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Abstract

Although many tissues express estrogen receptor (ER)α, most studies focus on breast cancer where ERα occupies just a small fraction of its total repertoire of potential DNA-binding sites, based on sequence. This raises the question: Can ERα occupy these other potential binding sites in a different context? Ligands, splice variants, posttranslational modifications, and acquired mutations of ERα affect its conformation, which may alter chromatin interactions. To date, literature describes the DNA-binding sites of ERα (the ERα cistrome) in breast, endometrium, liver, and bone, in which the receptor mainly binds to enhancers. Chromosomal boundaries provide distinct areas for dynamic gene regulation between tissues, where the usage of enhancers deviates. Interactions of ERα with enhancers and its transcriptional complex depend on the proteome, which differs per cell type. This review discusses the biological variables that influence ERα cistromics, using reports from human specimens, cell lines, and mouse tissues, to assess whether ERα genomics in breast cancer can be translated to other tissue types.

Abbreviations

CTCF, CCCTC-binding factor; CYP450, cytochrome P450; ER, estrogen receptor; ERE, estrogen receptor element; ESR1, gene that encodes for estrogen receptor α; ETS, E26 transformation specific; FOXA1, forkhead box protein A1; GATA, GATA-binding protein; PBX1, pre-B-cell leukemia transcription factor 1; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; SRC, steroid receptor coactivator.
**Introduction**

Historically, estrogen receptor (ER)α biology is a major focus of attention due to its crucial role in breast cancer development, progression and treatment. More recently, ERα biology in several other tissues gained interest, including reproductive tissues such as prostate and endometrium (inner epithelial lining of the uterus), but also nonreproductive tissues like the liver, bone, and brain (Figure 1). Current methods that target ERα in breast cancer treatment, affect these tissues differently.

Breast cancer is the most diagnosed cancer in women worldwide, with 1.67 million new cases and over half a million deaths, each year. Clinical studies report that 75% of breast tumors express ERα, a hormone-dependent transcription factor that is essential for tumor growth. To block ERα-dependent tumor growth, breast cancer patients often receive tamoxifen. This small molecule inhibitor competes with estrogen to bind ERα. Although tamoxifen blocks tumor growth in breast cancer, it acts as an agonist for ERα in endometrium and osteoblasts, leading to increased risk for endometrial cancer and increased bone density, respectively. Thus, by targeting ERα in breast cancer, many other tissues are affected: sometimes this is beneficial, sometimes this is harmful (Table 1).

*Figure 1. Tissues that are reported to provide genomic interplay between ERα and (putative) pioneer factors. For references, see text.*
Despite many reports on the molecular mechanism of ER\(\alpha\) in breast cancer, we lack knowledge on the genomic action of ER\(\alpha\) in many other tissues. Although over a century of clinical studies illustrate that ER\(\alpha\) biology is essential throughout the body, molecular studies are comparatively new with genome-wide ER\(\alpha\)-binding studies that are only technically feasible since the last decade (reviewed by Flach et al\(^{13}\)). Genomic studies are crucial to determine the interplay between ER\(\alpha\) and chromatin, which at specific locations, regulates genes in a tissue-specific manner.

Here, we review genomic data of ER\(\alpha\) in multiple tissue types to compare their cistromic repertoires, and to highlight the effects that endocrine treatment of breast cancer has on this. New data provide opportunities to compare genomic activity of ER\(\alpha\) in different physiological contexts, as multiple studies report on the genomic behavior of ER\(\alpha\) in breast, endometrium, bone, and liver. We choose to discuss ER\(\alpha\)’s cistrome, and exclude that of ER\(\beta\) due to limited cistromic data on the latter. We focus on five topics: 1) the effects of ligands on ER\(\alpha\); 2) tissue-specific isoforms and ligand-independent conformational changes of ER\(\alpha\); 3) the genomic distribution of ER\(\alpha\) in various tissues; 4) the dynamic chromosomal architecture that influences ER\(\alpha\); and finally 5) the tissue-specific differences in proteome required for ER\(\alpha\)’s interaction with the chromatin. A better understanding of how drugs that target ER\(\alpha\) in breast cancer affect other tissues provides a rationale for improving tailored endocrine treatment.

How Do Different Ligands Affect ER\(\alpha\) Throughout the Body?

Estrogens affect many different tissues that involve both healthy physiological and pathological processes. A link between ovarian function (the main source of estrogens in premenopausal women) and breast cancer was first reported in 1882 when the breast tumor of a woman regressed as she went into menopause\(^{14}\). This observation eventually led to the concept of ovariectomy as a treatment for breast cancer. And although a third of breast cancer patients benefited from this\(^{15}\), it associated with a high mortality rate\(^{16}\).

Currently, endocrine therapies represent the mainstay for hormonal intervention of breast cancer treatment. Small molecule ligands, such as fulvestrant and tamoxifen, compete with estrogens to bind ER\(\alpha\)’s ligand-binding domain. Fulvestrant targets the ER\(\alpha\) for proteosomal degradation\(^{17}\), whereas tamoxifen alters coregulatory recruitment\(^{18}\). Alternatively, aromatase inhibitors are prescribed to block estrogen synthesis.

Aromatases, members of the cytochrome (CY)P450 superfamily, convert androgens into estrogens\(^{19}\). Mainly the ovaries in premenopausal
women, but also fat cells\textsuperscript{20-22} and skin cells\textsuperscript{23}, express aromatases. Likewise, CYP450 enzymes convert tamoxifen into its active metabolites\textsuperscript{24}. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in genes that encode CYP450 enzymes may increase or decrease enzymatic activity for the conversion of androgens into estrogens (or small competitive molecules into their active metabolites), and thus alter their concentration\textsuperscript{25}.

The bloodstream carries estrogens, bound mainly to sex hormone-binding globulin\textsuperscript{26} or serum albumin\textsuperscript{27-29}, to various organs. When unbound, estrogens diffuse through cell membranes and activate ER\textsubscript{\alpha}\textsuperscript{29}. This causes a string of events as ER\textsubscript{\alpha} dissociates from chaperones, binds the chromatin, and recruits coregulators\textsuperscript{30} to regulate gene expression. In this way, estrogens drive development of female secondary sexual characteristics such as breast maturation\textsuperscript{31}, ovulation\textsuperscript{32} and endometrial thickening\textsuperscript{33}, but also sometimes oncogenesis. Although initially linked to reproductive organs, estrogens also play many roles in nonreproductive organs, including bone density, liver metabolism and cognitive function (Table 1). Estrogens affect distinct genes depending on these tissues\textsuperscript{34,35}.

ER\textsubscript{\alpha} contains multiple domains including a DNA-binding domain, a hinge region and a ligand-binding domain. Within the ligand-binding domain lies helix12, which is crucial for the interaction with coregulators. Helix12 adapts its conformation when ligands bind ER\textsubscript{\alpha}. How this structure is altered depends on the ligand: ER\textsubscript{\alpha} in complex with agonists mediates interaction with coregulators, whereas ER\textsubscript{\alpha} in complex with antagonists inhibits these interactions (Figure 2)\textsuperscript{36,37} and instead recruits other interacting partners to the complex\textsuperscript{18}. Although this alternative composition of helix12 explains tamoxifen’s antagonistic effects in breast cancer, tamoxifen’s agonistic features remain obscure.

After the success of tamoxifen in the treatment of breast cancer, novel small molecule inhibitors followed, such as raloxifene. Like tamoxifen, these new drugs compete for the ligand-binding domain of ER\textsubscript{\alpha}. Both tamoxifen and raloxifene require interaction with amino acid D351 of the ligand-binding domain of ER\textsubscript{\alpha} for their estrogenic/antiestrogenic properties\textsuperscript{38}. Raloxifene has a side chain that shields D351 of the ER\textsubscript{\alpha}, which renders the complex antiestrogenic\textsuperscript{38,39}. This occurs due to a raloxifene-induced relocation of helix12 so that coactivators required for agonistic effects no longer bind. Tamoxifen lacks this specific side chain, causing D351 to allosterically influence activation of the receptor\textsuperscript{37}. Currently, third generation antiestrogens, including lasofoxifene are being investigated for their clinical effects.

The influence of ER\textsubscript{\alpha} exceeds breast cancer as illustrated by both physiological and pathological effects of hormones throughout the body. Many studies report that endocrine therapies disrupt beneficial effects of estrogen in nonreproductive organs (Table 1). Tamoxifen for example, increases
risk for endometrial cancer \(^8\text{-}10\) and associates with cognitive decline in a subset of patients \(^40\text{-}42\), whereas aromatases decrease bone density \(^43\).

To prevent harmful effects of estrogens, while maintaining its benefits, requires knowledge on the genomic action of ER\(\alpha\) for each different physiological context. But although multiple clinical and molecular studies report on estrogens and endocrine therapies to affect several tissues, many lack genomic data to describe the impact of endocrine intervention on the cistrome of ER\(\alpha\).

### How Do Ligand-Independent Conformational Changes of ER\(\alpha\) Affect Its Cistrome?

Different tissues express different levels of ER\(\alpha\). Estrogens \(^44\text{-}46\) and other hormones \(^45\) regulate ER\(\alpha\) levels but little is known about the transcription factors involved. Epigenetic mechanisms, such as DNA methylation and histone acetylation, regulate ER\(\alpha\) expression \(^47\). ER\(\alpha\) expression levels may not only influence its cistrome but also affect the detection of ER\(\alpha\) binding that can be measured by current techniques. Most studies generate data
with antibodies that are unable to distinguish variants of the receptor, such as splice variants, posttranslational modifications, or mutations. ERα variants influence both the activation of ERα and its downstream effects on gene regulation. These variants might differ in levels in a tissue-specific fashion and thus add a layer of regulation to the cistromic repertoire of ERα.

Isoforms may be differentially expressed per tissue due to alternative splicing and promoter usage (Figure 2). The prevalent splice variants of ERα are 66, 46, and 36 kDa. ERα-66 contains six domains, including a ligand-binding domain and an activation domain 1. ERα-46 lacks the activation domain 1 and ERα-36 lacks both the activation domain 1 as well as most of the ligand-binding domain48,49.

Studies in mice on RNA levels of ERα variants50 show that the female reproductive organs mainly produce ERα-66, whereas nonreproductive tissues also express it, but at lower levels. The heart, both of female and male mice, mostly expresses ERα-46, whereas ERα-36 is prevalent in kidney and liver of female mice only. Many of these splice variants

---

**Figure 2.** An overview of reported factors that influence the ERα cistrome. Conformational cues (green zone) alter the conformation of ERα, thereby influencing its potential to interact with the chromatin and interaction partner(s), whereas environmental cues by the chromatin (white zone) affect the capacity of genomic regions to bind ERα. Some cues provide opportunities for ERα to bind throughout the body (white part of the circle), whereas other cues occur in a tissue-specific manner (purple part of the circle). PTM: Posttranslational Modification.
named above however, have yet to be validated on the protein level in these tissues, both in mice and in humans.

The extracellular environment influences signaling pathways within the cell, which differs per tissue and may modify ERα posttranslationally. Hence, it can alter ERα’s cistrome and transcriptional capacity (Figure 2). Examples of such posttranslational modifications include phosphorylation, acetylation and S-nitrosylation. Phosphorylation of ERα at serines 104, 106, and 118 influences its ligand-independent activation, whereas phosphorylation at S305 redirects ERα to new transcriptional start sites and allows cofactors to bind in the presence of tamoxifen, leading to agonistic effects. Acetylation at lysine 266 and lysine 268 increases transcriptional activity of ERα, whereas S-nitrosylation of cysteines in the DNA-binding domain inhibits it. However, it remains undetermined whether the latter posttranslational modifications on ERα also give rise to an altered cistrome.

Acquired mutation of the gene that encodes ERα (ESR1), which occurs in approximately 20% of metastasized breast cancers, may also influence the ERα cistrome. This acquired mutation generally occurs at Y537, D538 or both, in helix12 of the ligand-binding domain. Due to these mutations, helix12 adapts a more estrogen-like conformation that creates a constitutively active ERα. Whether these mutations alter the ERα cistrome as compared with wildtype receptor, and whether other tissue-specific cancers also produce mutations in ESR1 on this type of scale, remains unexplored.

**How Is ERα Distributed Across the Genome in Various Tissues?**

The number of ERα-binding sites in MCF-7 cells increases upon estrogen or tamoxifen treatment, in comparison with hormone depletion. In case of a short treatment, ERα binds the same chromatin sites irrespective of the ligand, although signal intensity is typically highest for estrogen treatment. Upon prolonged tamoxifen treatment (in the order of months), the ERα cistrome shifts and the MCF-7 cells acquire tamoxifen resistance as they regain proliferative potential despite treatment. These data illustrate the dynamic nature of ERα-binding sites.

ERα sites vary between primary breast tumors, and also between breast cancer cell lines. When it comes to breast cancer patients, these differences in ERα cistrome enable patient stratification on outcome, highlighting the clinical significance of ERα cistromics.

To date, public genome-wide data to describe ERα patterns in healthy mammary tissue exist only for mammary glands from healthy-6-week-old...
mice. Similar to human breast cancer, ERα occupies mostly enhancers in healthy mouse mammary glands, at DNA motifs for ERα (ESR1) but also other transcription factors, such as Transcription Factor AP-2 (activating enhancer binding protein 2, TFAP2) and Jun. Although genomic studies on human breast cancers identified thousands of ERα-binding sites (at DNA regions with strong enrichment for forkhead motifs), genomic data on healthy mice mammary glands show only hundreds of ERα-binding sites (lacking strong enrichment for forkhead motifs).

It remains unclear how the difference in ERα sites of breast cancer compared with healthy tissue affects tumor biology. The higher amount of ERα sites in breast cancer potentially relates to TNFα signaling, which regulates interactions of forkhead box protein A1 (FOXA1) with the chromatin and expands the number of ERα-binding sites in breast cancer cells. However, the contrasts between mammary glands derived from healthy mice and breast cancer patients have yet to be confirmed by other studies because technical factors such as antibody specificity between different species, available tissue material, and bioinformatic thresholds, potentially influence the data.

Genomic studies in cell lines reported little resemblance in ERα cistromics between breast cancer cell line T47D and endometrial cancer cell line Ishikawa. ERα shares only 19% of binding sites between these cell lines, with deviating estrogen-responsive gene expression profiles. Shared ERα-binding sites contain high-affinity estrogen receptor elements (EREs), lack DNA methylation, and gain accessibility upon estrogen treatment. In contrast, cell type-specific ERα-binding sites lack high-affinity EREs and display specific DNA methylation at accessible chromatin. Cell type-specific ERα sites also show distinct DNA motifs, such as forkhead and GATA-binding protein (GATA)3 motifs at T47D-unique regions, and E26 transformation specific (ETS) protein motifs in Ishikawa-unique regions. But because ETS factors interact with ERα in MCF-7 cells, differences in motifs between these two cell lines might have little physiological implications, and therefore require biological validation in multiple models.

A translational study identified ERα sites in several endometrial tumors from breast cancer patients who received tamoxifen, and compared these with breast tumors. The data show both unique and shared binding sites between endometrial tumors and breast cancer. The ERα cistrome in these tamoxifen-associated endometrial tumors locate mainly at distal intergenic regions and introns, containing acetylation of histone H3 at lysine 27 (a marker for activity) and RNA polymerase II, suggesting occupancy at active enhancers. The ERα cistromes between these 2 reproductive tissues
show much resemblance, reinforcing the question how tamoxifen blocks cell proliferation in one tissue while stimulating proliferation in the other.

Healthy mouse uteri are estrogen-responsive, and their ER\(\alpha\) cistromes contain not only motifs previously found in breast cancer, but also unique motifs. ER\(\alpha\)-binding sites in the uterus triple in numbers after estrogen injection of ovariectomized mice, locating mainly at introns and distal intergenic regions that contain RNA polymerase II\(^{80}\). ER\(\alpha\) sites with an ERE contain motifs of other nuclear receptor family members, whereas ER\(\alpha\) sites lacking ERE motifs show motifs for HOX homeodomain-protein transcription factors and their cofactor pre-B-cell leukemia transcription factor 1 (PBX1, previously identified as a putative pioneer factor in breast cancer)\(^{81}\). Although the increase of binding sites resemble the genomic behavior of ER\(\alpha\) in breast cancer cell lines, the motifs are very different, suggesting tissue-specificity of ER\(\alpha\) interactions with the chromatin.

Genomic ER\(\alpha\) data in liver\(^{82}\) show differences and similarities with the tissues described above. Similarly, ER\(\alpha\)-binding sites locate at distal intergenic and intronic regions that contain EREs as well as motifs for forkhead, activator protein 1, and ETS factors. In addition, the expression of ER\(\alpha\)-target genes increases upon estrogen treatment. In contrast to what was found in other tissues, liver tissue contains ER\(\alpha\)-binding sites proximal to genes involved in energy metabolism.

Thus far, the ER\(\alpha\) cistrome has been reported in breast, endometrium, bone, and liver (Table 2). More tissues can be tested but some will have obstacles such as the brain, where biopsies are either taken postmortem (cut off from normal blood supply), or from diseased tissue (thus enriching for abnormalities). Another obstacle is that some tissues have very low levels of ER\(\alpha\) as described above, which makes it more difficult to measure its cistrome. Cell lines allow for manipulation to identify proteins that mediate ER\(\alpha\)’s function, but the number of ER\(\alpha\)-positive cell lines in various tissues is limited. Consequently, many parts of the ER\(\alpha\) cistrome are uninvestigated and require innovative approaches to overcome these obstacles.

**Can Chromosomal Architecture Influence ER\(\alpha\) Distribution?**

The increased number of ER\(\alpha\)-binding sites upon estrogen induction in MCF-7 cells and mouse uteri illustrates the dynamic nature of ER\(\alpha\) cistromics\(^{80,83}\). This dynamic nature of ER\(\alpha\) is in part facilitated by the surrounding chromatin, which needs to be accessible for ER\(\alpha\) to bind. Chromatin organization is essential for proper gene regulation as shown in acute myeloid leukemia\(^{84}\) as well as malformation of limbs\(^{85}\), in which disruptions of chromosomal boundaries at topologically associated domains
cause inappropriate gene expression. These chromosomal boundaries confine regions that require coordinated regulation, thereby shielding other regions that require a different mode of regulation. Chromosomal boundaries are stable across cell types but can be disrupted during oncogenesis, which may potentially affect the ERα cistrome and change estrogen-mediated gene expression.

In healthy tissues, chromosome boundaries are stable across cell types, but the regions within each domain are dynamic so that they can regulate genes according to their cell type. Within chromosomal boundaries, each region can contain multiple genes and regulatory elements such as enhancers and promoters. Enhancers control cell type specificity of gene expression, and although many enhancers are inactive in certain cells, they do function in other cells or respond to stimulation.

Active enhancers are essential for ERα action. A CRISPR-Cas9 dropout screen in the breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and T47D identified ERα bound enhancers required for proliferation. These data suggest individual ERα sites to have substantial downstream effects on cell proliferation.

When regulatory elements of the genome differ per tissue, enhancer-binding transcription factors, such as ERα, will follow this divergent enhancer-activity (Figure 2). This is exemplified by data that show ERα binds near genes involved in osteoblast differentiation in bone, luminal breast cancer-defining genes in breast cancer, and energy metabolism in liver. Thus, the chromosomal architecture defines the tissue-specific cistrome of ERα through tissue-specific enhancer-usage. Still, because many tissue types are relatively understudied, ERα could be more promoter-centered in yet unexplored tissues or during specific stages of tissue development.

Table 2. Overview of Public Genomic ERα Binding Sites in Different Cell-Types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Main binding regions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>MCF-769,71,81,173 T-47D173 Patient tumors64,67 Mouse70</td>
<td>ChIP(-seq)</td>
<td>Enhancer + intron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>Ishikawa75,77 Patient tumors79 Mouse80</td>
<td>ChIP-seq</td>
<td>Enhancer + intron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>*ERα–U2OS119</td>
<td>ChIP-on-chip</td>
<td>Enhancer + intron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Mouse82</td>
<td>ChIP-on-chip</td>
<td>Enhancer + intron</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This U2OS cell line expresses ERα exogenously.
As described above, ERα mainly occupies distal enhancers (in the reported tissues breast, endometrium, bone, and liver) and requires chromatin looping to interact with proximal promoters of genes to regulate expression\(^{93-97}\). Chromosomal looping involves CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF), a ubiquitously expressed transcription factor that confines genes that require coregulation\(^{98,99}\), and defines ERα action\(^{100}\). Irrespective of hormonal treatment, CTCF binds genomic regions that ERα also occupies and that associate with estrogen-regulated genes. CTCF occupies cell line-specific ERα sites more often than ERα sites that are shared between multiple breast cancer cell lines\(^{101}\), suggesting that through looping, CTCF modulates the ERα cistrome in a cell line-specific fashion.

Genomic architectural studies provide valuable details about the “infrastructure” of the chromatin and its dynamic properties within the boundaries of topologically associated domains. How differences in chromatin state between cell types originate, such as differential enhancer usage, remains unknown. Tissue-specific proteomes may play a role in this process and thus affect the ERα cistrome.

**How Can Other Transcription Factors Facilitate the ERα Cistrome?**

Transcription factors facilitate the architectural make-up of the chromatin, with deviating expression levels among tissues. However, genomic data that indicates their direct involvement in ERα complexes, and cistrome, is lacking in many tissues (Figure 2).

As mentioned above, when ERα binds the chromatin, it recruits cofactors. These cofactors include family members of the p160 family such as steroid receptor coactivator 1 (SRC1)\(^{102}\), SRC2\(^{103}\), and SRC3\(^{104-107}\). One study investigated the varying responses of tissues to tamoxifen and found that levels of SRC1 differ per tissue\(^{108}\). Yet, because coregulators follow ERα to the DNA, they are unlikely to define the genomic regions of chromatin interactions.

In luminal epithelial breast cells\(^{67,109}\), ERα requires FOXA1 to facilitate estrogen-mediated gene regulation\(^{110}\) and to drive cell proliferation\(^{62,69}\). FOXA1, which depends on enhancers that are marked with dimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 4\(^{111}\), was the first pioneer factor for ERα to be identified\(^{69}\). Pioneer factors bind inaccessible chromatin and make it more accessible, so that other transcription factors may bind. Clinical studies report that FOXA1 associates with a good prognosis in breast cancer patients\(^{112}\). SNPs at sites of genomic interplay between ERα and FOXA1 associate with breast cancer risk (Figure 1)\(^{113}\). These reports imply that FOXA1 facilitates ERα-mediated gene expression in breast cancer.
Like breast cancer, endometrial tumors express FOXA1, which associates with a favorable outcome in endometrial cancer patients\textsuperscript{114,115}. Comparative cistromics of ER\textsubscript{α} between breast cancer and tamoxifen-associated endometrial cancer suggests ER\textsubscript{α} and FOXA1 facilitate tamoxifen-stimulatory effects in endometrial cancer development\textsuperscript{79}. These data show that FOXA1 and ER\textsubscript{α} expression in endometrial tumors, from women with a history of breast cancer, associates with the interval time between breast cancer and endometrial cancer in tamoxifen-treated breast cancer patients only. In addition, tumors of breast and tamoxifen-associated endometrial cancer patients share binding events between ER\textsubscript{α} and FOXA1. These sites are mainly at enhancers and cluster with other enhancer-bound transcription factors in the endometrial cancer cell line Ishikawa.

The liver expresses FOXA1 and FOXA2, which facilitate the activity of both ER\textsubscript{α} and the androgen receptor. The liver is greatly influenced by the hormonal environment as illustrated by sexual dimorphic features of hepatocellular carcinoma, which predominates in men\textsuperscript{5,116,117}. These sexual dimorphic features revers in mice that lack FOXA1 and FOXA2, as hepatocellular carcinoma predominate in females instead\textsuperscript{3}. Correspondingly, the Serpina6-rs1998056-SNP, which locates at a site of genomic interplay between ER\textsubscript{α} and FOXA1, increases the risk for hepatocellular carcinoma in women\textsuperscript{118}. These data suggest FOXA1 and FOXA2 are crucial for hormonal regulation in the liver.

In contrast to breast, endometrium, and liver, the human osteoblasts cell line U2OS lacks FOXA1 and requires GATA4 instead to facilitate genomic ER\textsubscript{α} function\textsuperscript{119}. This study used U2OS cells that expressed ER\textsubscript{α} exogenously (ER\textsubscript{α}-U2OS). Upon estrogen treatment, GATA4 binds chromatin before ER\textsubscript{α}, and its knockdown reduces ER\textsubscript{α} binding, suggesting a pioneer-like function for GATA4. Unlike FOXA1, GATA4 creates active enhancers by recruiting histone methyltransferases at enhancers, leading to H3K4me2\textsuperscript{91}. Thus, although GATA4 and FOXA1 both bind the DNA before ER\textsubscript{α}, they operate in different fashions.

Although ER\textsubscript{α} binds mainly to enhancers with EREs in MCF-7 and ER\textsubscript{α}-U2OS, only 15% of ER\textsubscript{α}-binding sites overlap between them\textsuperscript{119}. Less than 10% of genes that are estrogen-responsive in MCF-7 cells respond to estrogen in ER\textsubscript{α}-U2OS. Instead, ER\textsubscript{α}-U2OS expresses many other genes upon estrogen stimulation. Different tissues express different pioneer factors, which may alter ER\textsubscript{α} cistromics as exemplified by the osteoblast cell line ER\textsubscript{α}-U2OS and the breast cancer cell line MCF-7. However, these findings require further validation by other model systems, because the ER\textsubscript{α}-U2OS model is intrinsically artificial. To justifiably generalize observations when comparing different organs, supportive data in multiple cell lines or primary tissues per tissue type are essential.
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ERα-binding sites differ between tissues even if they do express the same pioneer factor, suggesting one pioneer factor alone is insufficient to explain deviations in the ERα cistrome\textsuperscript{114,115,120}. Instead, it is likely that multiple proteins, which may vary per tissue, are in fact responsible. Several molecular studies identified other (putative) pioneer factors, including GATA3\textsuperscript{121}, activating protein (AP)2γ\textsuperscript{71}, and PBX1\textsuperscript{81}, which facilitate ERα to bind the chromatin and drive breast cancer development. These transcription factors potentially function alone or together to create synergy for gene regulation.

PBX1 has been linked to breast cancer\textsuperscript{81}, ovarian cancer\textsuperscript{122} and prostate cancer\textsuperscript{123}, but was also linked to endometrial development\textsuperscript{124}. Cistromic studies measured PBX1-binding sites in breast cancer cell lines and associated those with ERα-binding sites. In addition, PBX1 was found in the cytoplasm of endometrial cells during development\textsuperscript{124}. Hence, expression of transcription factors alone is insufficient to claim a role in ERα cistrome regulation and instead require molecular and cistromic confirmations, as has thus far mostly been done in breast cancer.

Jointly, FOXA1 and GATA3 are sufficient to drive ERα-dependent transcriptional programs. GATA3 defines ERα-positive luminal breast cancer\textsuperscript{110}, in which it is frequently mutated\textsuperscript{125}, and correlates with good prognosis\textsuperscript{109}. When introducing GATA3, ERα, and FOXA1 simultaneously to ERα-negative cell lines (MDAMB231 and BT-549), cells respond to hormonal stimuli as they proliferate and express hormone-responsive genes\textsuperscript{126}.

Activation of other steroid hormone receptors affect ERα genomic action through direct interaction. Progesterone Receptor binds ERα upon hormone stimulation, and redistributes ERα over the genome in the breast cancer cell line MCF-7\textsuperscript{127}. Thus, in addition to the cell's proteome, the hormonal environment (beside estrogen) controls the location of ERα-binding sites.

Some ERα-positive tissues lack certain (putative) pioneer factors (Figure 1), suggesting they play a role in tissue-specific gene regulation. In addition, ERα binds other hormone receptors that can influence its cistrome. Taken together, cell-specific proteomes allow for a cell-specific ERα cistrome.
Concluding Remarks

Estrogens play a crucial role in sexual development and protect against osteoporosis, diabetes and cognitive decline. When breast cancer patients receive tamoxifen to stop breast tumor growth, they gain bone mineral density\textsuperscript{128}, but risk endometrial cancer\textsuperscript{10} and cognitive decline\textsuperscript{40-42}. These observations led to structure-based drug design in search of other competitive inhibitors such as raloxifene and lasofoxifene. Aromatase inhibitors can be prescribed as well, but these perturb many beneficial functions of estrogen, such as protecting against heart attack, cognitive decline and osteoporosis (Table 1). Consequently, an ideal endocrine therapeutic approach of blocking ER\textsubscript{α} would involve a more tissue-tailored mode-of-action.

The structural conformation of ER\textsubscript{α} determines its ability to interact with the chromatin and with interaction partners. As described above, this conformation of the receptor depends on ligand-binding, splice variants, posttranslational modifications and acquired mutations. Beside these structural conformations, ER\textsubscript{α}-binding events depend on enhancer activity, SNPs that disturb chromatin interactions, and other transcription factors. Taken together, these biological variables determine the ER\textsubscript{α} cistrome (Figure 2), which differs per context.

Comparative studies of ER\textsubscript{α} cistromics may identify similarities and differences between tissues, enabling selective targeting of the receptor by small-molecule design. An example of this lies in the concept of targeting FOXA1 \textsuperscript{129}, which theoretically abrogates ER\textsubscript{α} action in breast, endometrium and liver while leaving ER\textsubscript{α} unaffected in osteoblasts. In this manner, therapy manipulates ER\textsubscript{α} target tissue only, leaving the receptor unaffected in other tissues. This type of treatment may pave the way for fully tissue-selective endocrine therapeutics.
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