Dynamic vortex ordering in thin a-Nb$_{70}$Ge$_{30}$ films
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We investigated ordering of the vortex lattice under the influence of a driving current in amorphous superconducting films of Nb$_{70}$Ge$_{30}$ with varying amounts of disorder. Using the nonlinear behavior of the voltage versus current relations, i.e., the peak in the dynamical resistance, we map the occurrence of this dynamical ordering (DO) in the (B,T) phase diagram. Using the collective pinning analysis, DO is shown to appear when the size of the correlated regions drops below the order of 10 times the vortex lattice distance. This means that for weaker intrinsic disorder, DO moves closer to the melting line. The current at which DO occurs diverges at the melting transition, in agreement with the notion that increasing velocities are needed to overcome the effect of either temperature or softening of the shear modulus of the vortex lattice.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Vortices start to move if the Lorentz force exceeds the pinning force $F_p$. The way this exactly happens depends on the amount of disorder in the lattice. If the disorder is weak, a correlated volume $V_c$ can be defined in which all vortices are pinned collectively and start to move at one specific threshold value of $F_p$. The concept of collective pinning (CP) was introduced by Larkin and Ovchinnikov and experimentally confirmed by experiments on weakly pinning crystals. Since $V_c$ in these cases can be large, all vortices have approximately the same velocity, which increases by increasing the driving force. This type of motion can be called elastic flow. The amount of defects in the sliding solid is small.

With stronger disorder, different vortices feel different pinning forces. In consequence, some vortices start to move around pinned regions and with increasing driving force, more vortices take part in the motion. The moving structure is strongly defective and spatially inhomogeneous, and the dissipation is high. However, if driving force and velocity are increased over some threshold, the number of defects can decrease quite suddenly and a homogeneous moving lattice appears. As will be discussed below, one signature of such a transition is a superlinear $V(I)$ characteristic, equivalent to a peak in the differential resistance $R_d$. This healing or dynamic ordering (DO) phenomenon is the subject of this paper. Specifically, we want to investigate under which conditions the direct transition from a pinned lattice to a sliding solid changes into a transition with an intermediate plastic flow state and DO. For this we used a series of thin-film samples of a-Nb$_{70}$Ge$_{30}$. In principle, such amorphous materials are weakly pinning, but the amount of disorder can be increased by choosing different deposition conditions. The samples range in thickness from 35 to 300 nm and the vortex lattice is two-dimensional (2D) down to the lowest studied temperature, which means that the collective volume $V_c$ is cylindrical and equals $R_c^2 d$, with $R_c$ the radius and $d$ the film thickness. The occurrence of channel-like flow as indicated by a peak in $R_d$ is mapped on the $(B,T)$ phase diagram. Very generally, we find that the peak, and therefore the DO, occurs for $R_c/a_0\lesssim 10$ (with $a_0$ the intervortex distance). For weak disorder, this condition is only found very close to the melting line, while increasing the disorder broadens the range substantially. Also, we find that the current needed to induce DO strongly increases near the melting line, in good agreement with the theoretical prediction by Koshelev and Vinokur.

II. DYNAMIC ORDERING

That the number of dislocations in a disordered vortex lattice decreases suddenly at high currents was first found in 2D molecular dynamics studies at $T=0$ by Shi and Berlinsky. More recently, Koshelev and Vinokur investigated the behavior at finite temperatures, both analytically and on the basis of simulations (see also Ref. 7). For strong disorder, they found that at every temperature below the thermodynamic melting temperature $T_m$ a driving force density $F_{do}$ can be found at which disorderly moving vortices crystallize into a more or less perfect lattice. The temperature dependence of $F_{do}$ close to $T_m$ is given by

$$F_{do} = \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2} \pi k_B (T_m - \theta_B) \int_0^{\infty} n_0 \Gamma \left( \frac{n_0}{n_r} \right) \frac{d r_p}{r_p^3}} {\text{[N/m$^3$]}}$$

where $\Gamma$ is a measure for the disorder strength, $n_0$ is the vortex areal density, $r_p$ is the range of the elementary pinning interaction, and $T_m$ is the 2D melting temperature. DO occurs if $F_{do}$ is larger than the pinning force density $F_p$. Physically, Eq. (1) can be understood through the concept of a 'shaking temperature' $T_{sh}$, which should be viewed as energy generated by the nonuniform motion of the vortices through the disorder potential, and is inversely proportional to the velocity. At $T=0$ it follows that the effective temperature of the vortex system in motion $T_{eff} = T + T_{sh}$, so that the ordering force is reached when $T_{sh}$ falls below $T_m$. With increasing $T$, it needs increasing velocities for $T_{eff}$ to drop below $T_m$, which explains the divergence of $F_{do}$ for $T \rightarrow T_m$.

Also studied was the average vortex velocity $v_{av}$ against driving force $F_{av}$, which is equivalent to a $V(I)$ characteristic. The simulations showed a sharp increase of $v_{av}$ (or $V$) around $F_{do}$ (or $I$), which would translate in a peak in the
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differential resistance $R_d$. In similar 2D molecular dynamics studies at $T=0$ Faleski et al.\textsuperscript{8} investigated more closely the various types of flow for different amounts of disorder. The results can be discussed in terms of a force-disorder diagram as shown in Fig. 1. It contains three regimes. For low $\Gamma$ the transition from pinning to flux flow follows $F_s \propto \Gamma$, which is the Larkin-Ovchinikov CP regime. When CP breaks down ($R_s \sim a_0$), individual strong pinning leads to $F_s \propto \sqrt{\Gamma}$. The transition is still elastic, since $F_s > F_{do}$ [with $F_{do}$ given by Eq. (1) at $T=0$] so that defects are healed immediately. However, since $F_{do} \propto \Gamma$, a third regime is possible where depinning takes place without reordering of the lattice. The flow is plastic, and DO takes place at $F_{do}$ ($> F_p$). The simulations give more insight in the motion in this regime. At $F_p$ the velocity distribution is bimodal: a fraction $n_s$ of the vortices (the slow ones) is not moving ($v_s \approx 0$), while another fraction $n_f$ (the fast ones) is at a finite velocity $v_f$ ($n_s + n_f = 1$). The average drift velocity can be estimated by $v_d = n_s v_s + n_f v_f$. In the plastic flow regime the amount of defects in the moving lattice is large, but decreases under influence of the driving force $F_{ex}$. If $F_{ex}$ is increased, first the fraction $n_f$ of fast moving vortices increases rapidly. Then, when at high enough $F_{ex}$ the slow fraction $n_s$ has almost reduced to zero, the velocity $v_f$ starts to increase. Almost all vortices are moving now with the same velocity and less defects can exist. This is dynamic ordering. The change from a bimodal to single-mode velocity distribution gives rise to a peak in the dynamical resistance:

$$ (d v_d / d F_{ex}) \approx d v_f / d F_{ex} + (d n_f / d F_{ex}) (v_f - v_s). $$

Below the peak the slow vortices are essentially not moving ($v_s \approx 0$) while the number $n_f$ of fast vortices is increasing rapidly, leading to a superlinear $V(I)$. Above the peak the rate $d n_f / d F_{ex}$ at which the slow vortex fraction decreases and the fast vortex fraction increases slows down considerably, while ($v_f - v_s$) $\sim F_{ex}$. It is this slowing down of the rate $d n_f / d F_{ex}$ with increasing $F_{ex}$ that is responsible for the peak in the differential resistance.

So DO only takes place in a plastically moving lattice, for which a certain minimum amount of disorder is necessary. The amount of disorder depends on the pinning mechanisms and on the strength of the vortex interactions, and is therefore field and temperature dependent. Generally, the elastic pinning length $R_s/a_0$ decreases above some field and the disorder increases. It becomes especially large close to the melting line where the lattice is very soft. Therefore, close to the melting line DO should always take place. But also at low fields the lattice is soft and the disorder can be large. Dynamic ordering, however, does not necessarily occur at low fields since $F_p$ is large here and the ordering force $F_{do}$ might be smaller than $F_p$ even for large intrinsic disordered samples.

On the experimental side, for thin films of $a$-MoGe, peaks in $R_d$ were reported by Hellervqvist et al.\textsuperscript{5} and by Hellervqvist and Kapitulnik,\textsuperscript{10} and interpreted in terms of DO. They did not attempt, however, to connect occurrence of DO and disorder in a systematic way, which is the purpose of this paper. For completeness, an earlier report\textsuperscript{11} showing peaks in $R_d$ for thick $a$-MoGe films should also be mentioned. Another much studied system is $2H$-NbSe$_2$, where the vortex lattice is 3D. DO was found close to the melting line by transport measurements,\textsuperscript{12} and in decoration\textsuperscript{13} and neutron-diffraction\textsuperscript{14} experiments. Due to the extreme weakness of the pinning also related phenomena occur, such as metastability and history dependence.\textsuperscript{15,16}

III. EXPERIMENTAL

Thin-film $a$-Nb$_{70}$Ge$_{30}$ samples of varying thickness on Si (001) substrates with a 200-nm-thick artificial SiO$_2$ top layer were prepared in two different sputtering systems. The first system is an ultra high vacuum (UHV) system with a base pressure better than $10^{-9}$ mbar. Sputtering is multtarget dc magnetron sputtering with $6 \times 10^{-3}$-mbar argon as sputtering gas. During sputtering the samples are not cooled in this system. The other system is a Leybold Z400 sputtering system with a base pressure of $\sim 10^{-6}$ mbar. Sputtering is rf diode sputtering from a composite target with approximately $6 \times 10^{-3}$-mbar Ar as sputtering gas. During sputtering the substrate holder is water cooled.

The temperature at which sputtering takes place is very important for the pinning properties of the samples. A small increase in deposition temperature (estimated at no more than 30 K) causes a much broader distribution in pinning potentials and therefore less collective pinning behavior. For the samples from the UHV system, the disorder also increases with film thickness, probably due to more heating in the longer sputtering period. High-resolution electron microscopy (HREM) analysis, however, shows that all samples are amorphous and do not show (micro)crystallites.

In the UHV system samples were prepared ranging in thickness from 38 to 300 nm. Electron microprobe analysis (EPMA) on these samples shows a composition of 70% Nb and 30% Ge. The not-cooled samples from the UHV system will be indicated as U followed by a number giving the film thickness in nanometers. The cooled samples from the Z400 ranging in thicknesses between 34 and 190 nm will be indicated with Z and a number. These samples have a composition of 67% Nb and 33% Ge. One sample was specially prepared to make it weakly pinning by sputtering in short
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FIG. 1. Dynamic ordering force $F_{do}$ and pinning force $F_p$ versus the disorder parameter $\Gamma$ as calculated by Faleski et al.\textsuperscript{8}
cycles (30-s sputtering, 2-min cool down). It will be called W190. It was made from a different target and its composition was 70% Nb and 30% Ge. After sputtering, the samples were ion etched in 100 μm-wide strips. The strips have a total length of 3 mm and a distance between the voltage contacts of 1.5 mm.

Ac-resistance measurements were performed as function of temperature and magnetic field \([R_{ac}(B,T)]\). For these measurements a current density of \(J_{ac}\leq 5000 \text{ A/m}^2\) was used. Voltage \((V)\) versus current \((I)\) relations were measured by stepwise increasing the magnitude of the current. Current commutation and averaging was used to compensate for thermal voltages. Occasionally \(V(I)\) was measured without commutations, but no differences were observed. Also hysteresis was never observed. The dynamical resistance \(R_d\) was calculated from the dc measurements using a standard three-point differentiation algorithm. Since the \(V(I)\) measurements were performed up to high current densities \((J \sim 10^9 \text{ A/m}^2)\), care had to be taken to prevent heating and the samples were immersed directly in liquid helium.

### IV. SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

All samples from the U series have \(T_c=3.1\) K and a transition width in zero field of the order of \(\Delta T_c\sim 20\) mK. The resistivity at \(T=5\) K is \(\rho_n=230\ \mu\Omega\text{cm}.\) The Z series have \(T_c=2.9\) K and \(\Delta T_c\sim 20\) mK. For these samples \(\rho_n(T=5\text{ K})=250\ \mu\Omega\text{cm}\). W190 has \(T_c=3.1\) K and \(\rho_n(T=5\text{ K})=230\ \mu\Omega\text{cm}\). The sample characteristics are given in Table I.

For weakly collective pinning samples it has been shown how to determine the upper critical field \(B_{c2}\) from \((R(B))\) measurements.\(^{17}\) For this type of samples it has also been demonstrated that 2D Kosterlitz-Thouless (2D KT) melting takes place before thermal depinning. The melting field \(B_m\) can be determined both from \((R(B))\) and from \((V(I))\) measurements. For the more strongly disordered samples of this work, \((R(B))\) and \((V(I))\) look differently. Therefore the way to determine \(B_{c2}\) is not directly clear. Moreover, the occurrence of 2D KT melting in these films is not \textit{a priori} established. It could be that the vortex lattice is too much disordered and the correlated domains too small for KT melting to take place. In this section we will show how we derived \(B_{c2}(T)\) and \(B_m(T)\) from our \((R_{ac}(B))\) measurements. The good correspondence between the experimentally determined values and the theoretical curves is an indication that \(B_{c2}\) and \(B_m\) are determined correctly and that melting via unbinding of dislocation pairs still takes place. Later on, we will give an indication for the size of the correlated regions.

The more strongly disordered samples of this work show behavior as indicated in Fig. 2 for U38 and W190. The measurements have been performed with \(J_{ac}=5000 \text{ A/m}^2\). In the main figure \(R_{ac}(B)\) is plotted for U38\(^{11}\) at temperatures of \(T=1.7, 1.9, 2.1, 2.3, 2.5, 2.7, 2.9,\) and 3.0 K (right to left). The determination of \(B_{c2}\) and \(B_m\) is indicated. Inset: \(R_{ac}(B)\) for W190 measured with \(J_{ac}=2500 \text{ A/m}^2\) at \(T=1.68\) K.

FIG. 2. Ac resistance \(R_{ac}\) versus field magnetic field \(B\) measured with \(J_{ac}=3000 \text{ A/m}^2\) for U38 at temperatures of \(T=1.7, 1.9, 2.1, 2.3, 2.5, 2.7, 2.9,\) and 3.0 K (right to left). The determination of \(B_{c2}\) and \(B_m\) is indicated. Inset: \(R_{ac}(B)\) for W190 measured with \(J_{ac}=2500 \text{ A/m}^2\) at \(T=1.68\) K.

In this section we will show how we derived \(B_{c2}(T)\) and \(B_m(T)\) from our \((R_{ac}(B))\) measurements. The good correspondence between the experimentally determined values and the theoretical curves is an indication that \(B_{c2}\) and \(B_m\) are determined correctly and that melting via unbinding of dislocation pairs still takes place. Later on, we will give an indication for the size of the correlated regions.

The more strongly disordered samples of this work show behavior as indicated in Fig. 2 for U38 and W190. The measurements have been performed with \(J_{ac}=5000 \text{ A/m}^2\). In the main figure \(R_{ac}(B)\) is plotted for U38\(^{11}\) at temperatures ranging from \(T=1.7\) K to \(T=3.0\) K. In the inset \(R_{ac}(B)\) is plotted for W190 at \(T=1.68\) K. All curves show a linear region and then a clear kink in slope followed by a curved region at higher fields. At low fields \(R_{ac}(B)\) does not drop sharply to zero, but decreases much more slowly than for weakly pinning samples. For W190 \(R_{ac}(B)\) shows a minimum and maximum.

We now argue that the measured curves can be interpreted as follows. The linear regions in \((R_{ac}(B))\) correspond to more and more vortices depinning. The behavior in the curved regions at high fields is dominated by fluctuations and inhomogeneities. We have tried fluctuation analysis to determine \(B_{c2}\)\(^{18}\) but this did not work well due to the large amount of inhomogeneities. Values for \(B_{c2}\) can be obtained by extrapolating the data just above the kink, where all vortices are moving, linearly to \(R_n\). This is justified on basis of the work by Larkin and Ovchinnikov who described the full flux flow resistance \(R_f\) close to \(B_{c2}\) as

\[
R_f/R_n \approx 1 - \alpha(T)(1 - B/B_{c2}).
\]

A strong indication that \(B_{c2}\) is determined correctly in this way follows from its temperature dependence, which is described in the implicit relation\(^{19}\)

\[
\ln(T/T_c) = \Psi \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) - \Psi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4 \xi(0)^2 T_{c2}(T)}{\pi \phi_0 T} \right),
\]
with $\Psi$ the digamma function. In this relation $\xi(0)$ follows from

$$B_{c2}(0) = 0.69ST_c = 0.69\phi_0 / \left[ 2\pi \xi(0)^2 \right],$$  

(5)

where $S = -dB_{c2}/dT |_{T = T_c}$ is the slope of $B_{c2}(T)$ close to $T_c$ and the only free parameter. Figure 3 in combination with Table I shows that the experimentally determined $B_{c2}(T)$ values correspond very well to the theoretical relation for all samples if $S$ is taken $S \approx 2$ T/K. This value for $S$ is the same as values reported for $a$-Nb$_2$Ge in literature, which is an indication that our determination of $B_{c2}$ is correct. Also the values found for $\alpha$ correspond to values found earlier.  

The 2D KT melting field $B_m$ is the field above which the vortex lattice is in the liquid state and therefore unstable to the proliferation of dislocations. Below $B_m$ the vortex lattice is frozen. The 2D KT melting criterion is

$$A_6 \frac{c_{66} d_0 ^2}{k_B T} = 4 \pi,$$  

(6)

where $c_{66}$ is the shear modulus and $A$ is a renormalization factor of the shear modulus $c_{66}$ due to nonlinear lattice vibrations and vortex lattice defects as, e.g., bound edge-dislocation pairs, $A \approx 0.64$. The expression for $c_{66}$ is

$$c_{66} = \frac{B_c(t)^2}{4\mu_0} b(1-0.58 b+0.29 b^2)(1-b)^2,$$  

(7)

where $B_c(t) = B_{c2}(t)/\kappa_1 \sqrt{2}$ and $\kappa_1 = \kappa(1.25-0.25 t)$, with $\kappa = 3.54 \times 10^4 \sqrt{4\phi_0 S}$. The distance between vortices is $a_0 = 1.075 \sqrt{4\phi_0} / B$. Finally, $d$ is the thickness of the film. The melting curve is then given by

$$A_6 \frac{\phi_0 (1.07)^2 d}{32\mu_0 k_B \kappa^2} \frac{B_{c2}(T)}{T(1.25-0.25 t)^2} \left[ 1 - 0.58 b_m + 0.29 b_m^2 \right] \times (1 - b_m)^2 = 1.$$  

(8)

FIG. 3. Reduced phase diagram $B/B_{c2}(0)$ vs $T/T_c$, indicating upper critical field $B_{c2}$ (open symbols) and melting field $B_m$ (solid symbols), for all U-series samples. The dashed line follows from Eq. (4). The solid lines are the melting lines for the different samples according to 2D KT melting theory [Eq. (8)].

For the more strongly disordered samples of this work, it is not $a$ priori clear if 2D KT melting takes place. A certain minimum correlated region is needed that may not exist in such disordered samples. It is also questionable if thermal depinning will not take place before melting. If we consider the $R_d(B)$ measurements as given in Fig. 2, we see that $R_d$ does not drop sharply to zero below a certain field, because of a broad distribution in pinning potentials. Some vortices depin very easily and the low ac current is already strong enough to depin them. If 2D KT melting occurs, $B_m$ has to correspond with the field at which partial flux flow begins in the $R_d(B)$ measurements. Therefore $B_m$ is determined from the measurements as indicated in Fig. 2 as the point where the linear regions go over into regions with a much less steep descent toward $R=0$.

A strong indication that the field we indicate as $B_m$ actually corresponds to the melting field comes from a comparison with theory. The melting curve [Eq. (8)] has no free-fitting parameters, but is completely determined by $T_c$, $S$, $\rho_0$, and $d$. In Fig. 3 the experimentally determined values for $B_m(T)/B_{c2}(0)$ are plotted for all samples from the $U$ series together with the calculated curves. Note that the experimentally determined $B_m$ shift downward with decreasing $d$ just as the calculated curves do. The correspondence between theory and experiment is quite good.

Figure 4(a) gives a double logarithmic plot of $V(I)$ for U38 at $T = 1.44$ K for a selected number of fields. In Fig. 4(b) the derived dynamic resistance $R_d(I)$ is plotted. At fields below $B_m \approx 1.8$ T the $V(I)$ curves do not bend downward as sharply as in weakly pinning samples. The critical current determined with a voltage criterion, $I_{c,V}$, is not well defined and depends on the actual number used. At high currents the $V(I)$ curves are linear with a constant $R_d$ corresponding to the flux flow velocity $V_f$ as calculated from the expression $V_f / R_d = 1.17 B / B_{c2}$. This means all vortices are moving, but it takes large currents to achieve this. Only at a velocity as high as $v \approx 15$ m/s is full flux flow reached, compared to $v \approx 0.2$ m/s to set all vortices in motion for very weakly pinning samples. Of special interest are the data for $B = 1.3$ T [see inset of Fig. 4(b)]. A small peak can be seen in $R_d$, which we ascribe to dynamic ordering, as will be discussed in Sec. V. At still higher currents an instantaneous transition to the normal state sets in, which is caused by the vortices reaching their critical velocity. This transition is characterized by a peak in $R_d$ with a maximum above the normal resistance $R_n$, as seen in Fig. 4 for the curve at $B = 2.2$ T. Above $B_{c2}$, $R_d = R_n$, as the curve at $B = 3.3$ T shows.

The critical current $I_c$ can be determined from the full flux flow region of the $V(I)$ curves by extrapolating this linear part to $V = 0$. In the inset of Fig. 4(a) the determination of $I_c$ is indicated for $B = 0.7$ T. The high velocities at which full flux flow is reached lead to high values for $I_c$. Another way to determine the critical current is by using a voltage criterion ($I_{c,V}$). However, due to the curved nature of $V(I)$, $I_{c,V}$ is not well defined and is much smaller than $I_c$ determined by linear extrapolation. The difference can be an order of magnitude. For very weakly pinning samples the
exact nature of the pinning centers, but simply determine $F_p$ can differ between samples. Here we will not go into the

velocity motion is elastic, with few defects. In CP theory \( I_c \) is representative of the moving lattice and says something

about the dynamic pinning. Figure 5 shows the critical cur-


ratio between \( I_c \) and \( I_{c,V} \) is only of the order of 2.\(^1\) Here, \( I_c \)

will be determined by extrapolation. Defined in this way, \( I_c \)

is a parameter that describes the averaged pinning

strength and the pinning mechanisms are the same for the

various thicknesses, and that the pinning is 2D CP. The

numbers reach the order of 5–30, and are higher for the

different thicknesses, and that the pinning is 2D CP. The

numbers reach the order of 5–30, and are higher for the

$R_c$ series than

$Z$ series also show a linear

relation is found between

$R_c$ and $w$ at a certain field and temperature is pro-

Follow that in $2D$ CP, $J_c$ at a certain field and temperature is pro-

portional to $1/d$ for samples with the same pinning strength $W$.

From the experimentally determined $J_c(B)$, values for $R_{c}a_{0}(B)$ were calculated using Eqs. (9) and (10). For $T = 1.5$ K they are shown in Fig. 6. The numbers reach the order of $5–30$, and are higher for the $Z$ series samples than for the $U$-series samples (Fig. 6). Also, for the $Z$-series a linear relation is found between $J_c$ and $1/d$, as shown in Fig. 7 for $B=0.5$ T, $T = 1.55$ K. This indicates that pinning strength and the pinning mechanisms are the same for the different thicknesses, and that the pinning is 2D CP. The three thinnest samples from the $U$ series also show a linear
The relation between $J_c(B=0.5 \ T, \ T=1.55 \ K)$ and $1/d$, although with an offset at $1/d=0$, quite similar to the behavior found for films of Mo$_{29}$Ge$_{21}$.[11] The thicker films from the U series have much higher values of $J_c$. Whether the pinning in these U-series samples is 2D CP cannot be determined from this analysis since $W$ and the pinning mechanisms most likely vary.

Summarizing the sample characteristics, the samples presented in this work are more strongly disordered than the very weakly pinning samples of previous studies.[21,17] The larger disorder leads to channel-like, partial flux flow at the onset of motion, as $R(B)$ and $V(I)$ measurements show. $B_{c2}$ can be determined from $R(B)$ and has values comparable to those of very weakly pinning samples. Dislocation-mediated melting still appears to take place: $B_{m}(T)$ is in good correspondence with the theoretical curve. The $V(I)$ measurements show that at high currents full flux flow occurs. From these high-current data, the critical current for the moving vortices has been determined. For the U-series samples, it appears that the pinning mechanisms are not the same for different samples, but pinning might still be 2D CP with correlated domains of the order $R_c/a_0 \sim 0.5$. DO shows up in a number of the $R_d(I)$ curves as a peak, and this will be discussed next.

V. RESULTS ON DYNAMIC ORDERING

Figure 8 shows peaks in $R_d(I)$ at a number of fields for U150 at $T=1.55 \ K$. At currents above the peak $R_d=R_f$. We associate the peaks with DO of the vortices as described in Sec. II. For all samples the $(B,T)$ phase diagram has been scanned below the melting line down to $T=0.5$ for occurrence of DO. In case of a peak in $R_d$ the current at which the maximum occurs, $I_p$, has been determined.

Figure 9 shows the phase diagrams of Z34 and Z67 and of U150 and U225 ($w=100 \ \mu m$). Indicated by solid squares are the highest and lowest fields at which a DO peak in $R_d(I)$ was observed. The open squares indicate regions in which no DO was found. As can be seen in Fig. 9 a minimum field $B_{p,low}$ exist below which DO does not occur. Also a maximum field appears to exists, above which no DO is observed. This is due to the fact that the small DO peak is masked by the onset of the much larger peak corresponding to the normal-state transition.
The lowest field at which DO occurs shifts downward with increasing pinning. For the weaker pinning samples, especially those of the Z series, DO only occurs very close to the melting line. Above the melting line DO cannot occur and is never observed. Figure 10 shows the relation between the field at which DO first occurs, scaled on the melting field, $B_{p,\text{low}}/B_m$, and a typical value for the critical current density $J_c(b=B/B_{c2}=0.3)$ taken as a measure for the intrinsic disorder. To relate DO to the disorder of the static lattice, since vortices in both the static and dynamic state are subject to the same pinning centers. As can be seen in Fig. 6, samples which are weakly enough disordered that $R_c/a_0$ reaches maximum values of the order of 10 or more only start to show DO as soon as $R_c/a_0$ drops below about 10 at the high-field side. For strongly disordered samples for which $R_c/a_0$ is of order 1 ($U225$ and $U300$), DO already occurs at low fields.

The currents $I_p$ at which the maxima in $R_d(I)$ occur have been determined for the samples $U150$ and $U225$ as function of temperature at a number of fields. $I_p(T)$ increases for $U150$, but decreases for $U225$ at all fields. However, if we plot $I_p/I_c$ vs $T/T_m$ (Fig. 11), the ratio at low reduced temperatures is almost constant: $I_p/I_c \approx 2$, while close to $T_m$ all curves increase. For $U150$ the curves of $I_p/I_c$ at different fields ($B=1.0, 1.1, 1.2, \text{and} 1.3 \, \text{T}$) coincide. For $T_m$ the value as calculated by the 2D KT melting theory has been used. Similar almost universal behavior is found for $I_p/I_c$ vs $b=B/B_m$, as shown in Fig. 12 for all samples at $T=1.55 \, \text{K}$. The solid lines are meant to guide the eye.
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0 . Therefore DO moves closer to the melting field for
weaker pinning, and can lie so close to the melting field for
very weakly pinning samples that it is hardly observable
experimentally. The value of R_/a_0~10 probably corresponds
with the transition from an elastic to a plastically disor-
dered lattice. At low fields, where R_/a_0 is also small, DO
does not occur, since the DO force F do is lower than the
pinning force F_p and the vortices order at the onset of mo-
tion. For samples with a large intrinsic disorder, i.e., R_/a_0
of order 1 everywhere, DO already occurs at low fields, since
the pinning force is now high and the lattice is plastically
deformed also at low fields.

Considering the current values I_p at which DO takes
place, we find a uniform ratio of peak and critical current
I_p/I_c and similar behavior for all studied samples both as
function of reduced temperature and reduced field: over a
relatively large temperature and field range the ratio is con-
stant, while close to the melting temperature or field it starts
to increase. For the temperature dependence this is in qual-
itative agreement with the divergence of the driving force
needed for DO as expressed in Eq. (1). For the field depen-
dence, the underlying reason will be the same: near melting
dislocations are more easily induced by the energy generated
through the motion, and it takes larger velocities to suppress
this effect. The comparison between experiment and theory
can even be made more quantitative by realizing that, in the
framework of 2DCP, Eq. (1) can be written in terms of the
ratio I_p/I_c, since F do corresponds with I_p and \( r^2 \) with I_c.
Specifically, we use the following relation between the disor-
der strength \( \Gamma \) of Eq. (1) and the average pinning strength
W of Eq. (9): \( \Gamma = W r^2 \). This follows from realizing that \( \Gamma \) is a
pinning energy squared times the area \( r^2 \) in which the
pinning force acts while W is the pinning force squared per
unit volume \( r^2 \). Writing Eq. (9) as \( F_p = W I_p r^2 \), using
Eqs. (1) and (6), and the fact that \( r_p^2 = a_0/2 \) close to \( T_m \) we find
\[
\frac{F_{do}}{F_p} = \frac{I_p}{I_c} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi A}{2}} \frac{T_m}{T}.
\] (11)
The point of interest is that the ratio \( I_p/I_c \) diverges according
to a universal constant, contrary to the divergence of \( I_p \),
which contains the sample-dependent parameters \( \Gamma \) and \( r_p \).
Fitting the data in Fig. 11 to the relation \( I_p/I_c = a + b/(1
- T/T_m) \) yields \( a = 1.55 \) and \( b = 0.1 \). The latter value is to be
compared to \( \sqrt{\pi/2}/16 \approx 0.05 \), and the agreement is quite
good. This also shows that the full description for DO be-
behavior involves a crossover from a low-temperature (field)
regime where the value of \( I_p/I_c \) is determined by the pinning
parameters of the sample, to a high-temperature (field) re-
regime where this is not the case, with the crossover tempera-
ture (field) again determined by the pinning. Coming back
once more to the experiments of Hellervqvist et al.,6,7 those
are clearly below the crossover: although \( I_p \) diverges, \( I_p/I_c \)
is actually going down in their whole temperature regime
and the universal constant cannot be extracted from those
data.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION
The results are in good qualitative agreement with the
description of Faleski et al.8 discussed in Sec. II. Moreover,
using the fact that even for quite high disor-
der 2D collective pinning theory and the concept of dislocation-mediated melting
still can be used, the conditions for occurrence of DO can
be quantified. The experiments show that if the intrinsic dis-
order of a sample is not too large, i.e., the correlated domains
reach at least a maximum value of \( R_/a_0 \geq 10 \), DO occurs
when softening of the lattice increases the disorder. When at
some field \( R_/a_0 \) drops below 10, the disorder is large
everywhere, DO already occurs at low fields, since

\[ \frac{F_{do}}{F_p} = \frac{I_p}{I_c} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi A}{2}} \frac{T_m}{T}. \] (11)

VII. SUMMARY
We have investigated dynamic ordering effects in films
with varying amount of pinning and a two-dimensional vor-
tex lattice. The nonlinear behavior of the voltage versus cur-
rent relations, i.e., the peak in the dynamical resistance, in-
dicates that current-induced ordering takes place. This DO
only occurs if the disorder is large enough and a plastic flow
region exists. The disorder depends on the intrinsic disorder
of a sample, which is indicated by \( J_p(b = \text{const}) \) and on the
field. A good measure for the disorder is the size of the
correlated regions as determined using 2D collective pinning
theory. DO appears if this size drops below the order of
\( R_/a_0 \approx 10 \). Since the field at which this happens depends on
the intrinsic disorder of a sample, DO moves closer to the
melting line for more weakly disordered samples. The cur-
rent at which DO occurs scaled on the critical current is
constant up to high reduced fields and temperatures and di-
verges at the melting transition, in agreement with the notion
that increasing velocities are needed to overcome the effect
of either temperature or softening of the shear modulus of
the vortex lattice.
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