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A comparison of fate and toxicity of selenite, biogenically, and chemically synthesized selenium nanoparticles to zebrafish (Danio rerio) embryogenesis


ABSTRACT
Microbial reduction of selenium (Se) oxyanions to elemental Se is a promising technology for bioremediation and treatment of Se wastewaters. But a fraction of biogenic nano-Selenium (nano-Seb) formed in bioreactors remains suspended in the treated waters, thus entering the aquatic environment. The present study investigated the toxicity of nano-Seb formed by anaerobic granular sludge biofilms on zebrafish embryos in comparison with selenite and chemogenic nano-Se (nano-SeC). The nano-Seb formed by granular sludge biofilms showed a LC50 value of 1.77 mg/L, which was 3.2-fold less toxic to zebrafish embryos than selenite (LC50 = 0.55 mg/L) and 10-fold less toxic than bovine serum albumin stabilized nano-SeC (LC50 = 0.16 mg/L). Smaller (nano-SeC5; particle diameter range: 25–80 nm) and larger (nano-SeC1; particle diameter range: 50–250 nm) sized chemically synthesized nano-Se particles showed comparable toxicity on zebrafish embryos. The lower toxicity of nano-Seb in comparison with nano-SeC was analyzed in terms of the stabilizing organic layer. The results confirmed that the organic layer extracted from the nano-Seb consisted of components of the extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) matrix, which govern the physiochemical stability and surface properties like zeta-potential of nano-Seb. Based on the data, it is contented that the presence of humic acid like substances of EPS on the surface of nano-Seb plays a major role in lowering the bioavailability (uptake) and toxicity of nano-Seb by decreasing the interactions between nanoparticles and embryos.

Introduction
Selenium (Se) is an essential micronutrient in organisms including humans. It plays a very important role in several cellular processes including thyroid hormone production and in mitigating oxidative stress (Rayman, 2006). However, at concentrations of one order excess of its essential level, Se becomes a potential toxic element (Lenz & Lens, 2009; Winkel et al., 2012). Se contamination of aquatic bodies generally occurs through discharge of different waste streams such as acid mine drainage, coal and phosphate mining effluents, flue gas desulphurization waters and agriculture drainage (Mal et al., 2016; Nancharaiha & Lens, 2015a). Elemental Se (Se0) is an integral component of the natural Se cycle often found in oxygen limited environments, with microorganisms playing a key role in bioreduction of Se oxyanions (selenite and selenate) (Winkel et al., 2012). In fact, conversion of soluble Se oxyanions to insoluble Se0 is a key process for in situ bioremediation and treatment of Se-contaminated waters and wastewaters (Nancharaiha & Lens, 2015a,b). But a significant fraction of Se0 formed by microbial reduction remains in the bioreactor effluent, leading to the discharge of Se0 in the environment. Abiotic reduction of selenite under reducing environments, by e.g. Fe(II)-containing mineral surfaces may also lead to significant deposition of Se0 in natural environments as sediments, groundwater, or nuclear waste disposal geological media (Scheinost et al., 2008).

Se pollution of aquatic environments is a concern mainly because this element can cross trophic levels of the food-chain and gets biomagnified, showing an unusual high bioaccumulation potential and exhibiting teratogenic effects on aquatic organisms (Dennis, 2004). Moreover, the toxicity of Se on aquatic organisms depends on its chemical (inorganic and organic) and physical (liquid, solid, and gas) status and concentration. Biogenic elemental Se (nano-Seb) is always in the form of nanoparticles (nano-Se) with a maximum size up to ~400 nm, thus bringing nanotoxicology aspects (e.g. dissolution, entry into cells, surface chemistry, and reactivity) into consideration (Buchs et al., 2013; Winkel et al., 2012). Although the average particle size of nano-Seb is more than 100 nm, microbially produced Se0 is often referred to as nano-Se in the literature (Jain et al., 2015; Srivastava & Mukhopadhyay, 2013; Zhang et al., 2011). In addition, the particles of nano-Seb formed by microorganisms are colloidal in nature (Buchs et al., 2013); hence the term nano-selenium is used in this paper.
Compared with soluble oxyanions, Se(0) is considered to be less bioavailable and reactive. However, some researchers have reported that the uptake of Se(0) by marine bivalve mollusks, such as Macoma balthica or Potamocorbula amurensis (Luoma et al., 2000; Schlekat et al., 2000). Macoma balthica ingests sediments with >1.5 μg selenium/g, a concentration that could achieve steady-state tissue burdens approaching the level toxic to fish. Thus, it is necessary that treatment of selenium containing waters by stimulation of microbial dissimilatory reduction of selenium oxyanions should be considered in efforts to avoid discharge and to reduce hazardous exposure to selenium at highly contaminated sites. However, studies on the fate and toxicity of nano-Se, particularly on nano-Se\(^b\) in environmentally relevant conditions, are limited and the available information is contradictory. Chemically synthesized nano-Se (nano-Se\(^b\)) was reported to be seven-fold less toxic than selenite when exposed orally to mice and rats (Zhang et al., 2001). But, the bioavailability (uptake) of nano-Se\(^c\) was comparable with that of selenite. A subsequent study showed a five-fold higher toxicity for nano-Se\(^c\) than selenite on juvenile medaka fish due to hyper-accumulation and slowed clearance of nano-Se\(^c\) from the liver (Li et al., 2008).

The colloidal stability of nano-Se\(^b\) is governed by the associated organic layer, which originates from the microorganisms and their extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) (Buchs et al., 2013; Jain et al., 2015). In natural and engineered settings, the EPS matrix of biofilms plays a critical role in determining the transport, fate, and toxicity of engineered nanoparticles (NPs). It has been suggested that the natural organic matter (NOM) available in surface and ground waters influences the bioavailability of selenium oxyanion (e.g. selenite) (Zhang & Moore, 1996). Several studies have shown that organic matter, i.e. EPS and humic substances, influence the fate (size, shape or surface charge) and toxicity of engineered nanoparticles like Ag, TiO\(_2\), ZnS by limiting their dissolution and bioavailability (uptake) (Bondarenko et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2012; Moreau et al., 2007). But it is unclear whether NOM or EPS of biofilms associate with elemental selenium and influence the nano-Se bioavailability and toxicity.

In the present study, experimental work was designed to investigate the toxicity of nano-Se\(^b\) formed in bioreactors treating selenium wastewater. Zebrafish embryos were chosen because zebrafish is an ideal vertebrate model organism for studying the effects of environmental contaminants on developmental processes. In addition, the transparency of the zebrafish embryos allows continuous observation of developmental changes during organogenesis (Lohr & Yost, 2000). The toxicity of nano-Se\(^b\) was compared with those of nano-Se\(^c\) and selenite. Two different sizes of nano-Se\(^c\) were taken into consideration to determine the effect of particle size on toxicity. The fate of nano-Se was determined in terms of shape, size, aggregation, and dissolution kinetics. The organic constituents of the surface coating associated with nano-Se\(^b\) was characterized to better understand the importance of the surface coating and compare its influence on the fate and toxicity of nano-Se to the zebrafish embryos with bovine serum albumin (BSA)-capped nano-Se\(^c\).

**Materials and methods**

**Chemicals**

Sodium selenite (Na\(_2\)SeO\(_3\)) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and was used as received. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade and purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Nano-Se\(^b\) and nano-Se\(^c\) were prepared by biological and chemical reduction, respectively, as described below.

**Nano-Se production**

Nano-Se\(^b\) was produced through bioreduction of selenite by anaerobic granular sludge. Anaerobic granular sludge collected from a full-scale upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor treating paper mill wastewater (Industriewater Eerbeek B.V., Eerbeek, The Netherlands) was utilized as the source of microorganisms for selenite reduction. The mineral medium used for biogenic selenium synthesis contained (mg/L) NH\(_4\)Cl (300), CaCl\(_2\).2H\(_2\)O (15), KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) (250), Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) (250), MgCl\(_2\) (120), and KCl (250). Sodium lactate (5 mM) and Na\(_2\)SeO\(_3\) (1 mM) were used as the electron donor and the electron acceptor, respectively. The pH of the mineral medium was adjusted to 7.3. The serum bottles with 400 mL mineral medium and granular sludge (4.0 g wet weight) were closed with butyl rubber septa, then purged with N\(_2\) gas for ~5 min, and incubated at 30°C for 7 d in an orbital shaker set at 150 rpm. Formation of nano-Se\(^b\) was confirmed by the appearance of a red color and disappearance of selenite. After the incubation period, the supernatant was decanted from the serum bottles and the suspended biomass was removed by centrifugation (Hermle Z36K, Hermle Labortechnik, Wehingen, Germany) at 3000g, 4°C for 15 min. The supernatant was centrifuged again at 37,000g, 4°C for 15 min. The red colored pellet was suspended in Milli-Q (18 M\(_2\)O cm) water by sonication and purified by hexane (Jain et al., 2015).

Nano-Se\(^c\) was produced through chemical reduction of selenite as described by Zhou et al. (2014). Briefly, selenite (0.1 M, 500 μL) was mixed with a 2.5 mL solution containing 15.5 mg of glutathione and 10 mg of BSA for production of smaller sized nano-Se (nano-Se\(^c\)) particles. Larger sized nano-Se (nano-Se\(^d\)) particles were prepared by using 15.5 mg of glutathione and 4 mg of BSA. Within 5 min, the color of the solution turned from colorless to red due to the formation of the nano-Se\(^c\).

The nano-Se\(^b\) and nano-Se\(^c\) (nano-Se\(^c\) and nano-Se\(^d\)) particles were rinsed several times in Milli-Q (18 M\(_2\)O cm) water and collected by centrifugation at 37,000g, 4°C for 30 min. The pellets were dried at 30°C to obtain nano-Se in a powdered form. Heating was avoided as amorphous Se(0) undergoes a glass transition at temperatures above 30–35°C (Pearce et al., 2009).

**Stock solutions of selenite, nano-Se\(^b\), and nano-Se\(^c\)**

The selenite stock was prepared by dissolving 1 g/L of sodium selenite (Na\(_2\)SeO\(_3\), 99% purity) in ultrapure water. Nano-Se\(^b\), nano-Se\(^c\), and nano-Se\(^d\) stocks were prepared by suspending, respectively, 100 mg/L powder in ultrapure water. The suspensions were sonicated for 15 min using 540H Elasonic bath water sonicator. The Se concentration was determined in the stock solutions by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) after acidification in 0.6 M HNO\(_3\).

**Characterization of nano-Se**

The size and morphology of the nano-Se\(^b\), nano-Se\(^c\), and nano-Se\(^d\) suspension in egg water (0.21g Instant Ocean\(^a\) salt in 1 L Milli-Q water, pH 6.5–7.0) after 1 and 24 h of incubation were characterized using transmission electron microscope (TEM) (JEOL 1010, JEOL Inc., Tokyo, Japan). For sample preparation, a drop of egg water containing nano-Se was deposited onto a 400 mesh Cu grid coated with a carbon support film. After drying at room temperature, TEM analysis was performed. Dynamic light scattering of samples was performed on a Zetasizer Nano-ZS instrument (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) for determining the size distribution and zeta (ζ)-potential of nano-Se particles suspended in
egg water. Nano-Se suspensions having 1 and 5 mg/L Se were prepared in egg water. Samples were drawn at 1 h and 24 h of preparation for measurements. A maximum incubation of 24 h was chosen because the medium of the zebrafish embryos assay was changed every 24 h. Nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} was also characterized by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray spectra system (EDXS) (see Supporting information for more details).

**Characterization of organic material associated with nano-Se**

Organic material was extracted from nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c} using the formaldehyde (0.06 mL of 36.5% formaldehyde, at 4 °C for 1 h) plus NaOH (1 N; at 4 °C for 3 h) extraction method (Liu & Fang, 2002). The extracted sample was centrifuged at 20,000 g for 20 min, then filtered with a 0.22 μm acetate filter and finally diluted in water to bring the dissolved total organic carbon (TOC) concentration to ~10 mg/L. A Phenix 8000 TOC-meter (Dohrmann, Waite Park, MN) was used to measure the TOC. Organic material in the diluted extract was characterized by its three-dimensional excitation-emission matrix (3D-EEM) recorded using a FluoroMax-3 spectrofluorometer (HORIBA Jobin Yvon, Edison, NJ) (Bhatia et al., 2013; Maeng et al., 2012). The EEM spectra were obtained at excitation wavelengths between 240 and 450 nm at 10 nm intervals, and emission wavelengths between 290 and 500 nm. The 3D spectra were divided and expressed into five regions, each region associated with different compounds: derived from proteins—tyrosine (I) and tryptophan (II) corresponding to aromatic proteins, fulvic-like acids (III), soluble microbial by-products (SMP) (IV), and humic-like substances (V) (Baker & Lamont-Black, 2001; Leenheer & Croué, 2003; Maeng et al., 2012).

**Dissolution kinetics of nano-Se**

The dissolution of nano-Se, if any, was determined in exposure medium (i.e. egg water) used for toxicity tests. Suspension of nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c} (nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c}), both at 1 mg/L Se were prepared in exposure medium and incubated for a maximum period of 24 h. At different time intervals (0, 8, and 24 h), the dissolved Se concentration was determined. The suspension containing nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c} were first centrifuged at 40,000g for 30 min at 4 °C (Hermle Z36K, Hermle Labotechnik, Wehingen, Germany). Supernatants were filtered through 0.22 μm syringe filter (Antop 25, Whatman, GE Life Sciences, San Francisco, CA). Se concentrations were measured in the filtrates using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) (SOLAAAR MQZE, Unity Lab Services, Waltham, MA) after acidification in 0.6 M HNO3. The presence of selenite and selenate in supernatants was monitored at 24 h to confirm any reoxidation of nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} or nano-Se\textsuperscript{c} to selenite or selenate. Selenate was determined by ion chromatography (IC), equipped with an A54A column with the retention time of selenate at 10.3 min. For selenite analysis, a spectrophotometric method was followed as described in Mal et al. (2016). Briefly, the supernatant (1 mL) was mixed with 0.5 mL of 4 M HCl, and then with 1 mL of 1 M ascorbic acid. After 10 min of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance was determined at 500 nm using an UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Hermle Z36 HK Hermle Labotechnik, Wehingen, Germany).

**Toxicity assay of selenite, nano-Se\textsuperscript{b}, and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c} on zebrafish embryos**

ABXtL wild-type adult zebrafish was maintained at 25 ± (±5) °C in a 14 h light:10 h dark cycle. Fertilized zebrafish eggs obtained from adults were distributed into 96-well plates at 1 egg per well. An acute exposure regime of 96 h was used, from 24 to 120 h post-fertilization (hpf), thus including the major stages of organ development. At 24 hpf, the embryos were exposed to 250 μL/well of freshly prepared egg water containing Na\textsubscript{2}SeO\textsubscript{3}, nano-Se\textsuperscript{b}, nano-Se\textsuperscript{c}, and nano-Se\textsuperscript{cl}. Nominal concentrations of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1.0 mg/L of Na\textsubscript{2}SeO\textsubscript{3} and 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 mg/L of nano-Se\textsuperscript{b}, nano-Se\textsuperscript{c}, or nano-Se\textsuperscript{cl} were prepared in egg water. The suspensions were sonicated for 15 min in an S40 h Elmasonic water bath sonicator and then exposed to embryos immediately. Multi-well plates containing embryos in egg water were kept at 28 °C. The exposure medium (200 μL) was always replaced with a freshly prepared medium containing either selenite or nano-Se every day up to 120 hpf according to Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) guideline 157 (OECD, 2011).

Duplicate trials containing 8 embryos per treatment group were used. Mortality and hatching rates were determined at 48, 72, 96, and 120 hpf using a dissecting stereomicroscope (Leica M165C, Wetlitar, Germany). Embryos were scored as dead based on criteria according to OECD guideline 157. For the median lethal concentration (LC\textsubscript{50}) calculation, mortality data obtained at 120 hpf was used. The LC\textsubscript{50} was determined based on plotting mortality data on a cumulative curve obtained from two independent experiments at 120 hpf. The LC\textsubscript{50} values of zebrafish embryos for selenite and nano-Se were calculated using a non-linear dose response function available in GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Inc., San Deigo, CA):

\[
E = \frac{\text{Bottom} + (\text{Top} - \text{Bottom})}{1 + 10^{(\log \text{LC}_{50} - \log C)}}
\]

where \(E\) is the mortality effect on zebrafish embryos (scaled 0–1) and top and bottom are plateaus in the units of the y axis, with the top and the bottom of mortality being 100% and 0%, respectively. The term \(C\) is the initial actual exposure concentration of selenite or nano-Se, and \(\rho\) is the slope of the curve.

The toxicity of nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c} to zebrafish embryos was determined as total nano-Se\textsuperscript{b}\textsubscript{total} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c}\textsubscript{total} which is the sum of the contributions of both nano-Se\textsuperscript{particle} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{ion}. The actual concentrations of nano-Se\textsuperscript{ion} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{total} were measured by AAS at the end of 24 h incubation in the exposure medium as described previously. The toxicity of nano-Se\textsuperscript{ion} can be measured by the concentration-response curve of Na\textsubscript{2}SeO\textsubscript{3}. Therefore, mortality of embryos induced solely by nano-Se\textsuperscript{particle} was calculated by using the response addition model (Backhaus et al., 2000):

\[
E_{\text{total}} = 1 - [(1 - E_{\text{ion}})(1 - E_{\text{particles}})]
\]

where \(E_{\text{total}}\), \(E_{\text{ion}}\), and \(E_{\text{particle}}\) represent the effect on zebrafish embryos caused by the nano-Se\textsuperscript{total}, nano-Se\textsuperscript{ion}, and nano-Se\textsuperscript{particle} (scaled 0–1), respectively, which makes \(E_{\text{particle}}\) as the only unknown, allowing for direct calculation of the effect caused by the particles at any specific initial actual particle concentration.

**Statistical analysis**

All the data were expressed as mean with a 95% confidence interval (C.I.) or standard error of the mean. In order to compare the differences between treatments and controls, statistical analysis using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s multiple
comparison posttests was performed when required. The significance level in all the calculations was set at $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

**Characterization of nano-Se**

Nano-Se produced during the bioreduction of selenite by anaerobic granular sludge was spherical in shape as shown by TEM (Figure 1) and SEM (Figure S1) images. EDXS analysis confirmed the presence of selenium as the main element in nano-Se samples (Figure S1). In addition, the presence of peaks for carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen were attributed to the organic material associated with the nano-Se. The morphology of the nano-Se was also spherical as revealed using TEM imaging (Figure 1).

The particle size distribution and the zeta-potential of all three kinds of nano-Se suspensions in egg water determined after 1 h and 24 h of incubation are given in Table 1. Nano-Se particles had sizes ranging from 100 to 350 nm with an average size of 185 nm (Figure S2). The size of nano-Se particles, formed in the presence of 10 mg/L of BSA, ranged from 25 to 90 nm with an average diameter of 50 nm, while nano-Se formed at lower BSA concentrations (4 mg/L) was larger in size and ranged from 50 to 250 with an average diameter of 106 nm (Figure S2). It was apparent from the data that no significant change in particle size distribution was seen when the particles were suspended in egg water even after 24 h (Figure S1).

The zeta potential of the nano-Se suspensions was higher than that of both nano-Se and nano-Se formed in the presence of 10 mg/L of BSA. The dissolved Se concentration after 24 h was 3.07 (± 0.12), 7.76 (± 0.26), and 8.4 (± 0.4)% of the initial Se (1 mg/L), respectively, for nano-Se, nano-Se, and nano-Se. No trace amounts of selenate (detection limit 50 µg/L) were found in all three solutions after 24 h of incubation. No selenite concentrations (detection limit 200 µg/L) were determined due to marginal nano-Se dissolution.

**Dissolution kinetics of nano-Se**

Release of Se ions, if any, from nano-Se in egg water was determined immediately, and after 8 and 24 h of incubation (Figure S3). Dissolution of nano-Se (5.6 ± 0.8%) at 0 h was relatively higher than nano-Se (4.4 ± 0.17%) and nano-Se (1.3 ± 0.1%). But, dissolution at 24 h of nano-Se was comparatively higher than both nano-Se and nano-Se. The dissolved Se concentration after 24 h was 3.07 (± 0.12), 7.76 (± 0.26), and 8.4 (± 0.4)% of the initial Se (1 mg/L), respectively, for nano-Se, nano-Se, and nano-Se. No trace amounts of selenate (detection limit 50 µg/L) were found in all three solutions after 24 h of incubation. No selenite concentrations (detection limit 200 µg/L) were determined due to marginal nano-Se dissolution.

**Fluorescence properties of organic material associated with nano-Se**

The 3D EEM fluorescence spectra corresponding to different components of organic material extracted from nano-Se (nano-Se and nano-Se, both have only BSA as capping agent) and nano-Se are shown in Figure 2. The organic material from nano-Se showed fluorescence in the area zones associated with the proteins—tyrosine and tryptophan (I or II) and SMPs (IV) (Figure 2(A)). In addition, peaks corresponding to fulvic-like acids (III) and humic-like (V) substances were evident. However, the relative fluorescence intensity of fulvic and humic-like substances (III and V) was low as compared with the fluorescence intensity of aromatic protein-like (areas I and II) and SMPs (IV). The 3D fluorescence spectra of organic material extracted from BSA stabilized nano-Se (Figure 2(B)) exhibited a distinct peak ($\lambda_{ex} \sim 280$ nm,
emission 

\( \lambda_{\text{em}} \sim 320-350 \text{ nm} \), which can be attributed to both the tryptophan and tyrosine residues of BSA (Ray et al., 2012).

**Survival and hatching rate over time**

The time courses of hatching of zebrafish embryos exposed to selenite and nano-Se are shown in Figure 3. In the control, 93.75\% of the embryos were hatched at 72 hpf. Compared with the controls, the hatching rates associated with selenite and nano-Se\(^b\) did not show any significant difference at nominal concentrations of <1 mg/L Se and all the embryos hatched. But, at concentrations above 2 mg/L Se, hatching was delayed as compared with the controls and 75\% and 81\% embryos were hatched in the presence of selenite and nano-Se\(^b\), respectively. In contrast, the hatching rates of embryos exposed to nano-Se\(^c\) were delayed compared with selenite and nano-Se\(^b\) even at the lowest concentration (0.2 mg/L) and only 75\% were hatched at 72 hpf. With an increase in nano-Se\(^c\) concentration, the hatching rate decreased further.
and only 25% embryos were hatched at 5 mg/L at 72 hpf. The hatching rate in the presence of nano-SeCl was not significantly affected as it did not show any substantial difference with the control at concentrations <2 mg/L Se. However, at 5 mg/L concentration of nano-SeCl, only 60% of embryos were hatched at 72 hpf.

From Figure 4, it was evident that at 48 hpf, neither selenite nor any of nano-Se showed mortality even at the highest concentration (5 mg/L) tested, but mortality was evident after that. Nano-SeCs showed higher mortality at 72 hpf than both nano-Seb and nano-SeCl. Even at 1 mg/L Se, nano-SeCs caused 56.25% mortality at 72 hpf, while neither selenite nor nano-Seb showed mortality at this time point (Figure 4). The % mortality of zebrafish embryos following exposure to selenite and different nano-Se at 120 hpf are given in Figure 5. Exposure of embryos to different concentrations of selenite and different nano-Se suspensions caused a range of mortalities at 120 hpf. The LC50 values and 95% confidence interval (CI) of selenite and nano-Seb were 0.55 (0.51–0.59) mg/L and 1.77 (1.59–1.98) mg/L, respectively. It is evident that particle size did not have a significant effect on nano-SeCl toxicity as the LC50 values and 95% CI of nano-SeCs and nano-SeCl were comparable at 0.16 (0.15–0.17) mg/L and 0.17 (0.15–0.19) mg/L (Figure 5). It also implies that nano-SeCl was 10 times less toxic than nano-SeCs independent of size. So, toxicity of the selenium compounds investigated on zebrafish embryos was observed in the following order: nano-SeCl < selenite < nano-SeCs ∼ nano-SeCl.

Discussion

Comparison of fate and toxicity of nano-Seb with selenite and nano-SeCl

One major drawback of microbial bioremediation of selenium oxyanions is that a fraction of nano-SeCl formed in bioreactors will remain suspended in the treated effluents leaving the bioreactors, thus nano-SeCl entering the aquatic environments (Lenz et al., 2008; Soda et al., 2011). Moreover, the selenium discharge criteria for aquatic life and the proposed toxicity thresholds are highly debated in recent times (Chapman, 1999; DeForest et al., 1999). In spite of concerns of Se toxicity to aquatic ecosystems, there are no studies on the toxic effect of biogenic nano-Se on aquatic organisms. Hence, the fate and the toxicity of nano-SeCl formed by anaerobic granular sludge biofilms were investigated on zebrafish embryos and compared with the fate and toxicity of selenite and chemogenic nano-Se.

Under normal assay conditions, zebrafish embryos hatch at approximately 72 hpf (Hua et al., 2014). Compared with the controls, the hatching rates associated with selenite and nano-SeCl exposure were not significantly delayed during the 96h exposure time (Figure 3). However, increasing nano-SeCl and nano-SeCs concentrations had an inhibitory effect on the hatching rate and success (Figure 3). It is possible that the nano-SeCl suspension inhibited the secretion and activity of the hatching enzyme chorionase of zebrafish, as reported previously for other NPs like Cu.
and Zn NPs (Nel et al., 2006). Surprisingly, nano-Se\textsuperscript{c5} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c1} had a different effect on hatching, but they induced comparable mortality on zebrafish embryos. Although the exact mechanisms of hatching inhibition are not known, it is possible that the mechanisms of action of lethality and of hatching inhibition by NPs on zebrafish embryos are different (Hua et al., 2014).

Based on the LC\textsubscript{50} values (Figure 5), nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} was 3.2-fold less toxic than selenite and 10-fold less toxic than nano-Se\textsuperscript{c}. This result is in contrast to Zhang et al. (2001, 2005) and Wang et al. (2007), where it was reported that chemogenic nano-Se had 7-fold and 3.5-fold lower acute toxicity than sodium selenite and L-selenomethionine, respectively, in Kunming mice. However, Li et al. (2008) reported that chemogenic nano-Se particles were more toxic than selenite in medaka fish. Recently, Shakibaie et al. (2013) also reported that biogenic nano-Se synthesized by Bacillus sp. MSh-1 was less toxic than chemogenic nano-Se and SeO\textsubscript{2} in mice. The discrepancy in the toxicities of nano-Se can result from the differences in nano-Se particle size, surface-stabilizing agents, medium composition, and test organisms.

The relative contribution to toxicity of nano-Se\textsubscript{particle} and Se\textsubscript{ion} of all three nano-Se to zebrafish embryos using response addition and concentration addition was calculated (Equation (2)). The toxicity of the Se\textsubscript{ion} shedded off from the nano-Se was determined by the concentration–response curve of selenite. Selenite at 100 \(\mu\)g/L showed no mortality on embryos, while selenite is reported to be much less toxic than selenite (Zannoni et al., 2008). Although details of speciation of nano-Se\textsubscript{ion} could not be determined, as the dissolution of nano-Se was marginal (maximum dissolution 84 \(\mu\)g/L for nano-Se\textsuperscript{cl}) after 24 h incubation, toxicity caused by the released Se\textsubscript{ion} was negligible. The nano-Se\textsubscript{particle} of all three types of nano-Se investigated was thus found to be the main factor causing toxicity to zebrafish embryos.

Interestingly, BSA capped nano-Se with two different sizes such as nano-Se\textsuperscript{c5} (25–90 nm) and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c1} (50–250 nm) showed no significant (\(p > 0.05\)) difference in LC\textsubscript{50} values (Figure 5). The dissolution kinetics (Figure S3) of nano-Se\textsuperscript{c5} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c1} were also similar, suggesting that particle size has no effect on the fate and toxicity of nano-Se. Although there is a difference in size of nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} (185 nm) and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c1} (114 nm), response-wise this size difference does not have a significant biological meaning based on Zhang et al. (2004). Moreover, it is not possible to synthesize larger BSA-capped nano-Se, as it formed an in-homogenous solution of nano-Se\textsuperscript{c5} at sizes above 200 nm. A similar finding regarding the formation of unstable and an in-homogenous selenium nanoparticles under comparable conditions was also reported by Zhang et al. (2004). The nano-Se\textsuperscript{c} (size >200 nm) starts precipitating after 1 h of incubation, while nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} with a size range of 100–400 nm was stable and no precipitation was observed even after 24 h of incubation, clearly indicating the difference in nano-Se\textsuperscript{b} and nano-Se\textsuperscript{c}.

Figure 4. Time course of mortality of zebrafish embryos exposed to (A) selenite (legend symbols are inside), (B) nano-Se\textsuperscript{b}, (C) nano-Se\textsuperscript{c1}, and (D) nano-Se\textsuperscript{c5} suspensions from 24 h to 120 h post-fertilization (hpf). (B–D) Legend symbols are inside the panel (D).
The physiochemical stability of biogenic Se nanospheres is already reported to be higher than that of chemically synthesized Se nanospheres (Oremland et al., 2004) and the presence of proteins in EPS possibly help in stabilizing nano-Seb (Sharma et al., 2014). Higher zeta-potential values (Table 1) and lower dissolution kinetics of nano-Seb (Figure S3) compared with nano-Sec support the contention that they both behave differently in terms of physiochemical stability and toxicity and the surface modification (capping agent) probably plays an important role in determining the fate of nano-Se (Gunsolus et al., 2015; Misra et al., 2012). Jain et al. (2015) also reported that in the absence of EPS originating from the same anaerobic granular sludge as used in the present study, unstable selenium “wire” was formed instead of selenium “nanosphere” under comparable experimental conditions. Liu and Hurt (2010) also showed that adsorption of NOM inhibited the ionic silver release from nano-Ag, while Moreau et al. (2007) reported that microbially-derived extracellular proteins changes the transport properties of biogenic ZnS nanoparticles by retarding their dispersal.

Recently, Fang et al. (2015) reported that aggregation and hydrodynamic diameter of TiO₂ nanoparticles in suspensions are not the deciding factors for the toxicity of TiO₂ nanoparticles on zebrafish (Danio rerio), rather it was the humic acid which decreases the bioavailability along with suppression of oxidative stress. However, studies on the effect of organic matter or EPS as surface coating on nano-Se are limited and more studies are required to link the surface coating of nano-Se with their properties, including their stability and dissolution in order to fully understand their environmental transformation, transport, and fate.

**Effect of EPS on nano-Se toxicity**

Nano-Seb and nano-Sec showed very contrasting toxic effects on zebrafish embryo development. The differences in toxicity can be attributed to the difference in surface stabilizing agents of nano-Seb and nano-Sec. Nano-Seb is stabilized by a single protein (BSA), while nano-Sec is stabilized by a complex mixture of biomolecules present on the surface of nano-Seb possibly originating from the microorganisms present in the anaerobic granular sludge biofilms (Jain et al., 2015). The presence of characteristic peaks for proteins, SMPs, and fulvic and humic-like acids in nano-Seb provided evidence of the complex nature of the EPS in stabilizing nano-Seb (Figure 2). A recent study showed that EPS extracted from anaerobic granular sludge directs the surface characteristics of chemo- genic nano-Sec similar to the biogenic nano-Se formed by anaerobic granular sludge (Jain et al., 2015).

Recently, Bondarenko et al. (2016) showed that the addition of a surface coating of levan, a fructose-composed biopolymer of bacterial origin, significantly reduced the toxic effects of Se-NPs in an in vitro assay on the human cell line Caco-2 cell line (colorectal adenocarcinomatous tissue of the human colon). Interestingly, the presence of recalcitrant components of EPS such as humic-like acids can reduce the bioavailability of contaminants to organisms due to the increased electrostatic repulsion (Lundqvist et al., 2010; Tang et al., 2015). A decrease in the selenium uptake by plant roots due to interactions between selenite and fulvic acids was reported (Wang et al., 1996), and it was suggested that association of selenium with organic matter in aquatic environments may play an important role in the mobility and bioavailability of selenium.
There are clear indications that the presence of humic acid-like components in the surface coating by EPS limits the bioavailability of nanoparticles and attenuates their toxicity. Gao et al. (2012) showed that by increasing the humic acids concentration in a surface coating, the toxicity of silver nanoparticles to *Daphnia magna* was decreased. Moreover, the presence of humic acids inhibits the generation of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) and cellular lipid peroxidation which could be responsible for the lower toxicity of nanoparticles coated with natural organic matter (Lin et al., 2012; Yin et al., 2010). In contrast, BSA is commonly used to increase the bioavailability of NPs in *in vivo* or *in vitro* drug delivery (Kim et al., 2009). While BSA increases the colloidal stability of nanoparticles, it also increases the bioavailability of nano-Se\(^2\), thereby making the particles to be more toxic.

Furthermore, from Table 1, it is clear that the zeta-potential is much higher in nano-Se\(^b\) (−28.5 ± 5 mV) than nano-Se\(^cs\) (−16 ± 3.1 mV) and nano-Se\(^cl\) (−13.7 ± 3.6 mV). Thus, there is a higher degree of repulsion between the nano-Se\(^b\) and zebrafish embryos due to electrostatic repulsion. But due to the lower negative potential in nano-Se\(^cs\) and nano-Se\(^cl\), the electrostatic repulsion is reduced which possibly increases the chances of the interaction of nano-Se\(^c\) with embryos and results in higher toxicity (El Badawy et al., 2011). Recently, Gilbertson et al. (2015) reported that surface charge of multi-walled carbon nanotube has the greatest influence on the zebrafish mortality. Several other studies elucidated that the surface charge plays an important role in the observed toxicity trends of nanomaterials using either *Daphnia magna* or embryonic zebrafish as the *in vivo* model organisms, which is hypothesized to be governed primarily by the electrostatic interaction between the material and model organism (Bozich et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013). Based on the data, it is contended that the presence of EPS increases the colloidal stability of nano-Se and prevents interaction of biogenic Se particles and embryos due to electrostatic repulsion (Buchs et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2012). This leads to lower bioavailability (uptake) and lower toxicity of nano-Se\(^b\) suggesting that EPS play a critical role in determining the fate and toxicity of biogenic Se nanoparticles.

### Environmental implications

Although full-scale biological systems are available for treating selenium wastewaters, due to colloidal properties, a fraction of biogenic nano-Se will remain in the treated waters, thus leaving the bioreactors with the effluent (Lenz et al., 2008; Sodia et al., 2011). The present study provided for the first time experimental evidence that nano-Se\(^b\) formed by the biofilms in the bioreactors is comparatively much less toxic to aquatic organisms than selenite and 10-fold less toxic than chemogenic nano-Se to zebrafish. In addition, smaller sized and larger sized BSA capped chemogenic nano-Se showed comparable LC50 values on zebra fish embryos. LC50 values with 95% CI for selenite, nano-Se\(^b\), nano-Se\(^cs\), and nano-Se\(^cl\) were 0.55 (0.51–0.59) mg/L, 1.77 (1.59–1.98) mg/L, 0.16 (0.15–0.17) mg/L, and 0.17 (0.15–0.19) mg/L, respectively. Biogenic nano-Se was found to be more stable in terms of dissolution kinetics compared with chemogenic nano-Se. 3D-EEM analysis of organic matter associated with biogenic nano-Se showed distinct peaks for proteins, soluble microbial products, and humic acids typical for EPS matrix of biofilms.

### Conclusions

This study provided evidence that biogenic nano-Se is 3.2-fold less toxic than selenite and 10-fold less toxic than chemogenic nano-Se to zebrafish. In addition, smaller sized and larger sized BSA capped chemogenic nano-Se showed comparable LC50 values on zeba fish embryos. LC50 values with 95% CI for selenite, nano-Se\(^b\), nano-Se\(^cs\), and nano-Se\(^cl\) were 0.55 (0.51–0.59) mg/L, 1.77 (1.59–1.98) mg/L, 0.16 (0.15–0.17) mg/L, and 0.17 (0.15–0.19) mg/L, respectively. Biogenic nano-Se was found to be more stable in terms of dissolution kinetics compared with chemogenic nano-Se. 3D-EEM analysis of organic matter associated with biogenic nano-Se showed distinct peaks for proteins, soluble microbial products, and humic acids typical for EPS matrix of biofilms.
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