The handle [http://hdl.handle.net/1887/50076](http://hdl.handle.net/1887/50076) holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

**Author:** Kumar, Pravin  
**Title:** Parkinson’s protein α-synuclein : membrane interactions and fibril structure  
**Issue Date:** 2017-06-27
2 Parkinson’s Protein α-Synuclein Binds Efficiently and with a Novel Conformation to Two Natural Membrane Mimics

Chapter 2

2.1 Introduction

Parkinson’s disease [1] is the second most prevalent neurodegenerative disorder [2], characterized by the formation of intra-neuronal protein deposits such as Lewy bodies [3] [4]. The protein α-Synuclein (αS) is the main component of these protein deposits [5] [6]. The protein αS consists of 140 amino acids and lacks a defined secondary structure in solution [7] [8]. Its physiological function is still not clear, although αS has been proposed to play a role in neurotransmitter release [9] [10] and vesicle trafficking [11]. Both these functions involve the neuronal plasma membrane (NPM). The protein αS is also associated with diseases like dementia, and mitochondrial dysfunction [12] [13] and with aging [14]. In the brain, αS is present in high concentrations in presynaptic nerve terminals, it has been found to be associated with synaptic vesicles [15], and also in glia. The protein also occurs in mitochondria, especially close to the inner mitochondrial membrane[16][17] and it is thought to be associated with mitochondrial damage [18][19].

When αS binds to membranes, it attains an amphipathic α-helical structure from residues 1-100 [20] [21] [22]. The membrane-bound α-helical αS forms either a continuous helix (residues 1-100), referred to as the extended helix, or the horseshoe conformation, sometimes also referred to as the broken helix. The horseshoe conformation consists of a helix 1 (residues 3-37), a turn, and a helix 2 (residues 45-92)[23] [24]. Recently a different kink position was suggested [25]. Whether αS binds in the horseshoe or the extended conformation to membranes is still controversial, with some reports supporting the horseshoe conformation [26] [27] and others the extended conformation [28] [29]. Langen and coworkers reported that subtle changes in lipid composition or membrane structure have strong effects on the conformation of αS on the membrane [28]. Previously, we
found that the extended as well as the horseshoe conformation coexist on large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs) composed of the negatively charged lipid 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1′-rac-glycerol) (POPG) [30]. Here we show that the same is true for small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs).

The affinity of αS to membranes depends on the negative charge density (ρ) of the membrane, where ρ represents the molar fraction of anionic lipids present in the membrane [31][32][33]. At higher charge density, both αS helices are tightly bound, but at lower charge density, helix 2 dissociates from the membrane [34].

Since not much is known about the detailed interaction of αS with natural membranes, we investigated the interaction of αS with membranes containing lipids that mimic natural membranes. We focus on two membranes [35]: a. the inner mitochondrial membrane (IMM) and b. the neuronal plasma membrane (NPM), presented in the form of small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs). We applied electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and investigated the binding of spin-labelled αS making use of the mobility of the spin label as an indicator for local binding. We focus on two positions, 56 and 69 (αS56, αS69), in the helix 2. We also monitor the conformation of αS on these membranes to determine whether αS is in the horseshoe or the extended conformation. For these experiments, αS was spin labelled at two positions, 27 and 56 (αS27/56), and distances between the spin labels were obtained by DEER (Double Electron-Electron Resonance) [36]. The label positions 27 and 56 were chosen because for these labels both horseshoe and extended conformation yield distances that are measurable by DEER [30].

We show that according to EPR, αS binds equally well to the two natural membranes IMM and NPM. In spite of the low negative charge density of the IMM and NPM membranes, helix 2 of αS binds more strongly to these natural
membranes than to POPG/POPC model membranes at comparable charge densities. The binding mode differs from what had been observed on model SUVs before. The extended conformation predominates and the second fraction is a horseshoe with a larger opening angle than previously found.

2.2 Materials and methods

2.2.1 Protein expression and labelling

Mutagenesis, protein expression and purification were performed as described previously [37] [38]. Spin labelling was also done following the standard protocol. Briefly, before starting labelling, αS cysteine mutants were reduced with a six-fold molar excess per cysteine with DTT (1,4-dithio-D-threitol) for 30 min at room temperature. To remove DTT, samples were passed twice through Pierce Zeba 5 ml desalting columns. Immediately, a ten-fold molar excess of the MTSL spin label [(1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethylpyrroline-3-methyl))-methanethiosulfonate] was added (from a 25 mM stock in DMSO) and incubated for 1 h in the dark at room temperature. After this, free spin label was removed by using two additional desalting steps. Protein samples were applied onto Microcon YM-100 spin columns to remove any precipitated and/or oligomerised proteins and diluted in buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4). Spin label concentrations for single-cysteine mutants were 2.5 mM and for double-cysteine mutants 5 mM at protein concentrations of 250 µM. Owing to the high reactivity of the label and the fact that the cysteine residues are freely accessible in the intrinsically disordered structure, near quantitative labelling can be achieved under these conditions [22]. Samples were stored at -80 °C.
2.2.2 Preparation of vesicles

The lipid compositions for making SUVs were:

a. IMM = 1',3'-bis[1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho]-sn-glycerol (CL) : 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (POPE) : 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) = 4 : 3 : 5 [16]

b. NPM = L-α-phosphatidylserine (Brain, Porcine) (brain PS) : L-α-phosphatidylethanolamine (Brain, Porcine) (brain PE) : cholesterol (ovine wool) (CH) = 2 : 5 : 3 [39]

c. POPG SUV's as reference = 100 % 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1′-rac-glycerol) (POPG)

All lipids were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc. as chloroform solutions and were used without further purification. Lipids were mixed in the desired ratio and then chloroform was evaporated by dry nitrogen gas. The resulting lipid films were kept under vacuum overnight. Dried lipid films were hydrated with 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 for 1 hour at 30 °C, and the resulting milky lipid suspensions were sonicated for approximately 30 min to make SUVs. The size of the vesicles was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS). The DLS-experiments were performed on a Zetasizer Nano-ZS (Malvern). We obtained vesicles with a homogeneous size distribution around diameter d = 35 nm (NPM) and 40 nm (IMM and POPG SUVs).

2.2.3 Sample Preparation

Aliquots of αS from stock solutions (concentration between 150 µM and 250 µM) were added to the SUVs to obtain a lipid to protein ratio (L : P) of 250 : 1, and incubated for 30 min at room temperature before measuring. All samples were prepared and measured at least three times. Frozen samples for continuous wave
(cw) low-temperature EPR measurements and distance measurements were prepared using 25 % spin-labelled and 75 % wild type (unlabelled) αS (diamagnetic dilution). The diamagnetically diluted protein mixtures were mixed with the SUVs at a L : P ratio of 250 : 1 and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Glycerol (20 % (v/v)) was added to all samples before transferring them into the 3 mm (outer diameter) quartz tubes. The sample tubes were plunged into liquid nitrogen for fast freezing.

2.2.4 Continuous wave-EPR experiments

The 9.7 GHz continuous wave (cw) EPR measurements have been performed using a. an EMX PLUS EPR spectrometer (Bruker, Rheinstetten, Germany) with a super high Q cavity (ER 4119 HS-W1) for room temperature measurements and b. an ELEXSYS E680 spectrometer (Bruker, Rheinstetten, Germany) with a rectangular cavity (ER 4102 ST) for low temperature measurements. The room temperature measurements were done at 20 °C, using 0.63 mW of microwave power, 100 kHz modulation frequency and a modulation amplitude of 0.1 mT. Total time to acquire EPR spectra was 20 min. The low-temperature measurements were done at 120 K using a helium gas-flow cryostat (Oxford Instruments, United Kingdom) with an ITC502 temperature controller (Oxford Instruments). The EPR spectra were acquired using a modulation amplitude of 0.25 mT and a microwave power of 0.63 mW.

2.2.4.1 Simulation of cw-EPR spectra

Spectral simulation was performed using Matlab (7.11.0.584, Natick, Massachusetts, U.S.A) and the EasySpin package [40]. For all simulations, the following spectral parameters were used: $g = [2.00906, 2.00687, 2.00300]$ [41], the hyperfine tensor parameters $A_{xx} = A_{yy} = 13$ MHz, and the $A_{zz}$ was varied (see Table
2.1. Usually a superposition of more than one component was required to simulate the spectra. The parameters were manually changed to check in which range acceptable simulations of the experimental spectra were obtained to determine the error margins. The rotation correlation time ($\tau_r$) of spin-labelled $\alpha$S in solution, i.e., in the absence of the membrane was shown to have an error of $\pm 0.02$ ns. To simulate spectra of $\alpha$S bound to membranes, $\tau_r$ of the fastest component was kept at the value of the solution spectra of the respective mutant.

2.2.5 DEER experiments

All DEER experiments were done at 9.5 GHz on an ELEXSYS E680 spectrometer (Bruker, Rheinstetten, Germany) using a 3 mm split-ring resonator (ER 4118XMS-3-W1). We performed the measurements at 40 K with a helium gas flow using a CF935 cryostat (Oxford Instruments, United Kingdom). The pump and observer frequencies were separated by 70 MHz and adjusted as reported before [26]. The pump-pulse power was adjusted to invert the echo maximally [42]. The pump-pulse length was set to 16 ns. The pulse lengths of the observer channel were 16 and 32 ns for $\pi/2$- and $\pi$- pulses, respectively. A phase cycle (+ x) - (- x) was applied to the first observer pulse. The complete pulse sequence is given by: $\pi_{obs} - \tau_1 - \pi_{obs} - t - \pi_{pump} - (\tau_1 + \tau_2 - t) - \pi_{obs} - \tau_2 - \text{echo}$. The DEER time traces for ten different $\tau_1$ values spaced by 8 ns starting at $\tau_1 = 200$ ns were added to suppress proton modulations. Typical accumulation times per sample were 16 hours.

2.2.5.1 DEER Analysis

In order to analyze the DEER traces and extract the distance distributions, the software package “DeerAnalysis 2011” was used [43]. Experimental background
functions were derived from DEER traces of membrane-bound singly labelled αS under conditions of diamagnetic dilution. The distance distribution was derived by the model free Tikhonov regularization [42] [43]. The distance distributions obtained from the Tikhonov regularization were then fitted using two Gaussians. Errors in the amount by which each fraction contributes to the two distances were determined by changing the amplitude of the two Gaussians independently to determine the range which results in an acceptable fit.

2.3 Results

To be sure of the integrity of the vesicles, all SUVs were checked by DLS before and after adding αS. The vesicles were found to have a diameter $d = 40$ nm for IMM and POPG SUVs and $d = 35$ nm for NPM, values that did not change upon adding αS.

2.3.1 Continuous-wave EPR of αS

Figure 2.1a shows the spectra of αS56 and αS69 in buffer solution, measured at room temperature. The spectra of αS56 and αS69 both consist of three narrow lines. Figure 2.1b and 1c show the spectra of αS in the presence of IMM and NPM respectively. For both αS56 and αS69, the spectral lines are broadened relative to those in Figure 2.1a. The EPR spectrum of αS56 shows an additional feature, indicated by the arrow in Figure 2.1.
Figure 2.1. Room temperature, solution EPR spectra of αS56 and αS69 (a) in buffer, (b) with IMM, (c) with NPM. Black line: experiment, red line: simulation. Arrows show the low-field feature indicating reduced mobility (see text).

More detailed information was obtained by spectral simulation of the experimental spectra, which yields the parameters of mobility of the spin label, the rotation correlation time $\tau_r$ and, for multicomponent spectra, the amount by which each fraction contributes. These parameters are given in Table 2.1. The solution spectra are simulated with a single component. The $\tau_r$ of αS56 is longer than that of αS69. The spectra of αS56 bound to the IMM and NPM membranes consist of a superposition of three and those of αS69 of two components. The $\tau_r$ of the fast component in all spectra was fixed to the $\tau_r$ of the respective mutants in solution. The contribution of this fraction to the total spectrum is smaller than 2.5 % for each spectrum. The slow components with $\tau_r$ values between 2 and 3 ns, contribute at least 87 % and αS56 additionally has an immobile component in the order of 10 %. The $\tau_r$ values and contributions for each mutant are the same within the error margin for IMM and NPM.

For comparison, the $\tau_r$ values for the mutant αS69 on POPG SUVs (34) are 0.39 ± 0.02 ns (for the fast component) and 2.9 ± 0.3 ns (for the slow component), which is larger than found for the IMM and NPM membranes here. We attribute the
Chapter 2

reduced motion of the nitroxides on POPG vesicles to stronger binding because of the higher negative charge density of POPG SUVs and other factors, such as differences in head-group structure of lipids.

Table 2.1. Parameters ($\tau_r$) describing the mobility of the spin label of $\alpha$S bound to natural membranes from simulations of cw-EPR spectra. $\tau_r$: rotation-correlation time, $A_{zz}$: the hyperfine splitting along the Z-direction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>condition</th>
<th>$\alpha$S spin-label positions</th>
<th>fast component</th>
<th>slow component</th>
<th>immobile component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\tau_r$ (ns)</td>
<td>contribution (%)</td>
<td>$A_{zz}$ (MHz)</td>
<td>$\tau_r$ (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buffer</td>
<td>$\alpha$S56</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha$S69</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMM</td>
<td>$\alpha$S56</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.05</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha$S69</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.05</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPM</td>
<td>$\alpha$S56</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.05</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha$S69</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.03</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

na: not contributing in the simulation. For error determination, see Materials and methods
2.3.2 Results of DEER experiments

Figure 2.2 shows the DEER results obtained for αS27/56 bound to IMM, NPM and POPG SUVs; in Figure 2.2a the raw experimental DEER time traces before the background correction are displayed, in Figure 2.2b the experimental time traces after background correction.

The DEER time traces were analyzed by Tikhonov regularization and the resulting distance distributions are shown in Figure 2.2c (for IMM and NPM) and Figure 2.2d (for POPG SUVs). The DEER traces show modulation, i.e., a periodic oscillation of the echo intensity as a function of the time t, see for example the maximum around 1.7 µs (Figure 2.2a for αS on NPM membranes). The oscillation is the Fourier Transform of the frequency of the dipolar coupling between the unpaired electron spins of the two nitroxides. The dipolar coupling reflects the distances between the spins in the ensemble. The shape of the time traces obtained in the experiments is analyzed in terms of the distance distributions. The optimized distance distributions (Figure 2.2c and 2d) result in the fits shown as red lines in Figure 2.2b. Different methods of analysis were tried for αS on IMM and NPM, revealing that the experimental data is not well reproduced with a single, Gaussian distance distribution. This shows that the data cannot be explained by a single, broad distribution of distances, as would be expected for a continuous spread in conformations.

Considering the two contributions to the distance distributions, the larger intensity contribution is centered at a longer distance and the smaller intensity contribution is centered at a shorter distance. The distance distributions were fitted with two Gaussians, the parameters of which are given in Table 2.2.
Figure 2.2. DEER time traces and distance distributions for αS27/56 bound to IMM, NPM and POPG SUVs. (a) Time trace before background correction (black line), red line: background. (b) Time trace after background correction (black line), red line: fit of the time trace with the distance distributions shown in c. (c) Distance distribution obtained after Tikhonov regularization of αS27/56 bound to IMM (black line) and NPM (red line). (d) Distance distribution obtained after Tikhonov regularization of αS27/56 bound to POPG SUVs. For comparison, the same regularization parameter (α = 100) was used for c and d, which seems to be on the small side for d. The peak at 2.6 nm in Figure 2.2d is related to the horseshoe conformation on POPG SUVs. Small peaks shown with asterisks in Figure 2.2c have negligible contribution to the distance distribution according to the suppression tool in DEER analysis, the feature at 5.5 nm in all distributions is related to a background artefact and not relevant.
Table 2.2. Parameters of distance distributions for αS27/56 bound to SUVs of IMM and NPM and model membranes for comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUVs mimicking natural membranes</th>
<th>POPG SUVs</th>
<th>POPG LUVs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMM</td>
<td>NPM</td>
<td>[30]*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distance (nm)</td>
<td>fraction (%)</td>
<td>distance (nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Errors in contribution to fraction ±3 % (IMM and NPM) and ±2 % (POPG SUVs)

*reanalyzed from ref. (30)

2.4 Discussion

In this study, we investigate the binding of αS to natural membranes by spin-label EPR. The membrane is presented in the form of SUVs, composed of lipids that mimic the natural membranes IMM and NPM. To check the binding of helix 2 of αS to these membranes, cw-EPR at room temperature was performed with spin-label positions representative of helix 2 binding, positions 56 and 69. All spectra reveal clear changes in lineshape in the presence of IMM and NPM showing that αS interacts with these membranes. The spectra and the spectral lineshape simulation parameters (given in Table 2.1) of αS on IMM and NPM agree within experimental uncertainty, showing that the interaction of αS with both membranes is similar. The fast fraction of αS spin labelled at position 56 and 69 is below 2.5 % (Table 2.1), which shows that helix 2 is firmly bound to the membrane.

When considering only the relatively small negative charge density (ρ) of the membranes investigated, IMM (ρ = 0.3) and NPM (ρ = 0.2), the tight binding of helix 2 is surprising, since on model membranes studied previously, αS binding is strongest with vesicles composed exclusively of anionic phospholipids (ρ = 1).
On SUVs of mixtures of zwitterionic and anionic lipids with a charge density of \( \rho = 0.26 \), which is comparable to IMM and NPM membranes, the local degree of binding of \( \alpha S \), when monitored at spin label position 69 of helix 2 is even so low that the bound fraction is too small to be reliably detected by EPR [34]. Therefore, other factors than membrane charge must be responsible for the binding behavior of \( \alpha S \). Several studies have shown such effects [44][45][46][47]. In the present case, the specific lipid composition, for example the CL content must play a role, as already shown by Zigoneanu et al.[45] and Robotta et al.[46]. The lipid CL has a very small head group area compared to the head group of other synthetic lipids such as POPC and POPG, along with a tail region, which consists of four acyl chains. Why this inverted-cone-shaped lipid promotes \( \alpha S \) binding is presently unclear, however, it is a likely candidate to promote binding of \( \alpha S \) on our IMM SUVs as well. This could be tested by measurements on membranes with different amounts of CL as done in ref. [45] and [46]. The second natural membrane we investigated, NPM, does not contain CL, and it is not clear what causes binding comparable to that for IMM mimics. Besides membrane charge and CL content, several other factors, for example, membrane phase, lipid saturation [27] and posttranslational modification of \( \alpha S \) [47] were shown to influence the affinity of \( \alpha S \) to the membrane.

The distances measured by DEER report on the conformation of \( \alpha S \) on the membranes. As in the binding studies, the results of the DEER experiments are similar for IMM and NPM, showing that also the conformation of \( \alpha S \) is similar on both membranes. As described in the results section, a two-peaked distance distribution fits the data better than a single component, showing that there are two distinct conformations of \( \alpha S \). The long-distance component agrees well with the distance attributed to the extended conformation (Table 2.2). On IMM and on
NPM SUVs, this is the major fraction, which also reveals that more than half of the αS binds to the membrane in the extended conformation. The second fraction has a shorter distance, a distance that is too short for an extended helix conformation. However, the distance is longer than that of the αS horseshoe conformation on micelles (2.7 nm) [23], on SUVs (shown in Figure 2.2d and Table 2.2), and on LUVs [30]. The helix 2 appears to be firmly bound, so this fraction cannot be due to a flexible helix 2 section of the protein, and the DLS results show that the SUVs are intact in the presence of αS. Therefore, we attribute this form to a horseshoe-like conformation with a larger opening angle than the horseshoe conformation found on SDS micelles or POPG SUVs and LUVs (Table 2.2). The molecular interactions leading to this conformation is not clear, and why it is stabilized by the natural-membrane mimics is difficult to answer. The distance between the helices is too large to enable intramolecular interactions of the sidechains of the helix residues. Specific turn configurations of the residues linking the two helices [48], protein-membrane interactions or the formation of αS-aggregates on the membrane have been discussed as factors leading to the horseshoe conformation, however, so far no conclusive interpretation has been found.

To characterize this novel form in detail, distances between more spin-label pairs would be useful, studies we are planning in the future.

The larger-opening-angle horseshoe conformation is another example of the variability in αS-membrane interaction. The tight binding of αS to the natural membrane-mimics again emphasizes that αS is perfectly suited to interact with such membranes, suggesting that the co-localization and the presumed function may very likely involve these membranes.
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