Role of Chemotaxis Toward Fusaric Acid in Colonization of Hyphae of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS365
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*Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS365 is an excellent competitive colonizer of tomato root tips after bacterization of seed or seedlings. The strain controls tomato foot and root rot (TFRR) caused by the phytopathogenic fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*. Under biocontrol conditions, fungal hyphae were shown to be colonized by WCS365 bacteria. Because chemotaxis is required for root colonization by WCS365 cells, we studied whether chemotaxis also is required for hyphae colonization. To that end, an in vitro assay was developed to study hyphae colonization by bacteria. The results indicated that cells of the *cheA* mutant FAJ2060 colonize hyphae less efficiently than cells of wild-type strain WCS365, when single strains were analyzed as well as when both strains were applied together. Cells of WCS365 show a chemotactic response toward the spent growth medium of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*, but those of its *cheA* mutant, FAJ2060, did not. Fusaric acid, a secondary metabolite secreted by *Fusarium* strains, appeared to be an excellent chemo-attractant. Supernatant fluids of a number of *Fusarium* strains secreting different levels of fusaric acid were tested as chemo-attractants. A positive correlation was found between chemo-attractant activity and fusaric acid level. No chemotactic response was observed toward the low fusaric acid-producer FO242. Nevertheless, the hyphae of FO242 still were colonized by WCS365, suggesting that other metabolites also play a role in this process. The possible function of hyphae colonization for the bacterium is discussed.

*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* is the causal agent of tomato foot and root rot (TFRR) (Brayford 1996; Jarvis 1988). *Pseudomonas* bacteria such as *P. fluorescens* WCS365 (Dekkers et al. 1998; Schippers et al. 1987) and *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391 (Chin-A-Woeng et al. 1998, 2000) can control this disease. Microscopic analysis has shown that, after mixing of spores through sand, colonization of the plant root by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* starts with attachment of hyphae to root hairs. Subsequently, when hyphae colonize the plant root surface, the junctions between plant cells are colonized (Lagopodi et al. 2002). The same sites also are colonized by the two mentioned *Pseudomonas* biocontrol strains (Bolwerk et al. 2003; Chin-A-Woeng et al. 2000). It is assumed that these sites are colonized because the local concentration of exuded nutrients is high. The pathogenic fungus and the biocontrol bacterium apparently compete for these nutrients (Bolwerk et al. 2003).

We have shown that one of the first steps required for colonization of plant roots by *P. fluorescens* WCS365 bacteria is chemotaxis toward components in root exudate (de Weert et al. 2002). Furthermore, it was shown that *P. fluorescens* WCS365 is able to colonize the hyphae of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* under biocontrol conditions in the rhizosphere (Bolwerk et al. 2003). Recent studies showed that organic acid and amino acids present in root exudate can function as chemo-attractants (de Weert et al. 2002). Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that chemotaxis also is required for colonization of hyphae. The results are described in this article.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Influence of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* and chemotaxis on tomato root colonization by *P. fluorescens* WCS365.**

The influence of the presence of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* on tomato root tip colonization by wild-type *P. fluorescens* WCS365 (Table 1), applied on seedlings, was tested in a gnotobiotic quartz sand system (Simons et al. 1996). The level of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* was such that, without the presence of *Pseudomonas* spp., 70 to 90% of diseased plants were found, whereas the presence of *Pseudomonas* spp. reduced that level to 0 to 15% (Bolwerk et al. 2003). The results showed that the presence of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* had no significant influence on the root tip colonizing abilities of WCS365 (without or with *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*: 5.1 ± 0.2a and 5.0 ± 0.3a, respectively, where different letters [a or b] indicate a significant difference). When wild-type strain WCS365 was replaced by its *cheA* mutant-derivative FAJ2060, the presence of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* decreased the number of bacteria at the root tip by a factor of almost 100 (without or with *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*: 4.9 ± 0.3a and 3.2 ± 0.2b, respectively). The same experiment also was carried out with auto-fluorescently labeled bacteria. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) was used for visualization. Cells of *egfp*-labeled WCS365 were observed as described by Bolwerk and
associates (2003); many cells were present at the root base where they formed microcolonies. Further toward the root tip, the numbers of cells and microcolonies decreased. *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* had no significant influence on this pattern (data not shown). When the egfp-labeled cheA mutant FAJ2060 was applied alone in the rhizosphere, the results were equal to those for WCS365, as shown in previous studies (de Weert et al. 2002). However, the presence of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* had a dramatic negative influence on the root-colonizing abilities of the mutant: at the upper root parts compared with egfp-labeled WCS365. The root tip colonizing abilities (determined by CFU counts; discussed below) of the mutant cells (3.2 ± 0.2a) were 10- to 100-fold lower than those of wild type WCS365 (5.0 ± 0.3b).

The results show that the presence of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* does not influence the colonizing abilities of wild-type WCS365 but heavily decreases the colonizing abilities of the cheA mutant. The wild-type and cheA mutant, when applied alone, have the same root tip colonizing abilities (de Weert et al. 2002); therefore, we conclude that chemotaxis is crucial for the wild type’s ability to compete with the fungus for sites on the root. This competition is expected to play an important role in biocontrol (Bolwerk et al. 2003).

Hyphae colonization
in the tomato rhizosphere and in vitro.

Under the conditions described for tomato root tip colonization, we found that egfp-labeled *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS365 cells attached laterally to the *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* hyphae, which colonize the tomato rhizosphere, thereby confirming results of Bolwerk and associates (2003) (Fig. 1). However, when the egfp-labeled cheA mutant was used, the numbers of bacteria on the hyphae were much lower, even to the extent that most hyphae were devoid of bacteria (data not shown). Also, in contrast with the wild type (Fig. 1), cheA mutants did not form microcolonies on the hyphae (data not shown).

The experiment described above has the disadvantage that the presence of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* in the rhizosphere strongly decreases the numbers of cheA+ cells in the lower root parts. Therefore, it cannot be excluded that all or part of the difference between wild-type and cheA+ cells in colonization of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* hyphae (Fig. 1) is caused by the lower number of cheA+ cells available for hyphae colonization. For that reason, we developed a glass slide assay for hyphae colonization in the absence of the tomato root using auto-fluorescent microbes. In this assay (Fig. 2) bacteria were spot inoculated on *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* hyphae and allowed to colonize the growing hyphae for 2 days at 21°C. Three zones (Fig. 2) were inspected

### Table 1. Bacterial strains, plasmids, and fungi used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains, plasmids, fungi</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas fluorescens</em></td>
<td>Wild-type biocontrol strain, efficient competitive colonizer of tomato, potato, and wheat roots</td>
<td>Geels; Schippers; Dekkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCS365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAJ2060</td>
<td>WCS365 mutant impaired in cheA constructed by single homologous recombination; Km'</td>
<td>de Weert et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5c</td>
<td>Used for transformation and propagation of plasmids</td>
<td>Hanahan 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fungi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZUM2407b</td>
<td>Cause of foot and root rot on tomato</td>
<td>IPO-DLO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCL64</td>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em> f. sp. <em>radicis-lycopersici</em> ZUM2407 labeled with egfp</td>
<td>Bolwerk et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO47</td>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em> f. sp. <em>radicis-lycopersici</em>; nonpathogenic</td>
<td>Bolwerk et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO798</td>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em>, high producer of fusaric acid</td>
<td>Notz et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO801</td>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em>, medium producer of fusaric acid</td>
<td>Notz et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO242</td>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em>, low producer of fusaric acid</td>
<td>Notz et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO242 (egfp)</td>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em>, low producer of fusaric acid labeled with egfp</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMP4662</td>
<td>pME6010 derivative expressing rfp (dsRED) under the control of the lac promoter</td>
<td>Bloemberg et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMP4655</td>
<td>pME6010 derivative expressing egfp under the control of the lac promoter</td>
<td>Bloemberg et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMP4650</td>
<td>pUC21 and pAN 52-1 derivative expressing egfp</td>
<td>Bolwerk et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAN7-1</td>
<td>Fungal transformation vector based on Hg^{+}</td>
<td>Punt et al. 1987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* ZUM2407.
using CLSM. It appeared that egfp-labeled WCS365 cells are able to reach, attach, and colonize the ecfp-labeled F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici hyphae in all three zones and form micro-colonies on the hyphae (Fig. 3A and C). In contrast, egfp-labeled cells of cheA mutant FAJ2060 are present in lower numbers in zone 2 (Fig. 3B) and are hardly observed in zone 3 (Fig. 3D). The mutant cells still formed microcolonies in zone 2 and single cells were attached laterally (Fig. 3B), in contrast to single cells on hyphae in the tomato rhizosphere.

Analyzing WCS365 (dsRED) and FAJ2060 (egfp) in competition during hyphae colonization in zone 2 showed that, under these conditions also, FAJ2060 is less competitive than WCS365 (Fig. 4). Mixed colonies between WCS365 and FAJ2060 were formed on the hyphae but FAJ2060 always was present in lower numbers (Fig. 4). Labeling with autofluorescent proteins did not have an effect on bacterial growth (Bloemberg et al. 1997). From these results, it must be concluded that chemotaxis of P. fluorescens strain WCS365 is important for the colonization of F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici hyphae. Therefore, we tested whether WCS365 also exerts a chemotactic response toward exudates of hyphae.

Experiments as described for F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici also were performed for colonization of hyphae of the nonpathogenic biocontrol fungus Fusarium FO47 (Table 1). The interactions between WCS365 and its cheA mutant with Fusarium FO47 were equal to those observed for F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici (data not shown). This suggests that, for the bacterium, chemotaxis-mediated colonization of hyphae is a process to search for a nutrient source and does not function as a defense reaction toward pathogens.

**Fusaric acid secreted by F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici hyphae is an important chemo-attractant for P. fluorescens WCS365 cells.**

Previously, it already has been concluded that chemotaxis toward tomato root exudate components plays a role in the colonization of tomato roots (de Weert et al. 2002). The chemotaxis drop assay described by Fahrner and associates (1994) as modified by us (de Weert et al. 2002; discussed below) was used to get an impression of which fraction of the hyphae served as chemo-attractants for WCS365 cells. In contrast to washed hyphae, a corresponding amount of culture supernatant (10 µl) from F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici grown in Armstrong medium initiated a significant chemotactic response of P. fluorescens WCS365 cells within 1 h (Fig. 5A). Similar results were observed for FO47. In contrast, no chemotactic response was observed toward sterile Armstrong medium (data not shown) or when the cheA mutant FAJ2060 was used instead of wild-type cells (Fig. 5C).

It is known that various Fusarium strains secrete fusaric acid; therefore, this component was tested as a possible chemo-attractant. The results show that wild-type WCS365

![Fig. 3. Confocal laser scanning microscopy analysis of in vitro hyphae colonization. A and B, Wild-type WCS365 (egfp) and FAJ2060 (egfp), respectively, on hyphae of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici (ecfp) in zone 2. C and D, WCS365 (egfp) and FAJ2060 (egfp), respectively, on hyphae of F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici (ecfp) in zone 3.](image-url)
cells, but not those of its *cheA* mutant-derivative FAJ2060, respond chemotactically towards fusaric acid in a concentration in the 10-µl drop as low as 8 mM (Fig. 5B). The concentration of the tested chemo-attractant at the site where the chemotactic response is judged is much lower than in the applied drop. It appeared that WCS365 cells could not grow on basic medium (BM) supplemented with fusaric acid (instead of succinic acid) as the sole carbon source (data not shown). Although WCS365 is unable to grow in sterile Armstrong medium, it did grow in culture supernatant of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* which had been cultivated in this medium (data not shown). This result further supports our hypothesis that colonization of hyphae is used as a mechanism to bring bacteria close to a potential nutrient source.

To test whether fusaric acid also plays a role as a (major) chemo-attractant in *Fusarium* culture supernatants, we tested the chemotactic response of WCS365 cells toward the culture supernatants of three *Fusarium* strains which secrete different quantities of fusaric acid (Notz et al. 2002). The results (Table 2) show that the supernatant of the high fusaric-acid-producer FO798 initiated the strongest response (after 30 min, a clear ring was observed), followed by that of the medium-level producer FO801 (after 1 h, a clear ring was observed), whereas no response toward supernatant fluids of the low-fusaric-acid-producer FO242 was observed. Controls showed that the chemotaxis mutant FAJ2060 did not respond to any of the tested supernatant fluids (data not shown). Although the composition of the culture supernatant fluids of these three different wild-type strains is likely to differ (not only in fusaric acid content), the results suggest that fusaric acid is a major chemo-attractant in the culture supernatant of *Fusarium* hyphae for *P. fluorescens* WCS635 cells.

To test whether the three *Fusarium* strains used above secrete different quantities of fusaric acid under the growth conditions used by us, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses were performed on ethyl acetate extracts of culture supernatants. The latter fractions had the same chemo-attractant ability as the culture supernatant from which they were derived. All three strains produced a significant HPLC peak with a retention time indistinguishable from that of commercial fusaric acid. Mixing culture supernatants with commercial fusaric acid still yielded single peaks without a shoulder. Using a calibration curve based on known concentrations of commercial fusaric acid, concentrations of fusaric acid in the culture supernatants were estimated (Table 2). The HPLC peaks of the three strains corresponding in retention time to fusaric acid were dissolved in water and tested for their chemo-attractant ability. All peaks induced a positive reaction. The results confirm that the three strains strongly differ in secreted levels of fusaric acid. Moreover, they show that strain FO242 produces a small but significant amount of fusaric acid (Table 2).
Using the same approach as described above, fusaric acid levels secreted by the phytopathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* and the TFRR biocontrol strain FO47 were determined. Fusaric acid concentrations produced by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* and FO47 were shown to be at medium levels (Table 2). By mass spectroscopic analyses, it was shown that the collected peaks of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* and FO47 do represent fusaric acid (synthetic fusaric acid: 179.22 g mol⁻¹) (L. C. Dekkers, personal communication).

Comparing the chemo-attractant activity of dilution series of exudate with known concentrations of fusaric acid and of pure fusaric acid indicated that fusaric acid is not the only chemo-attractant (data not shown).

This conclusion was supported by studies of hyphae colonization on *Fusarium* strain FO242, producing only a small amount of fusaric acid, which was labeled with ecfp (discussed below). No clear difference could be observed between the numbers of WCS365 cells colonizing FO242 hyphae and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* hyphae (data not shown).

From our results, we conclude that *P. fluorescens* biocontrol strain WCS365 colonizes *Fusarium* hyphae, both in vitro and in the rhizosphere, and chemotaxis is key for this process. Fusaric acid serves as a major chemo-attractant in the colonization of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*. Candidate nutrients are amino acids, organic acids, and sugars because these are known to be secreted by the fungus *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Singh and Arora 2001). It has been reported previously that arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi can physically interact with plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (Bianciotto et al. 1996). Chemotaxis toward and subsequent colonization of hyphae brings the bacterium closer to nutrients secreted by the fungus.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Microorganisms and growth conditions.**

*Pseudomonas* strains (Table 1) were grown in liquid King’s medium B (KB) (King et al. 1954) at 28°C under vigorous shaking. When indicated, a synthetic medium, BM, was used (Lugtenberg et al. 2001) with succinic acid (1%) as the sole carbon source. Media were solidified with 1.8% agar (Select Agar; Gibco BRL, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) and, when appropriate, kanamycin (Sigma-Aldrich BV, Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands) was added in a final concentration of 50 µg/ml. *Escherichia coli* was grown at 37°C on solidified Luria-Bertani medium (Sambrook and Russell 2001).

*F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* and all other fungi used were grown in liquid Armstrong media (Singleton et al. 1992) at 28°C under vigorous shaking. If required, medium was solidified with 1.8% agar.

**Transformation of Fusarium FO242 with ecfp.**

Transformation of *Fusarium* strain FO242 with ecfp was performed by a polycethylene glycol/CaCl₂-mediated transformation of protoplasts as described by Kistler and Benny (1988) and modified as described by Lagopodi and associates (2002). Two plasmids were used for transformation: pMP4650, containing ecfp (A. Bolwerk, A. L. Lagopodi, and A. H. M. Wijffjes, unpublished data), and pAN7-1 (Punt et al. 1987) for Hm-B selection (Lagopodi et al. 2002).

**Root-tip colonization assays in a gnotobiatic sand system.**

Root colonization assays were performed as described by Simons and associates (1996) using the gnotobiotic system containing sterile sand to which 10% (vol/wt) plant nutrient solution (Hoffland et al. 1989) was added to moisten the sand. For colonization experiments, sterile germinated tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. cv. Carmello; Syngenta, Enkhuizen, The Netherlands) seedlings were inoculated with either the parental strain *P. fluorescens* WCS365 or its corresponding cheA⁻ mutant, FAJ2060. The seedlings were placed in the tubes and allowed to grow in a climate-controlled growth chamber at 18°C, 70% relative humidity, and 16 h of daylight. *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* was grown for 2 days at 28°C in Armstrong medium. Cultures of 100 ml were spun down and washed three times with sterile demineralized water. The obtained spore pellet was resuspended in 20 ml of sterile demineralized water and the spore concentration was determined by counting under a microscope. Spores were mixed through the sand in a concentration of 5 × 10⁸ spores/kg of sand. After 7 days, root tips were isolated with adhering sand, and bacteria were removed from the root parts by shaking in 1.0 ml of phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The number of CFU of parental and mutant cells was determined by plating dilutions on solidified KB medium and on the same medium supplemented with kanamycin. The detection limit was 100 CFU/ml.

All results were statistically analyzed using the nonparametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test (Sokal and Rohlf 1981). To avoid log 0 cases, calculations were carried out using log (CFU + 1)/cm root tip.

**CLSM.**

For CLSM studies, the gnotobiotic system was used as described previously with some modifications. Briefly, sterile germinated tomato seedlings were inoculated with cells of strain WCS365 harboring plasmid pMP4655 (expressing ecfp). Spores of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* labeled with ecfp (A. Bolwerk, A. L. Lagopodi, and A. H. M. Wijffjes, unpublished data) (FCL64) were mixed through the sand in a concentration of 5 × 10⁷ spores/kg of sand. After 5 days of plant growth, roots were washed in PBS and transferred to a cover slip. Samples were examined using an inverted Leica...

### Table 2. Chemotactic response of Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365 and its cheA mutant FAJ2060 toward Fusarium culture supernatant fluids and toward fusaric acid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fusarium strain</th>
<th>Response to⁸ Culture supernatant extracts⁹</th>
<th>Fusaric acid concentration (µM)⁴</th>
<th>Response to⁸ HPLC peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FO798</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO801</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO242</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORL³</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO47</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response to⁸</th>
<th>Fusaric acid concentration (µM)⁴</th>
<th>Response to⁸</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁸ Responses: ++ = fast response, after 30 min; + = significant response, after 1 h; ± = minor response; – = no response.

⁹ Culture supernatants were extracted with ethyl acetate to isolate fusaric acid as described by Notz and associates (2002).

⁴ Concentration of fusaric acid in culture supernatant. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) peak corresponding with the retention time of commercial fusaric acid was quantified based on a standard curve. Peak materials were dried, solubilized in water, and analyzed in the chemotaxis “drop” assay.

⁵ FORL = *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici.*
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In vitro hyphae colonization studies.

Hyphae colonization studies in the absence of the root were performed by spreading 1.8% Armstrong agar medium (150 µl) on an object slide (25 by 15 by 1.5 mm). A 2-mm-diameter plug of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* labeled with ecfp and grown on Armstrong plates was placed on the agar layer. Bacteria grown overnight and labeled with egfp or dsRED were set to an optical density at 620 nm (OD620) value of 0.1 in 10 ml of PBS. Of this mixture, a volume of 1.0 ml was spun down and resuspended in 10 µl of PBS. Bacteria were spotted as a 1-µl drop (10⁶ to 10⁷ cells) on the plug of a *Fusarium* sp. Object slides were placed in a sterile petri dish containing wet filter paper, closed with parafilm, and incubated for 2 to 3 days at 21°C. Slides were used for confocal studies as described previously.

Chemotaxis experiments.

Chemotaxis experiments were performed using the “drop” assay of Fahrner and associates (1994) as described by Grimm and Harwood (1997) with slight modifications. Briefly, cells grown overnight in KB were diluted 100-fold into 150 ml of Chemotaxis buffer (100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, 20 µM sodium succinate acid). When cells reached the early exponential growth phase, bacteria were collected by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm. The organic phase was dried using a rotor-vapor and reconstituted in 12 ml of chemotaxis buffer (100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, 20 µM EDTA).

Aqueous solution of 1% hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich), formulated to give a viscosity of approximately 4,000 cP in a 2% aqueous solution, was added to the cell suspension to give a final volume of 15 ml. The resulting cell suspension was transferred to a 60-mm-diameter petri dish (Sigma-Aldrich), formulated to give a viscosity of approximately 1% succinic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) over 35 min. Fractions were collected every minute, and fusaric acid was detected by monitoring absorbance at 270 nm using a Jasco MD-910 multiwave length detector (Jasco International Co. Ltd.). At a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min, the retention time of fusaric acid was 8 min. The samples were quantified using a standard curve of commercially available synthetic fusaric acid (Acros Organics, Geel, Belgium).
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