1 (Clone N-17; Santa Cruz). The mouse α-ISG15 antibody was kindly provided by Ernest Borden (Cleveland Clinic). Antibodies recognizing EAV proteins were described previously: rabbit α-EAV-nsp2 (165) and mouse α-EAV-N (339).

**Plasmid construction**

Expression constructs that contain sequences that code for EAV nsp2 and nsp3 were assembled in pDONR201 (life technologies) with an HA-tag at the N-terminus of nsp2 and eGFP fused to the C-terminus of nsp3. The pDONR construct was then transferred using LR Clonase II (Life Technologies) to either pcDNA3.1-DEST or pLenti6.3/TO/V5-DEST (Life Technologies). Helper plasmids for lentivirus particle production have been described previously (340) and pLenti3.3/TR carrying the tetR gene was purchased from Life Technologies (164). To make CRISPR/Cas9 knock-out cell lines, the LentiCrisprv2 vector was used as previously described (341, 342). Guide RNA sequences are listed in Table S1 (343).

**Production of lentivirus particles and creation of stable cell lines**

293T cells were transiently transfected with the helper plasmids and either the pLenti3.3/TR vector, the pLenti6.3 expression constructs or pLentiCrisprv2 using PEI (Sigma). 72 hours after transfection the supernatant was harvested, spun down for 10 minutes at 2000 rpm, filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and stored at -80°C until use. Subconfluent HuH-7 cells were transduced with pLenti3.3/TR lentiviral particles in the presence of 8 µg/ml polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich) and after 48 hours cells were passaged and transduced cells were selected with 100 µg/ml G418 (Life technologies). After several passages the resulting cell line, named HuH-7/tetR, was transduced again with pLenti6.3 constructs containing the nsp2-3GFP (pLenti6.3/HA-nsp2-3GFP) coding sequence and cells were selected with 12.5 µg/ml Blasticidin S (PAA). Expression of transgenes was induced by the addition of 1 µg/ml tetracycline (Life Technologies) to the culture media for 24 hours. The resulting cell line, named HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP, was transduced with lentivirus particles made with the pLentiCrisprv2 vector with guide RNA's targeting viperin or PLSCR1. All lentivirus transduced cells were maintained as polyclonal cell pools to avoid clonal differences.
between control cell lines and knock-outs after serial transductions and selections, which could potentially delude the results. Cells were passaged at least 10 times before use in experiments to avoid lingering innate immune responses due to lentiviral transduction.

**Western Blotting**

Samples were lysed directly in 2x Laemml sample buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8; 20% (v/v) glycerol; 4% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS); 20 mM dithiothreitol; 0.02 mg/ml bromophenol blue) and separated on SDS-polyacrylamide gels. Samples were transferred to PVDF membranes (Amersham) using a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad) and blocked with 5% (w/v) ELK (Campina) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 0.05% Tween-20. After incubation with specific antibodies, signal was visualized using ECL Plus Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo-Fisher).

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

Cells were grown on coverslips and fixed after 24 hours of tetracycline and/or IFN-β treatment, or 12 hours post infection (h p.i.) with 3% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. After permeabilization in 0.2% (v/v) Triton X-100, coverslips were incubated with antibodies diluted in 5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA). Nuclei were visualized using 1 µg/ml Hoechst 33258 and samples were embedded using Prolong Gold (Life technologies). Samples were visualized using confocal laser scanning microscopy with a Leica TCS SP8 microscope, which was equipped with a 63x objective (NA 1.40; 1 Airy Unit) and a Leica HyD hybrid detector.

**Flow cytometry**

Cells were harvested using trypsin after 24 hours of tetracycline and/or IFN-β treatment and fixed in suspension in 3% (w/v) PFA in PBS. Cells were then washed with PBS and stored in PBS with 1% (w/v) BSA until analysis. Intracellular GFP levels were measured on a BD FACSCalibur and data was analyzed using Flowjo software (Flowjo Enterprise).

**Reverse transcriptase quantitative real-time PCR**

RNA was isolated from cells using the Nucleospin RNA kit (Machery Nagel) and converted into cDNA using RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo) using Oligo(dT) 18 primers. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using iQ SYBR green supermix (Bio-Rad) and a CFX384 Real-Time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad). Gene-specific primers were used to amplify interferon-stimulated genes as well as β-actin and GAPDH as reference genes (Table S1).

**Electron microscopy**

To compare in detail the DMS induced in the HuH-7/tetR/HA-nsp2-3GFP cell line with those present in EAV-infected HuH-7 cells, high-pressure frozen and freeze-substituted samples of both conditions were prepared and analyzed by electron tomography as described previously (180). All the samples used for quantifications in this study were fixed in 1.5%
(w/v) glutaraldehyde in 0.10 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing with 0.14 M cacodylate buffer, samples were post-fixed and stained with 1% (w/v) osmium tetroxide in 0.10 M cacodylate buffer for 1 hour at 4°C. Following subsequent washing with 0.14 M cacodylate buffer and milli-Q water cells were scraped and spun down in heated 3% (w/v) agar in PBS. After solidification, cell pellets were excised and cut into small blocks, dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol, and embedded in an epoxy resin (LX-112, Ladd research). After polymerization, 100 nm sections were cut from the blocks and placed on mesh-100 electron microscopy grids, post-stained with 7% (w/v) uranyl acetate and Reynolds lead citrate and analyzed using a FEI Tecnai 12 BioTwin equipped with an Eagle cooled slow-scan charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (FEI). Mosaic maps were generated as previously described (330) at 6800x magnification and binning 2, which corresponded to a pixel size of 3.2 nm.

Quantification and statistical analysis
Mosaic maps of several meshes (approximately 170 µm x 170 µm per mesh) of an EM grid were analyzed for each sample. Cell profiles that were positive for arterivirus-associated DMS, cell profiles positive for double-membrane sheets, as well as cell profiles that contained a nucleus were annotated and counted using Aperio ImageScope software (Leica). Data of 3-4 meshes were combined and compared to parallel control samples. Datasets from individual experiments (e.g. control and IFN-β treated) were randomized prior to manual annotation to avoid detection bias. Statistical analysis of individual experiments was performed either using a chi-square test (one degree of freedom) comparing the ratios in different conditions of cell profiles positive for DMS (DMS+) over the total number of cell profiles containing a nucleus or the fraction of cell profiles containing double-membrane sheets out of all DMS+ cell profiles. Statistical analysis of replicate experiments was performed with an unpaired student’s t-test.

Data availability
One mosaic map of each condition used in this study is available at the DANS data repository as an example (http://dx.doi.org/10.17026/dans-zku-4cgy).
SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Fig. S1. EAV replication is affected by 25HC treatment. HuH-7 cells were infected with EAV-GFP and from 1 hour after infection onwards cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of 25-hydroxy cholesterol (25HC). At 20 h p.i., cells were fixed and GFP levels determined. A non-infected control plate was used for a cell viability assay (MTS) performed at the time of fixation to check for cytotoxicity of 25HC treatment. 25HC treatment was verified with RT-qPCR of SREBF2. Error bars represent the standard deviation of quadruplets.

Fig. S2. CRISPR/Cas9 mediated knock-out of viperin and PLSCR1. Protein expression of genes targeted using CRISPR/Cas9 was analyzed using western blotting. Expression of nsp2-3 was induced in all cells using 1 µg/ml tetracycline for 24 hours and samples were treated with 500 U/ml IFN-β as indicated. Two different guide RNAs targeting both ISGs were used, each leaving very little residual expression in the polyclonal cell pool. The cell pool with the lowest level of residual expression was used for EM analysis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRISPR/Cas9 guide RNA's</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Guide RNA #1</th>
<th>Guide RNA #2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viperin</td>
<td>TGTCATTAATCGTTTCAACG</td>
<td>ATTGCTCACGATGCTCACGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLSCR1</td>
<td>TGTTTTGTGGCAGCTGTGTG</td>
<td>TGCTGTACCCGAAATTGCTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RT-qPCR primers</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actin</td>
<td>AGGCACCCAGGCGGTGAT</td>
<td>GCCCACATAGGAATCCCTGGCAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>GCAAATTTCCATGGCACCCTG</td>
<td>GCCCACCATTGATTTGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viperin</td>
<td>GAGGCGCACAAGAGATGTC</td>
<td>CCAGGATGGACTTTGGAAGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLSCR1</td>
<td>CCTCAGTATCCACCGACAGC</td>
<td>ACTGCGTGGAGTGGACAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH25H</td>
<td>CATGCTGGTAGAGGGTCTGC</td>
<td>GATCCACCGACTTTTCTGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SREBF2</td>
<td>TCAAAGCCTGTGACATCC</td>
<td>TGTACTGTCTGACCTGCTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1. Overview of primers and guide-RNA's used for RT-qPCR and CRISPR/Cas9.