The plasma hemostatic proteome: thrombin generation in healthy individuals
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Summary. Background and objectives: The range of plasma concentrations of hemostatic analytes in the population is wide. In this study these components of blood coagulation phenotype are integrated in an attempt to predict clinical risk. Methods: We modeled tissue factor (TF)-induced thrombin generation in the control population (N = 473) from the Leiden Thrombophilia Study utilizing a numerical simulation model. Hypothetical thrombin generation curves were established by modeling pro- and anticoagulant factor levels for each individual. These curves were evaluated using parameters which describe the initiation, propagation and termination phases of thrombin generation, i.e. time to 10 nM thrombin (approximate clot time), total thrombin and the maximum rates and levels of thrombin generated. Results and conclusions: The time to 10 nM thrombin varied over a 3-fold range (2.9–9.5 min), maximum levels varied over a ~4-fold range (200–800 nM), maximum rates varied ~4.8-fold (90–435 nM min⁻¹) and total thrombin varied ~4.5-fold (39–177 μM s⁻¹) within this control population. Thrombin generation curves, defined by the clotting factor concentrations, were distinguished by sex, age, body mass index (BMI) and oral contraceptive (OC) use. Our results show that the capacity for thrombin generation in response to a TF challenge may represent a model to identify an individual’s propensity for developing thrombosis.
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Introduction

An individual without apparent coagulopathy and a coagulation protein profile where each factor level falls within the normal range of its mean plasma level [1] may still be at increased risk of thrombosis. Clinical screening techniques to determine alterations in hemostasis rely primarily on clot based assays, i.e. the prothrombin time (PT) [2] and the activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) [3]. These assays, while useful in defining dramatic hemostatic defects, whether of genetic or pharmacological origin, fail to discriminate individuals at risk for thrombotic syndromes.

The causes of thrombosis can be viewed in a hierarchy: clear-cut quantitative and qualitative defects, based on single gene mutations with loss of function (antithrombin [4,5], protein C [6,7] and protein S [8,9] deficiency); gain-of-function variants, such as factor (F)V Leiden [10,11] and prothrombin G20210A [12,13]; and high levels of procoagulant factors [notably factor (F)VIII [14], factor (F)IX [15], factor XI [16]] without a clear genetic substrate. Thus, thrombosis is a multicausal disorder, in which genetic and environmental factors (i.e. obesity [17,18], oral contraception [19,20], hormone replacement therapy [20], age [21] and alcohol use [22]) potentially interact. Therefore, a combinatorial approach appears needed to evaluate thrombotic risk.

One approach incorporates epidemiological studies in which the contribution to risk of all possible combinations of risk factors is estimated and evaluated with clinical thrombosis as the outcome. The second approach focuses on developing tests for assessing hemostatic competence, with a primary focus on the measurement of thrombin generation. These in vitro approaches include the whole blood thrombin generation test [23,24], the endogenous thrombin potential in plasma [25], or derived methods in which markers of procoagulant [26,27] or fibrinolytic activity [28–30] are measured.

Thrombin generation curves can be operationally characterized as displaying initiation, propagation, and termination phases [31]. During the initiation phase, minute amounts of thrombin are formed in events, which include: exposed or expressed tissue factor (TF) binding to circulating factor (F)VIIa [32,33]; activation of the zymogens FIX and factor (F)X [34,35]; and conversion of prothrombin to thrombin by FXa [36,37]. This initial thrombin is responsible for the activation of platelets, coagulation procofactors FV and FVIII.
and the resulting assembly of the membrane-bound coagulation enzyme complexes. Clot formation occurs when approximately 2–10 nM thrombin is generated [23,24] and coincides with the onset of the major burst of thrombin generation, which occurs during the 'propagation phase'. In this text we approximate clot time as the time to 10 nM thrombin (as thrombin–antithrombin III). During this phase the majority of thrombin (~ 96%) is generated in a process undetected by clot-based assays. The propagation phase of thrombin generation is associated with clinical bleeding risk in hemophilia [38–41], anticoagulant [42,43] and antiplatelet [43,44] therapies. The necessary concentrations of thrombin required to yield adequate hemostasis have not been determined; however, knowledge that thrombin generation is essential to hemostasis is well established.

Advances in mathematical algorithm development and biochemical analyses have enabled modeling of the complex hemostatic system [45–48]. Descriptions of association states, membrane-binding parameters, enzyme complex assembly, pro- and anticoagulant reaction kinetics have been developed. These data permit computational analytical descriptions of the multi-component pathway of TF-initiated blood coagulation [48]. These numerical simulations of thrombin generation are comparable to thrombin generation curves observed in synthetic plasmas and in whole blood [26,49–52]. Numerical simulations allow the exploration of well-defined clinical databases to generate retrospectively population-based thrombin curves as a potential contributor to thrombosis risk analyses.

In the present study we utilize the quantitative coagulation factor concentration data for the control population of the Leiden Thrombophilia Study (LETS) [53] to generate comprehensive thrombin generation curves for apparently healthy individuals. The combination of numerical simulations with the well-characterized LETS population permits evaluation of the utility of theoretical thrombin generation profiles with other risk factors (i.e. sex, birth control, obesity) to evaluate the general population. This study aims to develop a composite measure that can be used to assess the non-diseased population for potential prothrombotic or prohemorrhagic phenotypes.

Materials and methods

Study population

The LETS [53] is a case–control study where 474 patients with an objectively diagnosed first deep vein thrombosis (DVT; enrollment 1 January 1988 to 31 December 1992) were included, as well as the same number of sex- and age-matched controls. The patients were selected from three anticoagulation clinics in the Netherlands. The healthy controls were acquaintances of the patients or partners of other patients. Patients with known malignancies were excluded and all patients were < 70 years. For our study, we focus on the control group, of which one individual on oral anticoagulation was excluded from these evaluations.

Blood collection and coagulation protein analyses

As previously described [53], whole blood (0.9 vol) was collected from the antecubital vein into Sarstedt Monovette tubes (Nümbrecht, Germany) containing 0.106 m of trisodium citrate (0.1 vol). Plasma was prepared by centrifugation for 10 min at 2000 g at room temperature and stored in aliquots at −70 °C until assayed. The measurements of the levels of the coagulation proteins factor (F)II, FVII, FV, FVIII, FIX, FX, tissue factor pathway inhibitor (TFPI) and antithrombin (AT) were described in detail in earlier studies performed in the LETS population [14,15,53–58]. In brief, FII activity was measured by a chromogenic assay using Echis carinatus venom as an activator [58]. FV:Ag was measured by an in-house-developed sandwich-type enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with two different monoclonal antibodies, both with a high affinity for the light chain of activated FV [54]. The FVII and FVIII activities were measured by one-stage coagulation assays [14,59]. FIX and FX antigen levels were measured by sandwich ELISAs using commercial polyclonal antibodies (Dako A/S, Glostrup, Denmark) [15,57]. TFPI total antigen was measured with a commercial ELISA (Asserachrom Total TFPI; Diagnostica Stago, Asnieres, France) [56].

Numerical simulations

The numerical model of the extrinsic coagulation system [48–51] provides a method for investigating thrombin generation profiles and patterns in a large group of individuals. Computationally generated active thrombin profiles (thrombin plus meizothrombin) are obtained utilizing a software package termed Clot Speed-II [48]. This program uses a web-based interface with a generally applicable fourth order Runge–Kutta solver that provides solutions to a family of time-dependent differential equations. This model was adjusted to take multiple individuals’ factor levels by running the solver on each individual simultaneously. Nine reactants are included in the current model: procoagulant FII, FV, FVII/VIIa, FVIII, FIX, FX and the anticoagulants AT and TFPI. Individual factor levels were obtained on each of the 473 healthy controls and translated into molar (M) concentrations using literature values for the mean plasma concentrations [48]. Following data entry, simulations were initiated with a 5-μM TF stimulus and solved for active thrombin species present at 1-s intervals over 20 min.

Each individual's time course of active thrombin was analyzed using the following parameters: time to 10 nM thrombin (estimated clot time [23,24]); maximum rate of thrombin generation; maximum level of thrombin generation; and area under the thrombin curve. The area under the thrombin curve is calculated by summing active thrombin concentrations at each time point.

Several individual characteristics were used to define subpopulations. We separated the data by sex (male n = 201 and female n = 272), oral contraceptive use (no n = 99 and yes n = 54), age (≤ 45 years n = 223 and > 45 years n = 250), smoking (no n = 305 and yes n = 168), alcohol use (none n =
111, ≤1 drink day⁻¹ \( n = 222 \), 2–4 drink day⁻¹ \( n = 124 \), 5–10 drink day⁻¹ \( n = 11 \), and body mass index (BMI \( \leq 26 \) kg m⁻² \( n = 275 \), >26 kg m⁻² \( n = 193 \)). In the alcohol category five individuals were excluded because of an unknown alcohol history. The thrombin generation curves of each subpopulation were generated by averaging thrombin concentrations at each time point. Subpopulation thrombin profiles are shown as the mean values with the 95% confidence interval.

Additionally, we investigated for each individual whether one factor or the combination of factor levels dominated the outcome of active thrombin generation profiles. Eight simulations were run (FII, FV, FVII, FVIII, FIX, FX, AT and TFPI) independently for all 473 individuals in which one of the eight factor levels was set to 100%, while the other seven were the actual values from each individual. FVIIa was estimated as 0.1% of the FVII concentration [60]. The resulting 4257 thrombin generation profiles were divided into nine groups (eight reflecting the factor held constant and one for the actual values). For each individual within a group, the four thrombin parameters were extracted and group means generated for each parameter. Comparisons between the mean and the standard deviation of each of the four parameters from the group reflecting the actual factor values were made to each of the four parameters characterizing each of the other eight groups.

**Statistical analyses**

Differences between parameters and individual categories were evaluated by means and standard deviations (SD). The 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated by CI\(_{95\%}\) = difference ± 1.96 × standard error (SE), where the difference = mean\(_1\) – mean\(_2\) and the SE = square root of \( (SD_1^2/N_1 + SD_2^2/N_2)\). The 95% CI of the thrombin generation curves, shown in Figs 1 and 3, represents the interval that permits the description of 95% of the range of normally distributed values.

**Results**

Baseline characteristics of the LETS control population are shown in Table 1. The factor levels for all the individuals for FII, FV, FVII, FVIII, FIX, FX, AT and TFPI are shown in Table 2 as mean and SD. As has been published previously [14,15,53–59], the ranges were as follows: FII 55–153%, FV 47–302%, FVII 41–171%, FVIII 49–232%, FIX 52–188%, FX 46–163%, AT 63–125% and TFPI 46–170%. These ranges, excluding FV, are similar to standard clinical laboratory findings. FV levels in this control population were higher than average [54].

**Consequences of each individual’s inventory**

Four hundred and seventy-three comprehensive thrombin generation curves were obtained from the factor levels of these individuals. The time-dependent dynamics over a 20-min time frame in regards to active thrombin profiles for the population were averaged and shown in Fig. 1A with the SD (gray). The average maximum level was 294 nm (SD 136 nm) with a time to 10 nm thrombin of 4.7 min (SD 3.7 min). This large deviation in maximum level and time to 10 nm thrombin illustrates the variety in individual simulations for a healthy population. Therefore, we investigated the control population for the time it takes to get to the maximum level of active thrombin. The mean for the time to maximum thrombin was 10.6 min (SD 1.4 min). We separated two groups (2 SD from the mean), one with a ‘fast’ time to the maximum level (\( n = 13 \)) and one with a ‘slow’ time to maximum level (\( n = 13 \)) (Fig. 1A). The maximum level in the fast group was 579 nm (SD 49 nm) thrombin at 7.6 min (SD 0.2 min). The slow group had lower maximum levels of 368 nm (SD 41 nm) and took longer to reach 10 nm thrombin, 14.4 min (SD 0.7 min). The SD of these two populations is seen in the inset in Fig. 1A. Overall, these simulations illustrate that there are individuals within the average population that generate
Fig. 2. Histograms of the thrombin parameters for the healthy population. Parameters that are used for pattern recognition are (A) time to 10 nM thrombin (min, estimated clot time); (B) maximum rate of thrombin generated (nm min⁻¹); (C) maximum level of thrombin generated (nm); (D) total thrombin generated (µms, area under the curve).

Fig. 3. The influence on thrombin generation by potential risk factors. Numerical simulations were performed on the groups of individuals that fell within each risk factor category. Coagulation was initiated with a 5-pM stimulus of tissue factor and thrombin generation was followed for 20 min. The risk factors are: (A) Sex; women (n = 272) and men (n = 201); (B) age; minimum age 45 years (n = 250) and maximum age 45 years (n = 223); (C) body mass index (BMI) ≤26 kg m⁻² (n = 275) and > 26 kg m⁻² (n = 193); (D) oral contraceptives (OC); OC use (n = 54) and no OC use (n = 99). All thrombin generation curves are shown as the mean and 95% CI.
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To illustrate these simulations we extracted three individuals, a low-, mid- and high-level thrombin generator (Fig. 1B). The maximum level of thrombin is a time-independent parameter, unlike the average simulation shown in Fig. 1A, which is time dependent. For the low thrombin generator, the factor levels were: FII 63%, FV 111%, FVII 102%, FVIII 152%, FIX 77%, FX 115%, AT 122%, and TFPI 100%. The mid thrombin generator had factor levels of: FII 110%, FV 135%, FVII 111%, FVIII 101%, FIX 93%, FX 108%, AT 98%, and TFPI 92%. The high thrombin generator had factor levels of FII 153%, FV 127%, FVII 112%, FVIII 167%, FIX 151%, FX 126%, AT 100%, and TFPI 81%. Their maximum level and time to 10 nM thrombin (parentheses) were: 196 nM (8.9 min); 436 nM (5.0 min); 778 nM (3.5 min). Decreasing maximum thrombin levels corresponded to decreased prothrombin levels. Interestingly, the individual that had the highest level of thrombin also had the shortest clot time.

### Thrombin parameters

The heterogeneity of thrombin generation within the population was evaluated by investigating individual simulations for the initiation, propagation and termination phases of thrombin generation by the parameters: time to 10 nM thrombin, maximum rate of thrombin generated, maximum level of thrombin generated and total thrombin. These latter parameters are time independent and individualized. Therefore, we could evaluate the control population for patterns of thrombin formation that can distinguish individuals from each other. Each parameter is shown as a histogram in Fig. 2A–D. The range of time to 10 nM thrombin for all 473 individuals was

### Table 1 Standard clinical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PT (SD, s)</th>
<th>APTT (SD, s)</th>
<th>Fibrinogen (SD, mg mL⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>11.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>28.0 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>11.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>28.2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>11.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>27.9 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral contraceptive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>28.0 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>11.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>27.8 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 45</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>11.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>28.1 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 45</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>11.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>27.9 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>11.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>28.0 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>11.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>28.1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (drinks per day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>11.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>27.9 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 1</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>11.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>28.0 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>11.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>28.1 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5–10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>27.5 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>28.6 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 26</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>11.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>27.9 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 26</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>11.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>28.1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As determined by the Department of Hematology, Leiden University Medical Center, the Netherlands. N, Population size; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; BMI, body mass index, weight to height ratio kg m⁻²; SD, standard deviation.

### Table 2 Clinical factor levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FII % mean (SD)</th>
<th>FV % mean (SD)</th>
<th>FVII % mean (SD)</th>
<th>FVIII % mean (SD)</th>
<th>FIX % mean (SD)</th>
<th>FX % mean (SD)</th>
<th>AT % mean (SD)</th>
<th>TFPI total % mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>103.7 (14.7)</td>
<td>131.4 (32.5)</td>
<td>110.5 (21.7)</td>
<td>121.8 (32.6)</td>
<td>103.0 (21.0)</td>
<td>103.5 (17.2)</td>
<td>99.1 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>101.7 (14.9)</td>
<td>136.7 (34.9)</td>
<td>107.1 (20.1)</td>
<td>119.6 (32.3)</td>
<td>105.0 (19.5)</td>
<td>103.6 (14.7)</td>
<td>98.7 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>105.2 (14.5)</td>
<td>127.5 (30.1)</td>
<td>113.0 (22.5)</td>
<td>123.4 (32.7)</td>
<td>101.6 (21.9)</td>
<td>103.4 (18.9)</td>
<td>99.4 (9.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral contraceptive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>101.8 (14.1)</td>
<td>122.9 (27.0)</td>
<td>104.3 (20.7)</td>
<td>118.1 (32.1)</td>
<td>92.8 (18.8)</td>
<td>95.1 (16.5)</td>
<td>100.6 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>107.4 (14.9)</td>
<td>112.7 (27.1)</td>
<td>119.4 (23.4)</td>
<td>125.8 (32.9)</td>
<td>115.5 (23.3)</td>
<td>118.0 (19.0)</td>
<td>95.5 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 45</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>103.2 (14.5)</td>
<td>122.4 (29.5)</td>
<td>107.2 (23.3)</td>
<td>115.1 (30.7)</td>
<td>100.0 (20.5)</td>
<td>104.2 (18.1)</td>
<td>100.4 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 45</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>104.2 (14.9)</td>
<td>139.4 (33.1)</td>
<td>113.4 (19.7)</td>
<td>127.7 (33.1)</td>
<td>105.7 (21.0)</td>
<td>102.8 (16.3)</td>
<td>97.9 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>103.4 (15.3)</td>
<td>130.3 (31.4)</td>
<td>111.0 (21.5)</td>
<td>124.6 (32.7)</td>
<td>100.7 (20.2)</td>
<td>101.6 (16.6)</td>
<td>99.0 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>104.3 (13.6)</td>
<td>133.2 (34.5)</td>
<td>109.5 (22.1)</td>
<td>116.6 (31.9)</td>
<td>107.2 (21.6)</td>
<td>106.9 (17.8)</td>
<td>99.3 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (drinks per day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>101.9 (14.2)</td>
<td>127.8 (32.3)</td>
<td>108.8 (22.6)</td>
<td>118.1 (30.5)</td>
<td>99.7 (21.1)</td>
<td>101.4 (17.0)</td>
<td>100.3 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 26</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>106.4 (15.0)</td>
<td>136.6 (32.5)</td>
<td>113.6 (19.7)</td>
<td>127.1 (35.0)</td>
<td>108.2 (19.6)</td>
<td>106.9 (17.0)</td>
<td>97.3 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N, Population size; F, factor; AT, antithrombin; TFPI, tissue factor pathway inhibitor; BMI, body mass index.
Table 3 Individual protein influence on thrombin parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein held constant</th>
<th>Time to 10 nM thrombin, mean, min (SD)</th>
<th>Maximum level of thrombin, mean, nM (SD)</th>
<th>Maximum rate of thrombin, mean, nM min(^{-1}) (SD)</th>
<th>Total thrombin, mean, µM (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal LETS*</td>
<td>5.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>436 (85)</td>
<td>208 (58)</td>
<td>92 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FII</td>
<td>5.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>419 (59)</td>
<td>201 (50)</td>
<td>87 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV</td>
<td>5.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>442 (86)</td>
<td>215 (59)</td>
<td>91 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVII</td>
<td>5.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>435 (85)</td>
<td>208 (57)</td>
<td>92 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVIII</td>
<td>5.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>421 (77)</td>
<td>191 (44)</td>
<td>92 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIX</td>
<td>5.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>433 (77)</td>
<td>205 (48)</td>
<td>92 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FX</td>
<td>5.2 (1.1)</td>
<td>437 (91)</td>
<td>211 (63)</td>
<td>91 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>5.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>431 (81)</td>
<td>206 (54)</td>
<td>90 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFPI</td>
<td>5.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>426 (81)</td>
<td>197 (49)</td>
<td>92 (19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results obtained from the LETS database. F, Factor; AT, antithrombin; TFPI, tissue factor pathway inhibitor.

2.9–9.5 min, with a mean and SD of 5.3 min (SD 1.1 min) (Fig. 2A). These simulations were initiated with a 5-pM stimulus of TF and resulted in an average time to 10 nM thrombin that correlated with whole blood clot times (5.8 min, SD 1.0 min) initiated with the same concentration of TF [26]. At a fixed cut-off of thrombin generation at the < 5th percentile (P5), we identified 21 individuals (4.4% of the population) with a time to 10 nM of < 3.5 min. At the > 95th percentile (P95) we identified 23 individuals that had levels > 7.3 min.

For the maximum rate of thrombin parameter (Fig 2B), the range was 90–435 nM/min, and the mean was 210 nM/min (SD 60 nM/min); levels ≤ 128 nM/min and ≥ 319 nM/min represent the P5 and P95 extremes. The maximum level parameter had a range of thrombin from 200 to 780 nM, with a mean of 440 nM (SD 90 nM) (Fig 2C). The extremes were ≤ 311 nM (P5) and ≥ 650 nM (P95). This range of thrombin maximum levels in the LETS control population (n = 473), overlap the maximum levels determined in an empirical whole blood study of 13 healthy individuals (245–775 nM, with a cumulative mean of 414 nM, SD 111 nM) [26]. The mean of the population for total thrombin (Fig 2D) was 92 µM (SD 19 µM) with a range of 39–177 µM. The extremes of this parameter were ≤ 65 µM (P5) and ≥ 125 µM (P95).

Overall, in regards to the outliers in the < P95 category, 30% of the same individuals were found in three of the four categories: lower maximum rate, less total thrombin, and lower maximum levels. Of these individuals, 13% were also in the > P95% category for taking longer to reach clot time (decreased time to 10 nM thrombin). In regards to the > P95 category, 26% of the same individuals were found in three of the categories: higher maximum rate, more total thrombin, and higher maximum levels. Of these individuals, only 13% were also in the < P5% category for faster clot times (increased time to 10 nM thrombin). Thus, the same individuals are not at the extremes of the thrombin parameters in all cases.

The effect of individual factor levels on the computational model is shown in Table 3. Clot time was affected by a maximum of 5.7% over the actual values in two of the eight factors tested (FV and TFPI). Maximum level was affected by seven of the eight factors tested in the order of: FII > FVIII > TFPI > FV > AT > FIX. The range of effect on the maximum level parameter was 0.6–3.9%. Maximum rate varied up to 8.2% when FVIII was held constant and 5.3% when TFPI was held constant. The only factor that had an influence on total thrombin production was FII (by 5.4%).

Determinants of thrombin generation

We evaluated the influence of sex, age, BMI, alcohol use, smoking habits and oral contraceptives on the parameters of thrombin generation (Table 4). The 272 women who were evaluated included women on oral contraceptives and in menopause. Women clotted faster than men (5.0 min, SD 1.1 min, vs. 5.6 min, SD 1.0 min) and reached higher levels of thrombin (448 nM, SD 86 nM vs. 419 nM, SD 81 nM) at a faster rate (218 nM min\(^{-1}\) vs. 195 nM min\(^{-1}\), SD 51 nM min\(^{-1}\)) than men. Total thrombin was similar in women and men.

Thrombin generation was markedly enhanced in women who used oral contraceptives. This analysis was restricted to premenopausal women aged 15–49 years, who were not pregnant at the index date (n = 10), nor within 30 days postpartum (n = 2) or did not use only depot contraceptives (n = 3). We found that women on oral contraceptives (n = 54) were predicted to clot faster (mean difference 1.2 min, CI 0.9, 1.5), produce more total thrombin (mean difference 14 µMs, CI 8, 20) and at higher maximum levels (mean difference 93 nM, CI 65, 121) that were generated at a faster rate (mean difference 76 nM min\(^{-1}\), CI 56, 96) than women who were not on oral contraceptives.

Individuals (both men and women) who were older (> 45 years old) had significantly more total thrombin (mean difference 5 µMs, CI 1.7, 8.3) than individuals who were younger (< 45 years old). Time to 10 nM thrombin, maximum level and rate were not markedly different.

When we investigated BMI (in all individuals combined), all four thrombin parameters were affected in individuals who had a BMI > 26 kg m\(^{-2}\). Compared with subjects with a BMI < 26 kg m\(^{-2}\), these individuals had greater total thrombin (mean difference 9 µMs, CI 5.5, 12.5), maximum levels of thrombin (mean difference 43 nM, CI 28, 58), faster
rates of thrombin generation (mean difference 25 nM min$^{-1}$, CI 15, 35) and a faster clot time (mean difference 0.4 min, CI 0.2, 0.6). Alcohol consumption and smoking did not clearly affect thrombin parameters.

The dynamic simulations from the influence of sex, age, BMI and oral contraceptives on thrombin generation are seen in Fig. 3. The most pronounced influence on thrombin generation was the use of oral contraceptives (Fig. 3D).

Synergy of the pro- and anticoagulants in influencing thrombin parameters

On comparing the various categories for individual factor levels (Table 2), we saw that women had higher FII (3.5%, CI 0.8, 6.2) and FVII (5.9%, CI 2.0, 9.8) and lower FV (9.2%, CI 3.2, 15.2) and TFPI (12%, CI 8, 16), while FVIII, FIX, FX and AT were not different between the sexes. The use of oral contraceptives in women showed a similar pattern, with increased FII and FVII and decreased FV and TFPI levels. However, FIX (23%, CI 15, 30) and FX levels (23%, CI 17, 29) were increased when women used oral contraceptives. Interestingly, the oral contraceptive user group had lower levels of both AT and TFPI. This decreased suppression of coagulation could explain why oral contraceptive users clot faster, have higher rates, maximum levels and more total thrombin than non-users.

Individuals older than 45 years had higher procoagulants: FV (17%, CI 11, 23), FVII (6.2%, CI 2.3, 10), FVIII (13%, CI 7, 18), FIX (5.7%, CI 2, 9.4) and the anticoagulant TFPI (12%, CI 8, 16). AT was the only factor lower with increasing age. Increasing BMI ($>26$ kg m$^{-2}$) resulted in all of the procoagulants being higher and only the anticoagulant AT (3%, CI 1.1, 4.9) being lower. TFPI levels were similar between high and low BMI levels.

Small changes were seen in the coagulation factor levels from the effect on alcohol. Versus non-drinkers, only FIX was higher in the 2–4 drink population (mean difference 5.6%, CI 0.1, 11.0. In the 5–10 drinks per day population, FVII was less (mean difference 17%, CI 3, 31) and TFPI was higher (mean difference 12%, CI 2, 22) in the drinking population. There were no significant changes between the factor levels for the smoking and alcohol consumption populations, no defined effect on thrombin generation was observed.

Discussion

This study was used to predict how the TF-generated thrombin generation process might vary in healthy individuals in a large population ($n=473$) and suggested that there are individuals who are potentially more at risk than others for
developing thrombosis. We evaluated the clinical inventory (seven procoagulants and two anticoagulants) of the non-
diseased control group from the LETS population and
developed individual TF-initiated (5 pm) thrombin generation
curves utilizing our numerical simulation model Clot Speed II.
The use of the numerical simulation model allowed us to
to combine the results of the individual pro- and anticoagulant
composition into an ensemble, which described the hypothet-
iclally progress of thrombin formation. Each individual’s
hemostatic curve was translated into a defined pattern and
used as an evaluation tool for the subsets of the non-diseased
population.

When all of the individualized dynamic thrombin genera-
tion curves are grouped, the standard deviation of the curve
is approximately 50% of the mean. When we defined
subpopulations based upon the time to reach maximum
levels of thrombin generated, groups could be identified that
deviated by ~2 orders of magnitude from the mean. At one
extreme, individuals reached maximum levels 3 min quicker
than the mean and also had higher maximum levels,
whereas at the other extreme, individuals took almost 4 min
longer to reach maximum levels and had lower levels of
thrombin generated. We speculate that these hypothetical
constructs imply categories of risk. Each individual displays
a unique thrombin generation curve. The wide variation
between analyses implies that thrombin generation is indi-
vidualized and suggests that coagulant hemostatic responses
and hence sensitivity for thrombosis will be different for
each individual.

When we evaluated the initiation, propagation and termina-
tion phases for each individual based upon thrombin param-
eters, we saw a wide spread of values. The time to 10 nm
thrombin varied over 3-fold (2.9–9.5 min), maximum levels of
thrombin varied over ~4-fold (200–780 nm), maximum rates
varied ~4.8-fold and total thrombin varied ~4.5-fold within
the control population. Because of the wide span in these
parameters any one of them can potentially be useful in
evaluating individuals for hemostatic disorders. It is interes-
ting that the time to 10 nm thrombin and the maximum level of
thrombin within this large population overlapped with our
smaller empiric whole-blood studies [26], with the same TF
stimulus.

From the work by many investigators, we know that
thrombin generation is crucial to the hemostatic process
[31,43,61]. Many studies have also identified thrombotic risk
factors such as sex, obesity, and oral contraceptive use. This
study shows that dynamic thrombin generation in the
normal population is influenced by these same risk factors.

Of the determinants studied, the greatest influence on
thrombin generation was in the use of oral contraceptives.
All of the thrombin parameters increased towards a
potentially prothrombotic pattern in women using oral
contraceptives. These potentially prothrombotic patterns
were also seen in some of the other categories: women
over men, both men and women who were > 45 years old
and individuals with a BMI > 26 kg m⁻². By comparing
these patterns of thrombin generation we may be able to
predict who is at risk of disease.

We conclude from these studies that no single factor con-
tributes overwhelmingly to the overall profile in the ‘normal’
population. It is the synergy between the procoagulants,
anticoagulants and the influence from other risk factors. When
simulations for each individual were performed by holding
each protein constant, the influence on the simulation varied by
<9%. The largest change occurred to the maximum level of
thrombin generated when associated with FVIII. Total
thrombin was affected only when associated with prothrombin;
which correlates with previous in vitro observations that
prothrombin as an individual analyte had the greatest influence
on thrombin generation [62]. Thus, thrombin parameters
either individually or potentially in combination can be utilized
to develop a composite profile for an individual.

These studies generate hypothetical thrombin generation
profiles which do not include the contribution of the antico-
agulant protein C pathway, the contribution of platelets (which
were not measured in the LETS population), the contact
pathway or the vasculature. The numerical model that was
used for these studies includes all the plasma pro- and anti-
components of the TF pathway to thrombin generation that
are evaluated in current laboratories; and shows high correla-
tion to our in vitro whole-blood systems and synthetic plasmas
[26,49–52]. These numerical simulations allow for the full
profile of thrombin generation, which surpasses the current
assays that manage the hemostatic balance without assessing
the bulk of coagulation.

Continued studies to evaluate non-diseased individuals with
a combinatorial approach to thrombin generation for poten-
tially prothrombotic or protection from thrombosis patterns
may be informative to predict who is at thrombotic risk. In
addition, clinical evaluation and the influence of classical risk
factors must be considered from an integrated individualized
view.
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