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Microdomains such as rafts are considered as scaffolds for phosphatidylinositol (4,5) bisphosphate (PIP$_2$) signaling, enabling PIP$_2$ to selectively regulate different processes in the cell. Enrichment of PIP$_2$ in microdomains was based on cholesterol-depletion and detergent-extraction studies. Here we show that two distinct phospholipase C-coupled receptors (those for neurokinin A and endothelin) share the same, homogeneously distributed PIP$_2$ pool at the plasma membrane, even though the neurokinin A receptor is localized to microdomains and is cholesterol dependent in its PIP$_2$ signaling whereas the endothelin receptor is not. Our experiments further indicate that detergent treatment causes PIP$_2$ clustering and that cholesterol depletion interferes with basal, ligand-independent recycling of the neurokinin A receptor, thereby providing alternative explanations for the enrichment of PIP$_2$ in detergent-insoluble membrane fractions and for the cholesterol dependency of PIP$_2$ breakdown, respectively.
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**Introduction**

The phospholipid phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP$_2$) is a minor component in the plasma membrane, but it has important regulatory roles in many cellular processes. Apart from being the precursor for second messengers such as DAG, IP$_3$ and PIP$_3$ (Berridge and Irvine, 1984; Rameh and Cantley, 1999), it is also a messenger itself, with reported effects ranging from channel gating to vesicle trafficking and reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton (for reviews, see Czech, 2000; Caroni, 2001; Hilgemann et al., 2001). How can a single lipid species regulate multiple physiological processes in a cell, apparently with spatial resolution? In an attempt to solve this enigma, it has been widely hypothesized that spatially confined PIP$_2$ pools must exist in the plasma membrane (e.g., Hinchliffe et al., 1998; Martin, 2001; Simonsen et al., 2001; Janmey and Lindberg, 2004).

The microscopical distribution of PIP$_2$ along the plasma membrane has been studied in fixed cells with PIP$_2$-specific antibodies (Laux et al., 2000) as well as in living cells using GFP-tagged pleckstrin homology domains (GFP-PH) as PIP$_2$ labels (Stauffer et al., 1998; Varnai and Balla, 1998). In a recent detailed study employing this latter technique, we reported that in several cell types tested, GFP-PH labeling of the plasma membrane appeared strictly homogenous. In this study, we also showed that reported local GFP-PH enrichments, which had been interpreted to represent PIP$_2$ concentrations, appeared due to subresolution folding of the membrane (van Rheezen and Jalink, 2002). In support of this view, fluorescent recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments demonstrated that diffusion of fluorescent PIP$_2$ is too fast to maintain enrichments in the plasma membrane at a micrometer scale. However, as the resolution of light microscopy does not allow studying structures smaller than ~ 250 nm, the possible confinement of PIP$_2$ to smaller structures such as rafts was not addressed in this study.

Rafts are small (~<250 nm) lipid domains in the plasma membrane that have recently attracted much attention as (hypothetical) scaffolds for signal transduction components. Rafts differ from the bulk membrane in lipid composition, that is, they are enriched in cholesterol, sphingolipids and saturated phospholipids. This causes the lipids to be in a so-called liquid-ordered state that is thought to limit diffusion significantly. Biochemically, rafts are characterized as resistant to solubilization in detergents such as Triton X-100 at 4°C (Chamberlain, 2004) and by their dependence on cholesterol: extraction of cholesterol using methyl-$eta$-cyclodextrin (CD) disrupts the rafts and redistributes the signaling complexes (reviewed by Simons and Ikonen, 1997; Simons and Toomre, 2000). However, it is important to note that there is no universal support for this hypothesis, and in fact, there is no clear consensus about the size, lifetime and cholesterol dependency of membrane rafts, or even for their very existence (Kenworthy and Eddin, 1998; Kenworthy et al., 2000; Munro, 2003; Glebov and Nichols, 2004). For example, whereas rafts were studied by detergent extraction methods and visualized by clustering them together into micrometer-sized structures with antibodies, it was subsequently shown that these techniques can cluster molecules into non-pre-existing domains (Mayor et al., 1994; Kenworthy and Eddin, 1998; Heerklotz, 2002; Pizzo et al., 2002; Eddin, 2003; Munro, 2003).

Nevertheless, the possible confinement of (a pool of) PIP$_2$ to rafts would provide a mechanism to prevent spreading of the effects of local PIP$_2$ breakdown by phospholipase C (PLC). This hypothesis, which we here term ‘raft-delimited PIP$_2$ signaling’, is supported by a few biochemical studies (Koreh and Monaco, 1986; Hope and Pike, 1996; Pike and Casey, 1996; Pike and Miller, 1998; Waugh et al., 1998; Hur et al., 2004). For example, Pike and Casey (1996)
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demonstrated enrichment ofPIP$_2$ in the detergent-resistant fraction of A431 cells, which was eliminated by prior stimulation with bradykinin. In the present study, raft-delimited PIP$_2$ signaling is re-examined. We first establish that PIP$_2$ breakdown evoked by neurokinin A (NKA) receptors (NK2r) but not endothelin (ET) B receptors (ETBr) shows the hallmarks of raft dependency in HEK293 cells. We then use cell biological, biophysical and electrophotonic methods to show that PIP$_2$ is not confined to rafts in these cells.

Results and discussion

Neurokinin A receptor- but not endothelin B receptor-mediated PIP$_2$ hydrolysis is cholesterol dependent

Because rafts are too small to be resolved with light microscopy, and we wished to avoid detergent extraction methods, we used alternative methods to address possible raft-delimited PIP$_2$ signaling. One generally employed approach is to study the effects of raft disruption by extraction of cholesterol with CD. To continuously monitor integrity of the receptor-PLC–PIP$_2$ signaling cascade, we adopted an approach to read out membrane PIP$_2$ content by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) (van der Wal et al, 2001). In this assay, cells are cotransfected with CFP- and YFP-tagged PIP$_2$-binding PH domains derived from PLCa (Figure 1A). At rest, these constructs are concentrated at the membrane by binding to PIP$_2$, and FRET occurs. Following PIP$_2$ hydrolysis, the PH domains can no longer bind, and the proteins dilute out into the cytosol, thereby abolishing FRET. Loss of FRET is detected continuously by monitoring the emission ratio of YFP:CFP while exciting CFP at 425 nm. As a control, when non-PIP$_2$ binding mutant (R40L) PH domains were used, activation of PLC had no effect on FRET (not shown). This technique offers subsecond temporal resolution, while minimizing excitation damage to the cells (for further details, see Materials and methods). We initially focused on the human neurokinin A receptor as a model system, since there is some evidence for its compartmentalization at the plasma membrane (Vollmer et al, 1999; Cezanne et al, 2004). To assess integrity of the signaling cascade, HEK293 cells expressing NKA receptors were repeatedly stimulated with brief pulses of agonist from a puffer pipette (Figure 1A). Following each puff of NKA, the YFP:CFP emission ratio dropped rapidly, indicative of a decrease in the membrane PIP$_2$ levels (Figure 1B, left panel). Upon termination of the stimulus, PIP$_2$ was resynthesized and FRET returned to basal levels. With brief pulses, the receptors do not desensitize, and functional integrity of the signaling cascade can be monitored for hours.

Strikingly, cholesterol extraction compromised the integrity of the signaling cascade in HEK293 cells within minutes, evident as a rapid loss of responsiveness to NKA pulses (Figure 1B, right panel). Maximal suppression of NK2r-induced PIP$_2$ hydrolysis occurred after 20–30 min of CD treatment. At this time, the cholesterol content of the cells was reduced by >90% (Figure 1C). The effects of CD treatment were reversible, as removal of CD restored cholesterol levels as well as the ability of NKA to induce PIP$_2$ breakdown (Figure 1C and D, left panel). Similar results were obtained when the membrane localization of GFP-PH (Stauffer et al, 1998; Varnai and Balla, 1998) was studied by confocal microscopy (Figure 1E). As a control, α-cyclodextrin, which does not extract cholesterol, had no effect on PLC signaling (data not shown).

Intriguingly, the effect of CD treatment appeared specific for the NK2r in that PIP$_2$ breakdown mediated via the ETBr was not affected (Figure 1D, right panel, and Figure 1E, middle panel). Conceivably, this would reflect very strong coupling of the ETBr to PLC. We therefore included a desensitization-defective mutant of the NK2r (Alblas et al, 1995) in our experiments. In our hands, this mutant is by far the most potent surface receptor in activating PLC (van der Wal et al, 2001); however, it was equally sensitive to CD pretreatment as the wild-type NK2r (Figure 1E, right panel). Thus, we have identified the NKA and ET receptors as, respectively, sensitive and resistant to cholesterol extraction in HEK293 cells, providing a unique opportunity to address raft-delimited PIP$_2$ signaling.

Neurokinin A and endothelin receptors distribute differently along the plasma membrane

The differential sensitivity to CD treatment of NK2r- and ETBr-mediated PLC signaling suggests that in the former, one or more components of the signaling pathway is/are spatially confined to rafts, whereas in the latter, the entire signaling cascade must be raft-independent. We first sought to assess localization of the G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) to rafts. NKA and ET receptors were tagged C-terminally with GFP and expressed in HEK293 cells (Figure 2A and B). The GFP tags do not interfere with receptor signaling, since stimulation with their cognate agonists induced normal PLC-mediated PIP$_2$ degradation and subsequent internalization of the receptors.

Confocal images of both receptors showed homogeneous labeling at the plasma membrane (Figure 2A and B), which is perhaps not surprising because of the small size of the putative rafts. To obtain higher resolution, cells were fixed and immunogold-labeled with anti-GFP antibodies, followed by analysis of ultrathin slices by electron microscopy (EM). The results revealed striking clustering of the NK2r, but not of ETBr (Figure 2C). To quantitate clustering, we adapted the cluster analysis developed by Ripley (1977) for analysis of the one-dimensional data from ultrathin slices (see Materials and methods). In essence, this statistical method compares the observed distribution of particles with the theoretically expected distribution for random particles, yielding density-independent data on clustering as well as on the mean cluster size and the distance between clusters (Figure 2D, left panel). As expected from the micrographs, analysis of the gold particles showed strong clustering for the NK2r and a random pattern for the ETBr (Figure 2D). Mean cluster size for the NK2r was ~80 nm, and mean cluster distance was ~400 nm. These values correspond quite well to sizes reported for microdomains and, in particular, for diffusion confinement zones recently found for the rat NK2r (Cezanne et al, 2004). The observed clustering of the NKA receptor is not noticeably influenced by the GFP tag, since HA-tagged NK2r yielded identical results (Figure 2C, NK2r-HA; cluster analysis not shown). Furthermore, differences in clustering are not due to differences in expression levels of those constructs because ET receptors and NK2r were expressed at comparable levels (Figure 2E), and because cluster analysis of selected cells with low label density gave identical results (not shown). Evidently, the experimentally
Figure 1 PIP₂ hydrolysis induced by the NK2r, but not the ETB receptor, is cholesterol dependent. (A) Schematic representation of the FRET assay that allows for continuous read out of the integrity of the receptor–PLC–PIP₂ signaling cascade. (Left panel) In a resting cell, CFP-PH and YFP-PH bind to PIP₂ at the membrane and FRET occurs. (Right panel) Using a puffer pipette, the cell is briefly (≈10 s) exposed to agonist. This causes rapid degradation of PIP₂, resulting in translocation of CFP-PH and YFP-PH into the cytosol, with consequent loss of FRET. Subsequently, the agonist dilutes out through diffusion, PIP₂ is resynthesized and FRET recovers. For further details, see Materials and methods. (B) HEK293 cells expressing human NK2r were repeatedly stimulated with brief pulses of NKA (dashes, 10 s pulses from a puffer pipette containing 100 μM NKA). (Left panel) Control demonstrating repeated activation of the signaling cascade. (Right panel) Following a test pulse, CD (10 mM) was added (solid line), which rapidly inhibited signaling induced by further NKA pulses. (C) CD treatment causes depletion of cholesterol levels. Upon washout of CD, cholesterol levels recovered. (D) Quantitative analysis of the effects of CD treatment on agonist-induced FRET changes for NKA and ET. (E) HEK293 cells were cotransfected with GFP-PH and either the NK2r, the ETBr or a desensitization-defective truncation mutant of the NK2r as indicated. Confocal images were acquired from untreated (−CD) or CD-treated (+CD) cells before and 30 s after receptor stimulation. Scale bars, 5 μm. Note that in all cases, receptor stimulation induced translocation of GFP-PH to the cytosol (>80% of the cells), except for cells expressing NK2r that were treated with CD (wt NK2r, <30% of cells (partially) responded; desensitization-defective NK2r, <35% of cells responded; N>200; P<0.002).
determined size and intercluster distance are in good agreement with the observed homogenous distribution as seen by confocal microscopy.

As CD pretreatment rapidly abolishes NKA-mediated PLC signaling, we next investigated its effects on clustering at the EM level. Surprisingly, cholesterol depletion had little effect on NK2r clustering (Figure 2D), in that there was only a slight effect on distance between the clusters with no detectable effect on cluster size. This indicates that the loss of signaling is not due to dislodgement of the receptors, and led us to...

Figure 2 Distribution of NK2r and ETBr at the plasma membrane. HEK293 cells were cotransfected with RFP-PH and with the GFP-tagged NKA (A) or ETB (B) receptor. Confocal images were acquired before, at 10 s, and at 10 min after receptor stimulation. Within 10 s of agonist addition, PLC is fully activated as deduced from the translocation of RFP-PH to the cytosol, whereas receptor internalization takes several minutes to complete. The lower panels show NK2r-GFP and ETBr-GFP at high magnification to illustrate lack of clustering at the light microscopical level in the absence of agonist. Scale bars, 5 μm. (C) (Left panels) EM micrograph of the localization of GFP-NK2r at the plasma membrane. The lower panel shows a similar result obtained with HA-tagged NK2r, using an antibody against the HA tag. (Right panels) Localization of GFP-ETBr by EM. Scale bars, 100 nm. (D) Cluster analysis of the distribution of gold particles from EM pictures, using a one-dimensional adaptation (see Materials and methods) of Ripley's K analysis. With randomly distributed particles, the cluster parameter C(d) is close to 0 for all cluster diameters d (left panel, gray line). In a clustered data set, for d increasing from 0, C(d) initially becomes positive (indicating over-representation of short distances in the data set), and subsequently crosses the x-axis to become negative. The location of the maximum predicts the average radius of the clusters, and the intersection with the x-axis indicates half of the intercluster distance (left panel, black line). Pooled results from cluster analyses for the NKA receptor (middle panel, black line) confirm strong clustering, whereas the ET receptor (right panel) is not clustered. Treatment of cells expressing NK2r with CD (middle panel, gray line) had little effect on overall clustering and the average cluster size was unaffected. The shaded regions indicate standard errors. (E) Expression levels (mean ± s.e.) of HA- or GFP-tagged receptors used for the analysis in (D). Density (gold particles per nanometer membrane) was derived from EM micrographs.
hypothesize that CD disrupts coclustering of PIP₂ with the receptors.

Ultrastructural analysis reveals homogenous distribution of PIP₂ along the plasma membrane
To address the possibility of PIP₂ confinement to rafts, we first studied clustering of a PIP₂ binding construct by EM. Specific labeling of PIP₂ for immunohistochemistry has been previously carried out using PIP₂-specific antibodies (Laux et al., 2000). In such studies, cells are fixed and permeabilized by detergent to allow entry of the antibodies. However, because it was recently shown that detergent treatment can cluster molecules into non-pre-existing domains (Heerklotz, 2002), we studied the localization of PIP₂ by expressing tagged PH (PLCδ1) domains in HEK293 cells. Ultrathin frozen sections of these cells were labeled with gold-tagged anti-GFP antibodies, eliminating the need for detergent permeabilization to gain access to the cells (Watt et al., 2002). Because approximately 50% of GFP-PH is cytosolic in resting cells (van der Wal et al., 2001), a tandem-PH construct (GFP-PH-PH; see Materials and methods) with increased membrane affinity was used. Whereas this chimera was absent from the cytosol, its localization at the membrane was identical to that of GFP-PH. High-resolution EM pictures from these preparations showed homogenous distribution of gold particles along the plasma membrane (Figure 3A). Again, a modified Ripley’s cluster analysis was performed (Figure 3A, right panel), which confirmed random distribution of GFP-PH-PH in untreated cells (N = 6). Thus, by EM, PIP₂ at the membrane is not clustered.

Assessment of PIP₂ clustering in vivo by FRET
To confirm this finding in vivo, we set out to study the membrane PIP₂ distribution by measuring clustering-dependent FRET, a technique that was pioneered by Kenworthy and Edidin (1998). FRET is proportional to the inverse sixth power of the distance between the donor and the acceptor, and thus a theoretical relationship can be derived for the dependence of resonance on label density. Cells are labeled with suitable donor and acceptor fluorophores, and resonance efficiencies are determined for different concentrations of the fluorophores. To assess clustering, the plot of FRET efficiency versus labeling intensity is then compared to the theoretical relationship. When fluorophores are clustered at the membrane, distances between donors and acceptors are less than in the case of randomly distributed fluorophores, resulting in a left-shifted dose–response curve (Figure 3B). For further details, please refer to Supplementary data (section 1) where the relationship between FRET and clustering is determined by using Monte-Carlo simulations. Results in that section also form a sensitivity analysis delineating the importance of various parameters contributing to clustering-dependent FRET. These calculations demonstrate that at the concentrations of chimeras actually found in vivo in our cells, the disruption of clusters containing only a few percent of PIP₂ leads to significant reduction in FRET, and thus should be readily detectable in our experiments.

We applied this technique to study clustering of membrane PIP₂ by coexpressing chimeras of PIP₂-binding PH domains with (monomeric) green and red fluorescent proteins. Considerable effort was invested in minimizing experimental variability; for details, please refer to ‘Optimizing assessment of clustering by FRET’ in Supplementary data (section 2). First, we derived energy transfer from donor fluorescence lifetime measurements (FLIM; see Materials and methods),
which is a quantitative, although rather involved, technique to assess FRET. In five independent experiments, comparison of FRET values before and after disruption of rafts in populations of 80–150 cells failed to show differences (difference: 0.024 ± 0.35%; see Figure 3C). In a second set of experiments, FRET was followed by ratiometry in single living cells during cholesterol extraction. This approach, although not very quantitative, is extremely sensitive to changes from baseline (Figure 3D). Again, disruption of rafts by treatment with CD was without detectable effect (N = 25). Taken together, our experiments indicate that PIP_2 is not enriched in microdomains at the plasma membrane.

Critical evaluation of the previous results in the context of published literature

Having determined that PIP_2 distributes homogeneously along the plasma membrane, two critical questions remained. First, what might be the reason that the above-mentioned data are at variance with data obtained by biochemical approaches for several cell types (Pike and Casey, 1996; Liu et al., 1998)? In these studies, the enrichment of PIP_2 in low-density Triton X-100-insoluble fractions has been interpreted to reflect its selective partitioning into lipid rafts. Because a more recent study reported that detergent may induce lipid clustering itself (Heerklotz, 2002), we tested the effect of Triton X-100 on PIP_2 clustering in live cells. Figure 4A (left panel) shows that treatment with only 0.0025% Triton X-100 caused a substantial increase in FRET in living cells coexpressing GFP-PH-PH and RFP-PH-PH, as detected ratiometrically. This deviation from baseline does not reflect a direct effect of Triton X-100 on the fluorophores, because the emission ratio of RFP-PH-PH and GFP-PH(R40L), which does not bind to PIP_2, was not affected (Figure 4A, right panel). To quantitate the magnitude of the effect of Triton X-100, FLIM experiments were performed (Figure 4B and C). In good agreement with the previous result, treatment with Triton X-100 caused an increase (4.0 ± 0.52%, mean ± s.e.) in FRET between GFP-PH-PH and RFP-PH-PH in the vast majority of measurements, while it had no effect on control cells expressing only GFP-PH-PH. Statistical analysis by Student’s t-test for paired observations showed that the difference between post- and pretreatment values of FRET was extremely significant (P < 10^{-10}), contrasting with P = 0.95 for the effects of CD. Similar results were obtained in other cell types including MDCK and N1E-115 cells. Even larger FRET increases were observed upon addition of 0.5–1% Triton X-100 in ratiometric FRET experiments performed at 4°C. However, as Triton caused rapid lysis of the cells and consequent loss of the labels, quantitation was not experimentally feasible. We next checked whether Triton-induced FRET increases were accompanied by visible clustering of GFP-PH-PH. Confocal images acquired at high magnification (Figure 4D) failed to reveal clusters at 0.0025% Triton X-100 in most cases, but addition

Figure 4

Triton X-100 induces non-pre-existing PIP_2 clusters. (A) Ratiometric FRET traces from a cell coexpressing GFP-PH-PH and RFP-PH-PH (left panel) or a control cell with GFP-PH(R40L) and RFP-PH-PH (right panel). Addition of Triton X-100 (0.0025%) to cells expressing GFP-PH-PH and RFP-PH-PH, but not control cells, caused significant increases in FRET. Higher concentrations of Triton X-100 caused larger FRET changes but also increased permeabilization, causing fluorescence to leak out. Representative traces from experiments performed at least 12 times. (B) Effect of Triton X-100 treatment on FRET between GFP-PH-PH and RFP-PH-PH (left panel). Cells on a coverslip were marked and FRET was determined by FLIM. After Triton treatment, FRET in the same cells was measured again, and differences are plotted versus the expression level. Triton X-100 treatment did not affect control cells expressing only GFP-PH-PH (right panel). A gain in FRET is depicted in green, and a loss in red. (C) Statistical analysis (mean and s.e.) of the data from Figure 3C and panel D of this figure illustrates lack of effect of CD treatment (P > 0.95), whereas Triton X-100 induces significant clustering (P < 0.001) in cells expressing both GFP-PH-PH and RFP-PH-PH. (D) Direct demonstration of Triton X-100-induced clustering of GFP-PH-PH in an HEK293 cell. Treatment with just 0.005% Triton X-100 induced visible clusters. Scale bar, 1 μm.
of 0.005% (which caused a larger increase in FRET ratio) induced enrichment of fluorescence in submicrometer-sized domains within a minute (see Supplementary data, Movie 1).

This suggests that Triton causes subsolution PIP2 clusters at 0.0025% whereas at just twice that concentration, domains fuse to become visible clusters. These data indicate, first, that the here employed clustering assays are sensitive enough to report PIP2 clustering and, second, that Triton X-100 induces non-pre-existing clusters, which likely explains the discrepancy between our findings and previous biochemical reports.

The second critical question concerns the cause of the observed acute disruption of NKA-induced PLC signaling upon cholesterol extraction. Our experiments show that cholesterol extraction does not disrupt NK2r clustering, as determined by EM, or influence PIP2 distribution, as determined by FRET measurements. How, then, does cholesterol extraction interfere with PIP2 hydrolysis induced by triggering the NKA receptor but not the ETBr? Apart from its effects on lipid rafts, the cholesterol content of the membrane also critically influences other processes (Munro, 2003), including lateral membrane fluidity (Ohvo-Rekila et al., 2002; Ramstedt and Slotte, 2002) and clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Rodal et al., 1999; Subtil et al., 1999). It was recently reported that certain GPCRs require constitutive, ligand-independent recycling to remain responsive to their cognate agonists (Dale et al. 2001; Kittler et al., 2004; Theriault et al., 2004; Xia et al., 2004). This process, termed tonic recycling, involves clustering by lateral movement in the plasma membrane, followed by clathrin-dependent endocytosis and subsequent exocytosis through lipid vesicles.

To examine whether CD treatment interferes with these processes, we studied the dynamics of GFP-tagged NKA and ET receptors by confocal time-lapse microscopy. First, we studied the effects of monensin, an ionophore that prevents exocytosis of vesicles derived from early endosomes by neutralizing intraluminal pH (Basu et al., 1981). As shown in Figure 5, treatment with monensin caused GFP-NK2r to accumulate in internal structures within minutes (Figure 5A). Concomitantly, NK2r-mediated PIP2 hydrolysis is blocked (data not shown). Strikingly, monensin treatment did not affect GFP-tagged ETBr (Figure 5B). This suggests that in the absence of exocytosis, tonic recycling causes rapid internalization of NK2r from the membrane. In line with this idea, monensin-induced internalization of NK2r was completely inhibited by CD treatment (Figure 5C). Apart from the effect on receptor endocytosis, cholesterol extraction also strongly interfered with lateral mobility of both GFP-tagged receptors at the plasma membrane, as visualized by FRAP experiments (Figure 5D). Interestingly, the lateral mobility of both GFP-NK2r and GFP-ETBr was blocked by brief CD treatment. The differential effect of CD treatment on NK2- and ET-induced PIP2 hydrolysis might thus be explained by the dependence of the NK2r, but not the ETBr, on tonic recycling. Whether possible additional effects of cholesterol extraction may play a role (for review, see Munro, 2003) remains to be determined. In any case, our experiments strongly suggest that CD treatment disrupts NKA-mediated signaling by interfering with its tonic recycling, rather than by disrupting rafts.

**Concluding remarks**

It this study, the hypothesis of raft-delimited PIP2 signaling was addressed using EM and biophysical techniques. Our experiments showed that the NK2r appears strongly clustered at the membrane, and that its coupling to PIP2 hydrolysis is completely abolished upon cholesterol extraction. The opposite results were found for the ETBr. Nevertheless, independent lines of evidence presented here reject the possibility of raft-delimited PIP2 pools, but rather indicate that both
receptors share a single homogenous pool of PIP$_2$ at the plasma membrane. Our results are at variance with biochemical studies that show that PIP$_2$ is enriched in detergent-insoluble fractions (Hope and Pike, 1996; Pike and Casey, 1996; Pike and Miller, 1998; Laux et al., 2000; Hilgemann et al., 2001; Klopfenstein et al., 2002; Yin and Janmey, 2003; Hur et al., 2004). It should be emphasized that there is an important distinction between the detection of PIP$_2$ molecules by lipid extraction assays, on the one hand, and by ‘labeling’ techniques (such as used in EM and FRET analysis), on the other hand. Labeling with either PIP$_2$-specific antibodies or PH domains may fail to identify PIP$_2$, that is already bound to (signaling) proteins, while these lipids are likely detected in extraction assays. Conversely, it is hard to envision how bound PIP$_2$ molecules could function as messengers since they are not free to interact with the PIP$_2$-binding domains of signaling proteins. However, the observation that treatment of intact cells with Triton X-100 by itself (even at doses as low as 0.0025%) can induce PIP$_2$ clustering indicates that, at the least, care should be taken in interpreting the results of detergent extraction experiments.

Our experiments also cast serious doubt on the value of CD-mediated cholesterol extractions to prove involvement of rafts in biological processes. We showed that the CD-induced rapid abrogation of NKA-evoked PIP$_2$ hydrolysis does not involve disruption of receptor or substrate clustering. Rather, the experiments suggest that CD interferes with agonist-independent tonic recycling by blocking receptor endocytosis, and perhaps also by rigidifying the plasma membrane. In support of this view, in a very recent paper (Ceزانée et al., 2004), colocalization of the NK2r with clathrin-coated pre-pits was reported. In any case, the emerging, rather broad, picture is that rafts are of biological importance, and perhaps also by rigidifying the plasma membrane. In support of this view, in a very recent paper (Cezanne et al., 2001; Klopfenstein et al., 2002; Y in and J anmey, 2003; Hur et al., 2004).

Materials and methods

Materials

Ionomycin and NKA were obtained from Calbiochem-Novabiochem Corp. (La Jolla, CA), and ET, CD and β-cyclodextrin were from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO). Rhodamine-6G was from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR) and Triton X-100 was from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

Constructs
eGFP-PH(PLC$\delta$1), eCFP-PH(PLC$\delta$1) and eYFP-PH(PLC$\delta$1) in pcDNA3 vectors are as described (van der Wal et al., 2001). Expression vectors encoding the human NK2r (Alblas et al., 1995) and the human ETBr were kind gifts from Dr W Moolenaar, Division of Cellular Biochemistry. To tag the NK2r with GFP, the VSV tag of the NK2r in a pMT2-VSV vector (Alblas et al., 1995) was replaced by the cDNA for GFP using NotI and EcoRI restriction sites. The ETBr was tagged with GFP by cloning the cDNA for the ETBr (restriction sites HindIII and BamHI) and the cDNA for GFP (restriction sites BamHI and NotI) into pcDNA3. The NK2r was cloned N-terminally of the HA tag present in pcDNA3-HA, using HindIII and NotI. To obtain monomeric GFP-PH, the cDNA for GFP present in eGFP-PH(PLC$\delta$1) (van der Wal et al., 2001) was exchanged by a cDNA encoding a GFP unable to dimerize (A206K; Zacharias et al., 2002). A second cDNA encoding the PH domain of PLC$\delta$1 was cloned using EcoRI between the regions encoding GFP and the PH domain of monomeric GFP-PH to obtain the GFP-PH-PH. mRFP-PH was a kind gift from Dr Tamas Balla (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda), where the GFP cDNA of eGFP-PH (Varnai and Balla, 1998) was exchanged for a cDNA encoding the monomeric RFP (Campbell et al., 2002). To obtain mRFP-PH-PH, we cloned a second cDNA encoding the PH domain of PLC$\delta$1 between the mRFP and PH domain cDNAs using BamHI.

Cell culture and transfection

HEK293 cells were seeded on 25-mm glass coverslips in six-well plates (for microscopy) or in a 15-cm Petri dish (for EM or biochemical assays) in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and antibiotics. Constructs were transfected using calcium phosphate precipitate, at ~ 0.8 μg DNA per well or ~ 13 μg DNA per Petri dish. After 12 h, the medium was refreshed.

Monitoring of PIP$_2$ levels by FRET ratiometry

Kinetic analysis of PIP$_2$ breakdown by FRET was assayed as described (van der Wal et al., 2001). In brief, cells transfected with CFP-PH and YFP-PH at 1:1 ratio were placed on an inverted Zeiss Axiovert 135 microscope equipped with a dry Achromat × 63 (NA 0.75) objective. Excitation was at 425 ± 5 nm, and CFP as well as YFP emission was collected simultaneously at 475 ± 15 and 540 ± 20 nm, respectively, using photomultipliers. For experiments with cells expressing GFP-PH and mRFP-PH excitation was at 488 ± 5 nm, and emission was collected at 535 ± 25 and > 590 nm, respectively. Both photomultiplier signals were filtered at 0.1 Hz and digitized at three samples per second. FRET is expressed as the ratio of acceptor to donor fluorescence. At the onset of the experiment, the ratio was adjusted to 1.0, and FRET changes were expressed as % deviations from base line. It has been previously documented (Stauffer et al., 1998; Varnai and Balla, 1998; van der Wal et al., 2001) that monitoring translocation of the PH domain of PLC$\delta$1 by either confocal imaging or FRET ratiometry reliably records the activation of the PLC signaling cascade.

Confocal microscopy

Coverslips with cells expressing various constructs were mounted in a culture chamber imaged using an inverted TCS-S2 confocal microscope (Leica, Mannheim, Germany). Cells were imaged in bicarbonate-buffered saline (containing in mM: 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 MgCl$_2$, 1 CaCl$_2$, 23 NaHCO$_3$, 10 glucose and 10 HEPES at pH 7.2) under 5% CO$_2$ at 37°C.

Monitoring of receptor internalization

Cells expressing GFP-tagged receptors were imaged every 2–3 min on the confocal, and images were stored for off-line analysis. Membrane localization was expressed as the ratio of the membrane fluorescence to total cell fluorescence. To allow averaging of the results from separate experiments, the ratio was normalized at the onset of the experiment.

Cryoimmunogold electron microscopy

Transfected cells were fixed for 24 h in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PHEM buffer (240 mM PIPES, 100 mM HEPES, 8 mM MgCl$_2$, 40 mM EGTA, pH 6.9) and then processed for ultrathin cryosectioning as previously described (Calafat et al., 1997). Ultrathin frozen sections were incubated at room temperature with mouse monoclonal anti-HA 12CA5 (Boehringer) or rabbit anti-GFP (Clontech), followed by incubation with 10 nm protein A-conjugated colloidal gold (EM Lab., Utrecht University, The Netherlands) as described (Calafat et al., 1997). After immunolabeling, cryosections were embedded in a mixture of methylcellulose and uranyl acetate and examined with a Philips CM 10 electron microscope (Eindhoven, The Netherlands). For the controls, the primary antibody was replaced by a nonrelevant murine or rabbit antisera.

Cluster analysis of immunogold-labeled EM images

For analysis of clusters, EM images of immunogold-labeled ultrathin cryosections were digitized and imported in Photoshop 5.0. Quantitation was by Ripley’s K analysis (Ripley, 1977, 1979; Phatnarak et al., 2000), adapted to one-dimensional data as follows. First, positions of the membrane and of individual gold particles were digitized manually. For each photomicrograph, the length of the membrane and total number of particles were determined, and the average density (k) was calculated from that. Then, for each gold particle, the number (z) of neighbor particles within a given distance d was determined. We varied d between 1
and ~1000 nm; as a boundary condition, when \( d \) extended beyond either end of the membrane, data were taken from the opposite end. From these data, we calculated \( N(d) \), the mean number of neighbors for each \( d \):

\[
N(d) = \text{mean}(n(d))
\]

(1)

For randomly distributed particles, the average expected number of neighbors for given \( d \) depends on the density:

\[
E(d) = 2\delta d
\]

(2)

To arrive at a concentration-independent parameter for clustering, the observed frequencies \( N(d) \) are first normalized with respect to density:

\[
N^*(d) = \frac{N(d)}{2\delta}
\]

Similarly, in the random case, a density-independent estimate is given by

\[
E^*(d) = 2\delta d/2\lambda = d
\]

(3)

(4)

Subsequently, clustering is expressed by subtracting the expected distribution from the observed distribution:

\[
C(d) = N^*(d) - E^*(d) = N^*(d) - d
\]

The dimension of \( C(d) \) is distance (m). Note that for randomly distributed particles, \( C(d) = 0 \) for all \( d \).

Clustering was assessed by plotting \( C(d) \) as a function of \( d \). The interpretation of these graphs is analogous to those of the linearly transformed two-dimensional Ripley’s K analysis used by Prior et al. (2003): clusters are apparent as deviations of \( C(d) \) from 0, with the position of the first maximum indicating the mean cluster size, and the first intersection of \( C(d) \) with the horizontal axis indicating half of the mean distance between clusters.

Fluorescence lifetime imaging

FLIM experiments were performed on an inverted Leica DM-IRE2 microscope equipped with Lambert Instruments (Leutingewolde, the Netherlands) frequency domain lifetime attachment, controlled by the vendors EZdim software. GFP was excited with 430 nm light from a LED modulated at 40 MHz and emission was collected at 490…550 nm using an intensified CCD camera. To calculate the GFP lifetime, the intensities from 12 phase-shifted images (modulation depth \( \delta \)) were transformed two-dimensional Ripley’s K analysis used by Prior et al. (2003): clusters are apparent as deviations of \( C(d) \) from 0, with the position of the first maximum indicating the mean cluster size, and the first intersection of \( C(d) \) with the horizontal axis indicating half of the mean distance between clusters.

\[
E(d) \text{ measured lifetime} = \frac{1}{2.4}
\]

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at The EMBO Journal Online.
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FRET efficiencies from large populations (80–150 cells per experiment) were measured before and after treatment with CD (10 mM) or Triton X-100. Differences in FRET were plotted against the corresponding concentration of the GFP-PH-PH probe, determined as described (van der Wal et al., 2001) from separately acquired images. Very similar results ensued when FRET was plotted against mRFP-PH-PH concentration. All experiments were repeated many times on different days; data from a single representative experiment are shown. The data set was statistically analyzed using MicroCal Origin V5.0.

Cholesterol assay

Cells grown in six-well plates were assayed in triplicate for cholesterol using the Amplex red cholesterol assay kit from Molecular Probes Inc. (Eugene, OR). First, cells were washed once with bicarbonate-buffered saline, followed by incubation with or without 10mM CD, or with 25-μM cyclohexim. Subsequently, the saline was refreshed and cells were optionally incubated for different times, or assayed immediately according to the supplier’s instructions.
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Supplementary information

Relationship between labeling density and the clustering-dependence of FRET

Because FRET intensity depends to the inverse 6th power of the distance between donor and acceptor fluorophore (here GFP-PH-PH and mRFP-PH-PH), energy transfer between the PIP_2 probes is a function of both label density and clustering. To estimate the concentration range in which FRET is sensitive for clustering, we calculated dependency of FRET efficiency on both labeling density (D; in molecules per μm^2) and clustering by Monte Carlo simulations using a custom-made Visual Basic program. Briefly, simulations include the following steps:

[1] First, within a (virtual) flat area A of membrane, N donors and N acceptors are positioned randomly or, alternatively, clustered randomly within small circular subdomains of the membrane area. Number and size of subdomains can be varied. At the onset of each simulation, a fraction (typically ≤ 10%) of the donors is excited.

[2] Then, for each excited donor, the chance of energy transfer to any of the acceptors is calculated as follows:
   (a) distances of that donor to each of the acceptors are determined and sorted in increasing order d_1,...,d_N;
   (b) the theoretical FRET efficiency corresponding to those distances E_d is calculated according to
   \[ E_d = \frac{R_0^6}{R_0^6 + d^6} \]
   Where R_0 = ~5 nm (Patterson et al., 2000).
   (c) Energy transfer to the nearest acceptor is defined to occur when a computer-generated random number r (0 ≤ r ≤ 1) is less than or equal to E_{d_1}. When there is no FRET, the procedure is repeated for the next-nearest acceptor (E_{d_2}), etcetera, until the chance of transfer is negligible (< 10^{-4}), in which case the donor does transfer energy to any acceptor.

[3] Upon completion of these calculations for all donor-acceptor pairs, the FRET efficiency E* (percentage of excited donor molecules that transfer their energy) is calculated.

Steps [1]-[3] were carried out for a wide range of densities (5 ≤ D ≤ 125,000) and for various conditions of clustering, including different area% (defined as % of total membrane area that is in clusters), variable cluster sizes, and variations in the fraction of fluorophores that locate to the clusters. For any condition, simulations were repeated for at least 5 x 10^5 donors, and the results were averaged. The resulting calculated FRET efficiencies were plotted versus probe density at the membrane.

Using confocal imaging and whole-cell patch clamping, we estimated that our cells have an average volume and membrane area of 1.3 pl and 1100 μm^2, respectively. For GFP constructs containing a single pleckstrin homology domain, which locate for ~50% at the membrane, we previously reported expression levels up to 12 μM (van der Wal et al., 2000) in N1E-115 neuroblastoma cells. Occasionally, cells expressing up to 30 μM were observed. In the HEK293 cells used in this study, expression levels of each of the fluorescently tagged tandem-PH constructs were generally below ~2.5 μM. These chimeras localize exclusively to the...
plasma membrane, and thus the cellular concentration $C$ is related to the average membrane density $D$ as:

$$D = C \times 6 \times 10^{23} \times 1.3 \times 10^{-12} / 1100$$

$$D = C \times 7.1 \times 10^8 \text{ (molecules/\mu m}^2)$$

The results are depicted in **Supplementary Figure 1**. Initially, we determined $E^*$ for the random situation (*A, left panel, black line*). The simulation predicts ~100% energy transfer at $4 \times 10^4$ molecules/\mu m$^2$. This corresponds to a concentration of ~56 \mu M for each of the constructs; expression levels this high have never been observed in this study. Half-maximal FRET occurs at $8 \times 10^3$ molecules/\mu m$^2$, and some transfer should be detectable as low as $3 \times 10^2$ molecules/\mu m$^2$ (2% FRET). This graph further depicts the simulation results for localization of the fluorophores in a single domain that covers various percentages of the total area (area%), as indicated. Decreasing the area% causes the curves to progressively shift left by up to 20-fold. The maximal decrease in FRET that can be obtained by complete disruption of clusters is found by subtracting these curves from the random, non-clustered case (*middle panel*). From this graph, it is deduced that significant FRET changes
can be expected even if clusters make up 50% of the membrane area. At a fixed label concentration, the degree of FRET loss is seen to decrease for increasing area% of the clusters (right panel).

In Figure 1B, left panel, the effects of different cluster sizes are compared for a fixed area% = 12.5%. It is found that deviations from the random situation are maximal at large cluster sizes (> 6 nm). Nevertheless, even at very small cluster sizes, substantial loss of FRET should result from cluster disruption (middle and right panels).

Figure 1C depicts the results from mixed-population simulations where labels are present in clusters as well as in the rest of the membrane. In mixed populations, the relationship between expression level and FRET efficiency is distinctly biphasic, with the initial, rapid rise at low levels reflecting FRET in clusters, whereas the second more moderate rise represents the remaining membrane area. In these simulations, the space occupied by clusters was kept constant (area% = 10%) and various enrichments within the clusters were modeled at a fixed total numbers of fluorophores. For increasing enrichment in the clusters, we see a progressive shift to the left within the clusters.

From simulations such as those presented in Figure 1, it is evident that for a wide variety of clustering conditions, micro domain disruption must cause significant loss of FRET at expression levels detected in our cells (0.1-2.5 μM). For example, from Figure 1C it is deduced that enrichment of only 4-fold in clusters that make up 10% of the membrane will give rise to easily detectable (~ 5%) FRET changes at physiological expression levels. Thus, PIP₂ enrichments of 10- to 20-fold, as proposed by Hope and Pike (Hope and Pike, 1996) based on detergent-dependent methods, should be readily detectable.

We conclude that the lack of effect of CD treatment on FRET efficiency observed in large populations of cells can only be interpreted to indicate that PIP₂ is not clustered in these cells.

Optimizing assessment of clustering by FRET: experimental details

For all clustering experiments, monomeric eGFP (A206K) and monomeric RFP (Campbell et al., 2002) were used as tags to avoid possible problems due to inherent dimerization of the fluorescent proteins (Zacharias et al., 2002).

In line with reported results (Kenworthy et al., 1998; Glebov et al., 2004; Zacharias et al., 2002), our initial experiments showed considerable cell-to-cell variation in detected FRET values. The reproducibility was enhanced by using constructs with tandem-repeats of the PH domain (see M&M), which causes complete membrane localization. This makes the assay immune to small changes in [PIP₂] that may result from CD treatment (Kwik et al., 2003), thus assuring that any observed differences in FRET reflect distribution of the constructs along the plasma membrane rather than a variable degree of membrane localization. It also avoids bias originating from free ‘non-fretting’ fluorophores in the cytosol. Variability was also considerably reduced by collecting paired observations, i.e. by comparing values pre- and post disruption of rafts from the same cells. Analysis of clustering by FRET requires quantitative measurements, and therefore FRET was previously determined by the acceptor photobleaching method (Kenworthy et al., 1998; Zacharias et al., 2002; Nichols et al., 2003). However, this destructive technique is incompatible with paired observations. Therefore, in this study FRET was detected by donor fluorescence lifetime...
measurements (FLIM). Using a very stable FLIM setup with <1% instrumental drift over several hours allowed reliable detection of population FRET changes considerably less than 1%.

To use a second, independent technique, experiments were also repeated using FRET ratiometry (see M&M). This approach, though not very quantitative, is extremely sensitive to changes from baseline and offers high temporal resolution.
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