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The determination of the birth radius of the Sun is important to understand the evolution and consequent disruption of the Sun’s birth cluster in the Galaxy. Motivated by this fact, we study the motion of the Sun in the Milky Way during the last 4.6 Gyr in order to find its birth radius. We carried out orbit integrations backward in time using an analytical model of the Galaxy which includes the contribution of spiral arms and a central bar. We took into account the uncertainty in the parameters of the Milky Way potential as well as the uncertainty in the present day position and velocity of the Sun. We find that in general the Sun has not migrated from
its birth place to its current position in the Galaxy ($R_\odot$). However, significant radial migration of the Sun is possible when: 1) The 2:1 Outer Lindblad resonance of the bar is separated from the corotation resonance of spiral arms by a distance $\sim 1$ kpc. 2) When these two resonances are at the same Galactocentric position and further than the solar radius. In both cases the migration of the Sun is from outer regions of the Galactic disk to $R_\odot$, placing the Sun’s birth radius at around 11 kpc. We find that in general it is unlikely that the Sun has migrated significantly from the inner regions of the Galactic disk to $R_\odot$.
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### 3.1. Introduction

The study of the history of the Sun’s motion within the Milky Way gravitational field is of great interest to the understanding of the origins and evolution of the solar system [Adams, 2010] and the study of past climate change and extinction of species on the earth [Feng & Bailer-Jones, 2013]. The determination of the birth radius of the Sun is of particular interest in the context of radial migration and in the quest for the siblings of the Sun [Brown et al., 2010a; Portegies Zwart, 2009]. The work in this chapter is motivated by the possibility in the near future of combining large amounts of phase space data collected by the *Gaia* mission [Lindegren et al., 2008] with data on the chemical compositions of stars (such as collected by the Gaia-ESO survey [Gilmore et al., 2012]) in order to search for the remnants of the Sun’s birth cluster. Our approach is to guide the search for the Sun’s siblings by understanding in detail the process of cluster disruption in the Galactic potential, using state of the art simulations. One of the initial conditions of such simulations is the birth location, in practice the birth radius, of the Sun’s parent cluster. In this chapter we present a parameter study of the Sun’s past orbit in a set of fully analytical Galactic potentials and we determine the most likely birth radius of
the Sun and by how much the Sun might have migrated radially within the Milky Way over its lifetime.

The displacement of stars from their birth radii is a process called radial migration. This can be produced by different processes: interaction with transient spiral structure [Sellwood & Binney, 2002; Minchev & Quillen, 2006; Roškar et al., 2008a], overlap of the dynamical resonances corresponding to the bar and spiral structure [Minchev & Famaey, 2010; Minchev et al., 2011], interference between spiral density waves that produce short lived density peaks [Comparetta & Quillen, 2012], and interaction of the Milky Way disk with in-falling satellites [Quillen et al., 2009; Bird et al., 2012].

Since radial migration is a natural process in the evolution of Galactic disks, it is very likely that the Sun has migrated from its formation place to its current position in the Galaxy. Wielen et al. [1996] argued that the Sun was born at a Galactocentric distance of 6.6 ± 0.9 kpc; roughly 2 kpc nearer to the Galactic centre. He based his conclusions on the observation that the Sun is more metal rich by 0.2 dex with respect to most stars of the same age and Galactocentric position [Holmberg et al., 2009] and the presence of a radial metallicity gradient in the Milky Way. Other studies also support the idea that the Sun has migrated from its birth place. Based on chemo-dynamical simulations of Galactic disks, Minchev et al. [2013] found that the most likely region in which the Sun was born is between 4.4 and 7.7 kpc from the Galactic centre.

However, if the metallicity of the Sun is not unusual with respect to the surrounding stars of the same age it would no longer be valid to assume that the Sun migrated from the inner parts of our Galaxy. By improving the accuracy in the determination of the effective temperature of the stars in the data of the Geneva-Copenhagen Survey, Casagrande et al. [2011] found that those stars are on average 100 K hotter and, hence, 0.1 dex more metal rich. This result shifts the peak of the metallicity distribution function to around the solar value, thus casting doubt on the observation that the Sun is metal rich with respect to its surroundings. Further studies also support the idea that the Sun is not an unusual star [Gustafsson, 1998, 2008; Gustafsson et al., 2010].

The idea that the Sun might not have migrated considerably has been explored by several authors. By solving the equations of motion of the Sun
under the influence of a disk, a dark matter halo, spiral arms, and the Galactic bar described by a multi-polar term, Klačka et al. [2012] found that the radial distance of the Sun varied between 7.6 and 8.1 kpc. They find migration only when the Sun co-rotates with the spiral arms and when these structures represent very strong perturbations. On the other hand, by using the method suggested by Wielen et al. [1996], Mishurov [2006] found that the Sun might have been born at approximately 7.4 kpc from the Galactic centre.

Has the Sun migrated considerably? And if so, what are the conditions that allow such radial migration? One way of solving these questions is by computing the motion of the Sun in the Galaxy backwards in time. Portegies Zwart [2009] used this technique to find that the Sun was born at a distance of $r = 9.4$ kpc with respect to the Galactic centre. He used an axisymmetric potential for modelling the Milky Way, which is not realistic and furthermore, he did not take into account the uncertainty in the current position and velocity of the Sun (with respect to the Galactic reference frame).

The aim of this chapter is to address the question of the Sun’s birth radius by carrying out orbit integrations backward in time, using a more realistic model for the Galaxy which includes the contribution of spiral arms and a central bar. We account for the uncertainty in the parameters of the Milky Way potential as well as the uncertainty in the present day position and velocity the Sun. The resulting parameter study is used to obtain a statistical estimation of the Sun’s birth radius 4.6 Gyr ago. We use the AMUSE framework [Portegies Zwart et al., 2013] to perform our computations.

This chapter is organized as follows: in section 3.2 we describe the model that we use for the Milky Way. In section 3.4 we present the methodology to survey possible past orbits of the Sun and thereby constrain its birth radius. In section 3.5 we analyse the orbit integration results and address the question of whether or not the Sun has migrated in the Galaxy and the conditions that would allow a considerable radial migration. In section 3.6 we discuss the results and in section 3.7 we present our conclusions and final remarks.
3.2 Galactic model

Since the past history of the structure of the Milky Way is unknown, we simply assume that the values of the Galactic parameters have been the same during the last 4.6 Gyr, i.e., during the lifetime of the Sun [Bonanno et al., 2002]. We model the Milky Way as a fully analytical potential that contains an axisymmetric component together with a rotating central bar and spiral arms. We use the potentials and parameters of Allen & Santillán [1991] to model the axisymmetric part of the Galaxy, which consist of a central bulge, a disk and a dark matter halo. The values of the parameters of these Galactic components are shown in table 3.1. For the central bar and spiral arms we use the models presented in Romero-Gómez et al. [2011] and Antoja et al. [2011] as detailed below.

3.2.1. Central bar

The central bar of the Milky Way is modelled as a Ferrers bar [Ferrers, 1877] which is described by a density distribution of the form:

$$\rho_{\text{bar}} = \begin{cases} \rho_0 (1 - n^2)^k & n < 1 \\ 0 & n \geq 1 \end{cases}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $n^2 = x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2$ determines the shape of the bar potential, where $a$ and $b$ are the semi-major and semi-minor axes of the bar, respectively. Here, $x$ and $y$ are the axes of a frame that corrotates with the bar. $\rho_0$ represents the central density of the bar and the parameter $k$ measures the degree of concentration of the bar. Larger values of $k$ correspond to a more concentrated the bar. The extreme case of a constant density bar is obtained for $k = 0$ [Athanassoula et al., 2009]. Following Romero-Gómez et al. [2011] we use $k = 1$. For these models the mass of the bar is given by:

$$M_{\text{bar}} = \frac{2(2k+3)\pi ab^2\rho_0\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+2)}{\Gamma(2k+4)}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function.
### Table 3.1: Parameters of the Milky Way model potential.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Axisymmetric component</strong></td>
<td>Mass of the bulge ($M_b$)</td>
<td>1.41 × 10^{10} (M_\odot)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scale length bulge ($b_1$)</td>
<td>0.3873 kpc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disk mass ($M_d$)</td>
<td>8.56 × 10^{10} (M_\odot)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scale length disk 1 (a2)</td>
<td>5.31 kpc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scale length disk 2 (b2)</td>
<td>0.25 kpc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Halo mass ($M_h$)</td>
<td>1.07 × 10^{11} (M_\odot)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scale length halo (a3)</td>
<td>12 kpc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Bar</strong></td>
<td>Pattern speed ($\Omega_{\text{bar}}$)</td>
<td>40–70 km s^{-1} kpc^{-1}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Semi-major axis ($a$)</td>
<td>3.12 kpc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Axis ratio ($b/a$)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass ($M_{\text{bar}}$)</td>
<td>9.8 × 10^{9}–1.4 × 10^{10} (M_\odot)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strength of the bar ($\epsilon_b$)</td>
<td>0.3–0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spiral arms</strong></td>
<td>Pattern speed ($\Omega_{\text{sp}}$)</td>
<td>15–30 km s^{-1} kpc^{-1}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Locus beginning ($R_{\text{sp}}$)</td>
<td>3.12 kpc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of spiral arms ($m$)</td>
<td>2, 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spiral amplitude ($A_{\text{sp}}$)</td>
<td>650–1300 km$^2$ s$^{-2}$ kpc$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strength of the spiral arms ($\epsilon_s$)</td>
<td>0.02–0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pitch angle ($i$)</td>
<td>12.8°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scale length ($R_\Sigma$)</td>
<td>2.5 kpc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Galactic model

Galactic bar parameters

Number of bars  The inner part of the Galaxy has been extensively studied within the COBE/DIRBE [Weiland et al., 1994] and Spitzer/GLIMPSE [Churchwell et al., 2009] projects, which demonstrated that the centre of the Milky Way is a complex structure. While the COBE/DIRBE data showed that the surface brightness distribution of the bulge resembles a flattened ellipse with a minor-to-major axis ratio of $\sim 0.6$, the Spitzer/GLIMPSE survey confirmed the existence of a second bar [Benjamin et al., 2005] which was previously observed by Hammersley et al. [2000]. Since the longitude and length ratios of these bars are in strong disagreement with both simulations and observations, Romero-Gómez et al. [2011] suggested that there is only a single bar at the centre of the Milky Way, which was confirmed by the analysis of Martinez-Valpuesta & Gerhard [2011], who show that the observations of the central region of the Milky Way can be explained by one bar. Hence we take into account the contribution of only one bar in the potential model of the Milky Way, using the parameters as obtained from the COBE/DIRBE survey.

Pattern speed  The value of the pattern speed of the bar is uncertain. From theoretical and observational data Dehnen [2000] concluded that $\Omega_{\text{bar}} = 50 \pm 3$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$; however, Bissantz & Gerhard [2002] argued that a more suitable value for the pattern speed of the bar is $60 \pm 5$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$. Taking into account these values, we assume that the bar rotates as a rigid body with a pattern speed between 40 and 70 km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$.

Semi-major axis and axis ratio  Based on the best fit model by Freudenreich [1998] and on the uncertainty in the current solar Galactocentric position$^1$, the semi-major axis of the COBE/DIRBE bar is between 2.96 and 3.31 kpc. With these assumptions the axis ratio of the bar is between 0.36 and 0.38. In our simulations we maintain these two parameters constant with the values listed in table 3.1.

---

$^1$We conservatively assume the uncertainty in the distance from the Sun to the Galactic centre is 0.5 kpc
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Mass and orientation of the bar  Several studies suggest that the mass of the COBE/DIRBE bar is in the range $0.98 - 2 \times 10^{10} \, M_\odot$ [Weiner & Sellwood, 1999; Dwek et al., 1995; Matsumoto et al., 1982; Zhao, 1996]. Given that the bar is formed from the bulge, we assume the mass of the bar is in the range $9.8 \times 10^9 - 1.4 \times 10^{10} \, M_\odot$.

The orientation of the bar is defined as the angle between its major axis and the line that joins the Galactic centre with the current position of the Sun. We fixed this angle at $20^\circ$ [Pichardo et al., 2004, 2012; Romero-Gómez et al., 2011], as illustrated in Fig. 3.1.

Effect of a growing bar  From $N$-body simulations it appears that bars in galaxies are formed during the first 1.4 Gyr of their evolution [Fux, 2000; Polyachenko, 2013]. Thus, we assume that the bar was already present in the Milky Way when the Sun was formed 4.6 Gyr ago.

3.2.2. Spiral arms

The spiral arms in our Milky Way Models are represented as periodic perturbations of the axisymmetric potential. Following Contopoulos & Grosbol [1986] the potential of such perturbations in the plane is given by:

$$
\phi_{sp} = -A_{sp} R e^{-R/R_\Sigma} \cos (m(\phi) - g(R)),
$$

(3.3)

where $A_{sp}$ is the amplitude of the spiral arms. $R$ and $\phi$ are the cylindrical coordinates of a star measured in a corotating frame with the spiral arms. $R_\Sigma$ and $m$ are the scale length and the number of spiral arms, respectively. The function $g(R)$ defines the locus shape of the spiral arms. We use the same prescription as Antoja et al. [2011]:

$$
g(R) = \left( \frac{m}{N \tan i} \right) \ln \left( 1 + \left( \frac{R}{R_{sp}} \right)^N \right).
$$

(3.4)

$N$ is a parameter which measures how sharply the change from a bar to a spiral structure occurs in the inner regions of the Milky Way. $N \to \infty$ produces spiral arms that begin forming an angle of $\sim 90^\circ$ with respect to the line that joins the two starting points of the locus [Antoja et al., 2011] (as illustrated
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in Fig. 3.1 below). To approximate this case we use $N = 100$. $R_{sp}$ is the separation distance of the beginning of the spiral shape locus and $\tan i$ is the tangent of the pitch angle.

Spiral arm parameters

**Pattern speed** Some studies point out that the spiral arms of the Milky Way approximately rotate with a pattern speed $\Omega_{sp} = 25 \pm 1 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}$ [e.g. Dias & Lépine, 2005], while others argue that the value is $\Omega_{sp} = 20 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}$ [e.g. Martos et al., 2004]. Since the pattern speed of the spiral arms is uncertain, we chose a range between 15 and 30 km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$, as in Antoja et al. [2011]. In addition we assume the spiral arms rotate as rigid bodies.

**Locus shape, starting point, and orientation of the spiral arms** In the simulations we adopt the spiral arm model obtained from a fit to the Scutum and Perseus arms. This is the so-called ‘locus 2’ in the work of Antoja et al. [2011]. We also assume that the spiral structure starts at the edges of the bar. Hence $R_{sp} = 3.12$ kpc. With this configuration the angle between the line connecting the starting point of the spiral arms and the Galactic centre-Sun line is $20^\circ$ (see Fig. 3.1).

**Number of spiral arms** Drimmel [2000] used K-band photometry of the Galactic plane to conclude that the Milky Way contains two spiral arms. On the other hand, Vallée [2002] reviewed a number of studies about the spiral structure of the Galaxy — mostly based on young stars, gas and dust — and he concluded that the best overall fit is provided by a four-armed spiral pattern. Given this discrepancy, we carry out simulations with $m = 2$ or $m = 4$ spiral arms.

**Amplitude and strength of the spiral arms** We used the amplitude of the spiral arms from the Locus 2 model in Antoja et al. [2011], which is between 650 and 1100 km$^2$ s$^{-2}$ kpc$^{-1}$. The strength of the spiral arms [as defined in Sect. 5 of Antoja et al., 2011] corresponding to this range of amplitudes is between 0.029 and 0.05. We however explored the motion of the Sun for amplitudes of up to 1300 km$^2$ s$^{-2}$ kpc$^{-1}$ ($\epsilon \sim 0.06$) in a two-armed spiral structure.
Other parameters We also use the value of the locus 2 model of Antoja et al. [2011] for the pitch angle \( (i) \) and scale length \( (R_\Sigma) \) of the spiral perturbation. These values are listed in table 3.1.

Transient spiral structure Several theoretical studies support the idea that spiral arms in galaxies are transient structures [Sellwood & Binney, 2002; Sellwood, 2011]. Nevertheless, Fujii et al. [2011] found that spiral arms in pure stellar disks can survive for more than 10 Gyr when a sufficiently large number of particles \( (\sim 10^7) \) is used in the simulations. In this work we use only static spiral structure.

Multiple spiral patterns Lépine et al. [2011b] have argued that the corotation radius of the spiral arms is located at solar radius, i.e. at \( R = 8.4 \) kpc; however based on the orbits of the Hyades and coma Berenices moving groups, Quillen & Minchev [2005] concluded that the 4:1 inner Lindblad resonance of the spiral arms is located at the solar position, placing the corotation resonance at around 12 kpc. To reconcile the uncertainty in the location of the corotation resonance of the spiral structure, Lépine et al. [2011a] suggested the existence of multiple spiral arms with different pattern speeds in the Galaxy. While the main grand-design spiral pattern has its corotation at 8.4 kpc, an outer \( m = 2 \) pattern would have its corotation resonance at about 12 kpc, with the 4:1 inner Lindblad resonance at the position of the Sun. These multiple spiral patterns have been observed in N-body simulations [See e.g. Quillen et al., 2011].

In this work we also consider a superposition of spiral patterns as suggested by Lépine et al. [2011a] to study the motion of the Sun in the Galaxy.

3.3. The Amuse framework

Amuse, the Astrophysical MUltipurpose Software Environment [Portegies Zwart et al., 2013], is a framework implemented in Python in which different astrophysical simulation codes can be coupled to evolve complex systems involving different physical processes. For example, one can couple an \( N \)-body code with a stellar evolution code to create an open cluster simulation in which
both gravitational interactions and the evolution of the stars are included. Currently AMUSE provides interfaces to codes for gravitational dynamics, stellar evolution, hydrodynamics and radiative transfer.

AMUSE is used by writing Python scripts to access all the numerical codes and their capabilities. Every code incorporated in AMUSE can be used through a standard interface which is defined depending on the domain of the code. For instance, a gravitational dynamics interface defines how a system of particles moves with time and in this case, the user can add or remove particles and update their properties. We created an interface in AMUSE for the Galactic model described in Sect. 3.2. For details about how to use AMUSE we refer the reader to Portegies Zwart et al. [2013] and Pelupessy et al. [2013]. More information can be also found at http://amusecode.org.

The computation of the stellar motion due to an external gravitational field can be done in AMUSE through the BRIDGE [Fujii et al., 2007] interface. This code uses a second-order Leapfrog method to compute the velocity of the stars due to the gravitational field of the Galaxy. All these computations are performed in an inertial frame. Given that the potentials of the bar and spiral arms are defined to be time independent in a reference system that co-rotates either with the bar or with the spiral arms, we modified BRIDGE to compute the position and velocity of the Sun in one of such non-inertial frames. Moreover, since the time symmetry of the second-order Leapfrog is no longer valid in a rotating frame we need to use a higher order scheme. These modifications resulted in a new interface called Rotating Bridge. This code can also be used to perform self-consistent N-body simulations of stellar clusters that also respond to the gravitational non-static force from their parent galaxies. In these simulations the internal cluster effects like self gravity and stellar evolution can be taken into account. In chapter 2 of this thesis we derived the equations of motion for the Rotating Bridge for a single particle and its generalization to a system of self-interacting particles. We also show the accuracy of this code under different Galactic potentials (see e.g. Fig. 2.5).
3.4. Back-tracing the Sun’s orbit

Contrary to the epicyclic trajectories that stars follow when they move under the action of an axisymmetric potential, the orbits of stars become more complicated when the gravitational fluctuations generated by the central bar and spiral arms are taken into account, specially where chaos might be important. In chaotic regions, small deviations in the initial position and/or velocity of stars produce significant variations in their final location. Hence, in order to determine the birth place of one star, it is necessary to use a precise numerical code able to resolve the substantial and sudden changes in acceleration that such star experiments. Additionally, it is necessary to compute its orbit backwards in time by using a sampling of positions and velocities around the star’s current (uncertain) location in phase-space. With this last procedure we get statistical information about the region in the Galaxy where the star might have been born. We follow this methodology to find the most probable birth radius and velocity of the Sun to infer whether or not it has radially migrated during its lifetime. To ensure numerical accuracy in the orbit integration we used a 6th order Leapfrog in the Rotating Bridge with a time step of 0.5 Myr. This choice leads to a fractional energy error of the order of $10^{-10}$.

As a first step we generate 5000 random positions and velocities which are within the measurement uncertainties from the current Galactocentric position and velocity of the Sun $(r_\odot, v_\odot)$. This selection was made from a 4D normal distribution centred at $(r_\odot, v_\odot)$ with standard deviations $(\sigma)$ corresponding to the measured errors in these coordinates. We assume that the Sun is currently located at: $r_\odot = (-R_\odot, 0)$ kpc; where the distance of the Sun to the Galactic centre is $R_\odot \pm \sigma_R = 8.5 \pm 0.5$ kpc. The uncertainty in $y_\odot$ is set to zero as the Sun is by definition located on the $x$-axis of the Galactic reference frame.

Since we consider the motion of the Sun only on the Galactic plane, the velocity of the Sun is: $v_\odot = (U_\odot, V_\odot)$, where:

$$U_\odot \pm \sigma_U = 11.1 \pm 1.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$$
$$V_\odot \pm \sigma_V = (12.4 + V_{\text{LSR}}) \pm 2.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}. \quad (3.5)$$

The vector $(11.1 \pm 1.2, 12.4 \pm 2.1)$ km s$^{-1}$ is the peculiar motion of the Sun [Schönrich et al., 2010] and $V_{\text{LSR}}$ is the velocity of the local standard of rest.
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Figure 3.1: Configuration of the Galactic potential at the beginning of the backwards integration in time. The spiral arms are assumed to start at the ends of the major axis of the bar. The blue circle is the current position of the Sun, \( r_\odot = (-8.5, 0) \) kpc. The angle the Sun-Galactic centre line makes with respect to the semi-major axis of the bar is 20°. The inset shows the distribution of 5000 Galactocentric distances that were selected from a 3D Gaussian centred at the current phase-space coordinates of the Sun.
which depends on the Galactic parameters that are listed in table 3.1. We use the conventional Galactocentric Cartesian coordinate system. This means that translated to a Sun-centred reference frame the $x$-axis points toward the Galactic centre, the $y$-axis in the direction of Galactic rotation, and the $z$-axis completes the right-handed coordinate system.

Recently Bovy et al. [2012a] found an offset between the rotational velocity of the Sun and $V_{LSR}$ of $26 \pm 3$ km s$^{-1}$, which is larger than the value measured by Schönrich et al. [2010]. We also use this value to trace back the Sun’s orbit.

In Fig. 3.1 we show the configuration of the Galactic potential at the beginning of the backwards integration in time. Since it is unknown how spiral arms are oriented with respect to the bar at the centre of the Galaxy, we assume that they start at the edges of the bar. The blue circle in this Figure represents the current location of the Sun. The line from the Sun to the Galactic centre makes an angle of $20^\circ$ with the semi-major axis of the bar. In the small plot located at the left top of Fig. 3.1 we show the distribution of the 5000 positions in cylindrical radius $R$.

Each of the 5000 positions and velocities that were generated from the 4D normal distribution are used to construct a set of present-day phase space vectors with (cylindrical) coordinates: $(R_p, \varphi_p, v_{R_p}, v_{\varphi_p})_k$; $k = 1, \ldots, 5000$ (Note that $\varphi_p$ is fixed at $\pi$). The Sun is then located at each of these vectors and its orbit is computed backwards in time until 4.6 Gyr have elapsed. Before starting the integration we reversed the velocity components of the Sun as well as the direction of rotation of the bar and spiral arms$^2$.

After integrating the orbit of the Sun backwards in time we obtain a sample of birth phase-space coordinates $(R_b, \varphi_b, v_{R_b}, v_{\varphi_b})_k$; $k = 1, \ldots, 5000$. The distributions of present day and birth phase space coordinates then allow us to study the past motion of the Sun and infer whether or not it has migrated during its lifetime.

To take the uncertainties on the Galactic model into account we also varied the bar and spiral arm parameters according to the values listed in table 3.1. For a subset of the Galactic model parameters we verified that 5000 birth phase-space coordinates are a representative number for sampling the position.

$^2$The convention used in the Rotating Bridge is right-handed; hence, for the backward integration in time the pattern speed of the bar and spiral arms are positive.
and velocity of the Sun 4.6 Gyr ago. By means of the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test, we found that the distribution of positions and velocities of the Sun after integrating its orbit backwards in time, is the same when $k = 5000, 10000$ or $20000$. Depending on the Galactic parameters, the $p$-value from the test is between 0.2 and 0.98.

3.5. Results

For every choice of bar and spiral arm parameters we have the distribution of the present day phase space coordinates of the Sun $p(r_p, v_p)$ and of the Sun’s phase space coordinates at birth $p(r_b, v_b)$. The amount of radial migration experienced by the Sun during its motion through the Galaxy can be obtained from the probability distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$ (referred to below as the ‘migration distribution’) of the difference in the radial distance between the present day and birth locations of the Sun. We use the median of the distribution to decide whether or not the Sun has migrated a considerable distance during its lifetime:

1. Median $p(R_p - R_b) > d_m$: the Sun migrated from inner regions of the Galactic disk to $R_\odot$ (migration from inside-out).

2. Median $-d_m \leq p(R_p - R_b) \leq d_m$: the Sun has not migrated

3. Median $p(R_p - R_b) < -d_m$: the Sun migrated from outer regions of the Galactic disk to $R_\odot$ (migration from outside-in).

The parameter $d_m$ indicates when the value of $R_p - R_b$ is considered to indicate a significant migration of the Sun within the Galaxy. We derive the value of $d_m$ by considering the distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$ for the case of a purely axisymmetric Galaxy, in which case for the Sun’s orbital parameters the migration should be limited. The migration distribution for this case is shown in Fig. 3.2. From this distribution it can be seen that for the axisymmetric case indeed the Sun migrates only little on average ($\sim 0.6$ kpc) and that the maximum migration distance is about 1.7 kpc (note that $p(R_p - R_b) = 0$ for $R_p - R_b \lesssim -1.7$ kpc). Based on this result we use $d_m = 1.7$ kpc in the discussions of the results below. Considering changes in the Sun’s radial distance
larger than 1.7 kpc as significant migration is consistent with the estimates of
the Sun’s migration made by Wielen et al. [1996] and Minchev et al. [2013].

The value of the median of \( p(R_p - R_b) \) is not enough to characterize this
probability distribution which is often multi-modal (see left panel of Fig. 3.2)
and we thus introduce the following quantities:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{1-o} &= \int_{d_m}^{\infty} p(R_p - R_b) \, d(R_p - R_b) \\
P_{o-1} &= \int_{-d_m}^{-\infty} p(R_p - R_b) \, d(R_p - R_b)
\end{align*}
\]

(3.6)

where \( P_{1-o} \) is the probability that the Sun has experienced considerable mi-
gration from the inner regions of the Galactic disk to its present day position,
while \( P_{o-1} \) is the probability that the Sun has significantly migrated in the
other direction. One of the aims of our study is to find Milky Way potentials
for which the above probabilities are substantial, thus indicating that the Sun
has likely migrated a considerable distance over its lifetime.

We also characterize the width of the distribution \( p(R_p - R_b) \) through the so-
called Robust Scatter Estimate (RSE) [Lindgren et al., 2012] which is defined
as \( \text{RSE} = 0.390152 \times (P90 - P10) \), where \( P10 \) and \( P90 \) are the 10th and 90th

\[
\]
percentiles of the distribution, and the numerical constant is chosen to make the RSE equal to the standard deviation for a Gaussian distribution.

The orbit integrations were carried out by using the peculiar velocity of the Sun inferred by Schönrich et al. [2010], unless otherwise stated.

### 3.5.1. Radial migration of the Sun as a function of bar parameters

In order to study the radial migration of the Sun under the variation of mass and pattern speed of the bar, we fixed the amplitude, pattern speed and number of spiral arms such that they have little effect on the Sun’s orbit. We chose the values: $A = 650 \text{ km}^2 \text{s}^{-2} \text{kpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_{\text{sp}} = 20 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1}$, and $m = 2$. With these values of amplitude and pattern speed we produce spiral arms with a strength at the lowest limit ($\epsilon = 0.029$) and resonances located in extreme regions of the Galactic disk. The 2:1 inner/outer Lindblad resonance of the spiral arms ($\text{ILR}_{\text{sp}}$, $\text{OLR}_{\text{sp}}$) and the co-rotation resonance ($\text{CR}_{\text{sp}}$), are located at 1.4 kpc, 16 kpc and 10.9 kpc respectively.

In Fig. 3.3 we show the median, RSE, $P_{1-o}$, and $P_{o-1}$ of the distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$ as a function of the mass and pattern speed of the bar. The mass of the bar was varied in steps of 0.02 $M_\odot$ and the pattern speed in steps of 0.5 km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$. The maximum and minimum values of $M_{\text{bar}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{bar}}$ were set according to the ranges listed in table 3.1. Fig. 3.3 also shows the position of the 2:1 outer Lindblad resonance of the bar ($\text{OLR}_{\text{bar}}$).

Note that the median of the distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$ is always negative. This indicates that the migration of the Sun in this case on average is from outer regions of the Galactic disk to $R_\odot$. The median of $p(R_p - R_b)$ is also always lower than 1.08 kpc, independently of the mass and pattern speed of the bar.

On the other hand from the bottom panel of Fig. 3.3 it is clear that regardless of the mass and pattern speed of the bar, it is unlikely that the Sun has migrated considerably from the inner or outer regions of the Galactic disk to $R_\odot$. The low probability of significant radial migration can also be seen in the width of the migration distribution which is always below 0.92 kpc (top right panel Fig. 3.3).
Figure 3.3: Top: Median and RSE of the migration distribution \( p(R_p - R_b) \) as a function of the mass and pattern speed of the bar. Negative values in the median indicate migration from outer regions of the Galactic disk to \( R_\odot \), while positive values indicate migration from inner parts to \( R_\odot \). The position of the bar’s outer Lindblad resonance, OLR\(_{\text{bar}}\), with respect to the Galactic centre is also shown. For this set of simulations the position of CR\(_{\text{sp}}\) is fixed at 10.9 kpc. Bottom: \( P_{i-o} \) and \( P_{o-i} \) as a function of the mass and pattern speed of the bar.
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Figure 3.4: Top: Distribution function $p(R_p - R_b)$ for the Galaxy model with weak spiral arms and a central bar. The vertical dotted black line is the median of the distribution. Middle: Radial distribution of the birth radius of the Sun $p(R_b)$ for the same Galactic parameters. The vertical green lines represent the location of the resonances produced by the bar while the blue lines, represent the location of the resonances due to the spiral arms. The dashed, solid and dotted lines represent the 2:1 inner Lindblad (ILR), co-rotation (CR) and 2:1 outer Lindblad (OLR) resonances respectively. Hereafter, we will use this same convention. Bottom: Distribution of birth positions of the Sun seen on the $xy$ plane. The OLR$_{bar}$ is shown as the circular dotted green line. We also show the configuration of the spiral arm potential 4.6 Gyr ago.
We conclude that the presence of the central bar of the Milky Way does not produce considerable radial migration of the Sun. This result is not surprising, because although the OLR_{bar} has played an important role in shaping the stellar velocity distribution function in the solar neighbourhood [Dehnen, 2000; Minchev et al., 2010], the gravitational force produced by the bar falls steeply with radius, reaching about 1% of its total value at $R_\odot$ [Dehnen, 2000]. Klaczka et al. [2012] studied the motion of the Sun in an analytical model of the Galaxy that considers a multipolar expansion of the bar potential. By assuming the current location of the Sun as $r_\odot = (-8, 0, 0)$ kpc and $v_\odot = (0, 220, 0)$ km s$^{-1}$, they found that the central bar of the Galaxy does not generate considerable radial migration of the Sun if spiral arms are not considered, changing the Galactocentric distance of the Sun only 1% from its current value $R_\odot$. We find more than 1% change in radius because we take into account the potential of the spiral arms in the Galactic model.

Figure 3.4 shows the distributions $p(R_p - R_b)$ and $p(R_b)$ for a choice of bar parameters. In this specific case the median of $p(R_p - R_b)$ is $-0.83$ kpc, which means that the birth radius of the Sun is around 9.3 kpc. From the distribution of Sun’s possible birth positions on the $xy$ plane (bottom panel Fig. 3.4) it is clear that even for this smooth and static potential only the birth radius of the Sun can be constrained. The uncertainty in $\varphi$ for the Sun’s birth location is caused by the uncertainty in the present day phase space coordinates of the Sun.

In this Section we have simulated the radial migration of the Sun as a function of mass and pattern speed of the bar. We find no significant migration. In the next Section we study the motion of the Sun when the parameters of the spiral arms are varied.

### 3.5.2. Radial migration of the Sun as a function of spiral arm parameters

In this Section we study the effects of the spiral structure on the radial migration of the Sun and thus keep fixed the mass and pattern speed of the bar. We chose the lowest limit for the bar mass $M_{\text{bar}} = 9.8 \times 10^9 \ M_\odot$. The pattern speed of the bar was set to be $\Omega_{\text{bar}} = 40$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$. With this
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**Figure 3.5:** Top: Median and RSE of the distribution \( p(R_p - R_b) \) as a function of the amplitude and pattern speed of a two-armed spiral structure. The location of the CR_{rp} with respect to the Galactic centre is also shown. For this set of simulations, the position of the outer Lindblad resonance of the bar, OLR_{bar}, is fixed at 10.2 kpc and it is shown as the vertical dotted green line. Bottom: \( P_{1-o} \) and \( P_{o-1} \) also as a function of the amplitude and pattern speed of two spiral arms.
value, the resonances of the bar are located at extreme regions in the Galactic disk, in particular OLRbar which is at 10.2 kpc. In Sect. 3.5.2 and 3.5.2, we explore the effects of the amplitude, CRsp location and number of spiral arms on the radial migration of the Sun.

**Effect of two spiral arms**

In Fig. 3.5 we show the characteristics of the migration distribution as a function of the amplitude and pattern speed of two spiral arms. We varied the amplitude in steps of 50 km² s⁻² kpc⁻¹ and the pattern speed in steps of 0.2 km s⁻¹ kpc⁻¹. Note that for most of the spiral arm parameters the median of \( p(R_p - R_b) \) is negative, suggesting that the migration of the Sun has been mainly from outer regions of the Galactic disk to \( R_\odot \). If the CRsp is located between 9.0 and 10.6 kpc with respect to the Galactic centre, the median of \( p(R_p - R_b) \) remains between −1.08 and −1.44 kpc for most of the values of \( A_{sp} \). The median of \( p(R_p - R_b) \) can reach values of up to −1.80 kpc if \( A_{sp} = 1100 \) km² s⁻² kpc⁻¹ and \( \Omega_{sp} = 24.2 \) km s⁻¹ kpc⁻¹ (CRsp at 9 kpc). For this latter case, there is a probability between 40% and 50% that the Sun has migrated considerably from outer regions of the Galactic disk to its current position (cf. Fig. 3.5, bottom right panel).

We also studied the radial migration of the Sun for amplitudes higher than 1100 km² s⁻² kpc⁻¹, up to 1300 km² s⁻² kpc⁻¹. We found that the migration of the Sun on average is from outer regions of the Galactic disk to \( R_\odot \). The Sun only migrates considerably when \( 1200 \leq A_{sp} \leq 1300 \) km² s⁻² kpc⁻¹ and \( \Omega_{sp} = [21.4, 21.8] \) km s⁻¹ kpc⁻¹ (i.e. CRsp ~ 10.2 kpc). According to the former results and given that the OLRbar is located at 10.2 kpc, the significant radial migration of the Sun occurs when the distance between CRsp and OLRbar is in the range [0, 1] kpc. An illustration of the migration distribution \( p(R_p - R_b) \) for these higher amplitudes is shown at the first and second rows of figure 3.6.

On the other hand, according to the bottom left panel of Fig. 3.5 we find that it is unlikely that the Sun has migrated from inner regions of the Galactic disk to \( R_\odot \).

Other studies have also evaluated the effect of the spiral arms of the Milky Way on the motion of the Sun. Klačka et al. [2012] found that under the simultaneous effect of the central bar and spiral arms, the Sun could experience
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Figure 3.6: Left: Migration distribution \( p(R_p - R_b) \). Middle: distribution of possible Sun’s birth radii \( P(R_b) \). Right: Projection on the \( xy \) plane of the possible birth radii of the Sun. In the first and second rows the Galactic potential has two spiral arms. In the third row, the Galactic potential has four spiral arms. In the bottom panel, we use a superposition of two spiral arms with different pattern speeds. The vertical dotted black lines in the left panel as well as the blue and green lines in the middle, have the same meaning as in Fig. 3.4. The dashed and solid magenta lines at the bottom panel, correspond to the ILR\(_{sp}\) and CR\(_{sp}\) of the secondary spiral structure in the composite model. The blue circles on the right panel are the position of the CR\(_{sp}\).
considerable radial migration when it co-rotates with spiral arms that have a strength \( \epsilon = 0.06 \). In our simulations this strength corresponds to an amplitude \( A_{sp} = 1300 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ kpc}^{-1} \). According to our simulations the Sun experiences considerable radial migration when \( A_{sp} = 1300 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ kpc}^{-1} \) and \( \Omega_{sp} = [21.4, 21.8] \text{ km} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1} \); therefore significant radial migration is found when \( \Omega_{sp} = 1.2 \Omega_{\odot} \).

By comparing Fig. 3.5 and 3.3 we can see that a 2-armed spiral pattern tends to produce more radial migration on the Sun than the central bar of the Milky way. Sellwood & Binney [2002], and more recently Minchev & Famaey [2010], found that the larger changes in angular momentum of stars always occur near the co-rotation resonance, the effect of the outer/inner Lindblad resonances being smaller. Given that in our simulations the motion of the Sun is influenced by the CR\(_{sp}\) and by the OLR\(_{bar}\), it is expected that the spiral arms produce a stronger effect on the Sun’s radial migration than the central bar of the Galaxy.

At the top panel of Fig. 3.6 we show the distributions \( p(R_p - R_b) \) and \( p(R_b) \) for an example of a two-arm spiral arm potential that leads to considerable radial migration of the Sun. In this case the distance between the CR\(_{sp}\) and OLR\(_{bar}\) is 0.03 kpc. For this specific set of bar and spiral arm parameters the Sun could have migrated a distance of 1.8 kpc from the outer regions of the Galactic disk to its current position. Its birth radius would then be around 11 kpc, as also indicated by the distribution \( p(R_b) \). The projection of the Sun’s birth locations in the \( xy \) plane shows lots of structure, but again only the birth radius can be constrained.

In the second row of Fig. 3.6 we show the distributions \( p(R_p - R_b) \) and \( p(R_b) \) for a set of spiral arm parameters that produce high dispersion in the migration distribution \( p(R_p - R_b) \). In this case the Sun does not migrate on average (Median \( p(R_p - R_b) \sim 0 \)). Additionally, as can be observed in the plot of the right, there is a fraction of possible birth radii at the inner regions of the Galactic disk; however, the probability of significant migration from inside-out in this case is only of 10%.
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Figure 3.7: Top: Median and RSE of the distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$ as a function of the amplitude and pattern speed of a four-armed spiral structure. The location of the CR$_{ap}$ with respect to the Galactic centre is also shown. For this set of simulations, the position of the OLR$_{bar}$ is fixed at 10.2 kpc and it is shown as the vertical dotted green line. Bottom: $P_{i-o}$ and $P_{o-i}$ also as a function of the amplitude and pattern speed of four spiral arms.
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Effect of four spiral arms

We also assess the radial migration of the Sun under the action of a Galactic potential composed of four spiral arms. The results are shown in Fig. 3.7. Note that when $\Omega_{sp}$ is between 19 and 22 km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ the radial migration experienced by the Sun is less than 1 kpc. Additionally, when CR$_{sp}$ is located between 7.3 and 8.4 kpc the median of $p(R_p - R_b)$ is between $-0.36$ and 0.36 kpc (around zero). However the large width of the distribution leads to probabilities of up to 30% that the Sun has migrated from inner regions of the Galactic disk to its current position. The probability of significant migration in the other direction is up to 20%.

The larger width of $p(R_p - R_b)$ may be due to the effect of higher order resonances (4:1 ILR$_{sp}$/OLR$_{sp}$) on the motion of the Sun. The fact that the width of $p(R_p - R_b)$ is large for specific four-armed Galactic potentials, means that the migration of the Sun is very sensitive to its birth phase-space coordinates. This effect can be also observed in the third row of Fig. 3.6, which shows $p(R_p - R_b)$ and $p(R_b)$ when the Galactic potential has four spiral arms. In addition, the projection of the possible birth locations on the $xy$ plane shows virtually no structure.

By comparing Figs. 3.5 and 3.7, we can see that unlike the case when the Galactic potential has two spiral arms, the median of $p(R_p - R_b)$ when $m = 4$ is not much affected by small separation distances between the CR$_{sp}$ and OLR$_{bar}$.

Effects of multiple spiral patterns

In addition to evaluating the motion of the Sun in a pure 2-armed or 4-armed spiral structure, we use a superposition of two spiral arms (2+2) with different pattern speeds, such as discussed by Lépine et al. [2011a]. We use the same values as Mishurov & Acharova [2011] to set the pitch angles of the multiple spiral patterns in the Milky Way. The parameters of the main spiral structure used in the simulations are: $A_{sp1} = 650,1300$ km$^2$ s$^{-2}$ kpc$^{-1}$; $\iota_1 = -7^\circ$ and $\Omega_{sp1} = 26$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$. This pattern speed places the CR$_{sp}$ of the main spiral structure at solar radius. The orientation of the main spiral pattern at the beginning of the simulations is 20$^\circ$.

The parameters used to model the secondary spiral structure are: $A_{sp2} =$
0.8A_{sp1}; i_2 = -14^\circ and \Omega_{sp2} = 15.8 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}. This pattern speed places the CR_{sp} of the secondary spiral structure at 13.6 kpc and the 4:1 ILR_{sp} at 7.8 kpc. The orientation of the secondary spiral arms with respect to the main structure at the beginning of the simulations is \(-200^\circ\). In addition, we fixed the mass and pattern speed of the bar to \(M_{\text{bar}} = 9.8 \times 10^9 \text{ M}_\odot\) and \(\Omega_{\text{bar}} = 40 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}\) respectively.

At the bottom panel of Fig. 3.6 we show the distributions \(p(R_p - R_b)\) and \(P(R_b)\) when the Galactic potential has multiple spiral patterns. In this simulation the amplitude of the main spiral structure is \(A_{sp1} = 1300 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ kpc}^{-1}\). We used the tangential velocity of the Sun from Bovy et al. [2012a]. As can be seen, the median of the distribution \(p(R_p - R_b)\) is smaller than 1 kpc, meaning that the migration of the Sun on average is not significant. The birth radius of the Sun is therefore at 8.5 kpc, as can also be seen from the distribution \(P(R_b)\). The projection of birth locations of the Sun on the \(xy\) plane suggest that there is some fraction of possible birth radii located at internal regions of the Galactic disk; however, we found that the probability of considerable migration from outer or inner regions to \(R_\odot\) is between 8% and 13%. These probabilities are even smaller when \(A_{sp1} = 650 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ kpc}^{-1}\). We obtain the same results when assuming \(V_\odot\) from Schönrich et al. [2010].

In Sect. 3.5.2 we have shown that the Sun might have experienced considerable migration in the Galaxy if the CR_{sp} is separated from the OLR_{bar} by a distance smaller than 1.1 kpc. In the next Section we explore in more detail the effect of the bar-spiral arm resonance overlap on the motion of the Sun.

### 3.5.3. Radial migration of the Sun in the presence of the bar-spiral arm resonance overlap

It has been demonstrated by Minchev & Famaey [2010] and Minchev et al. [2011] that the dynamical effects of overlapping resonances from the bar and spiral arms provide an efficient mechanism for radial migration in galaxies. Depending of the strength of the perturbations, radial mixing in Galactic disks proceeds up to an order of magnitude faster than in the case of transient spiral arms. Given that the solar neighbourhood is near to the OLR_{bar} and that the Sun is located approximately at 1 kpc from CR_{sp} [Acharova et al., 2011], it is
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Figure 3.8: Resonances of second multiplicity (for $m = 2$) in galactic disks. The inner and outer Lindblad resonances (ILR, OLR) are along the solid and dashed black lines. They are given by: $\Omega(R) \pm \kappa/2$, where the minus (plus) sign corresponds to the ILR (OLR). The corotation resonance (CR) is along the dotted black line and it is given by: $CR = \Omega(R)$. The shaded green region corresponds to the pattern speed of the bar within its uncertainty. The shaded red region corresponds to the pattern speed of spiral arms within its uncertainty. Note that $\Omega_{\text{bar}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{sp}}$ only allow the overlapping between the Outer Lindblad resonance of the bar ($\text{OLR}_{\text{bar}}$) with the corotation of spiral arms ($\text{CR}_{\text{sp}}$). We refer this resonance overlap as OLR/CR overlap. The gray shaded region is the location of the OLR/CR overlap in the simulations. The blue lines show how we set $\Omega_{\text{bar}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{sp}}$ to generate the OLR/CR overlap at some desired position.
of interest to study the radial migration that the Sun might have experienced under the influence of the spiral-bar resonance overlap.

It is well known that galactic disks rotate differentially. However, the gravitational non-axisymmetric perturbations such as the central bar and spiral arms, rotate as rigid bodies. In consequence, stars at different radii will experience different forcing due to these non-axisymmetric structures [Minchev & Famaey, 2010]. There are specific locations in the Galactic disk where stars are in resonance with the perturbations. One is the corotation resonance, where stars move with the same pattern speed of the perturber, and the Lindblad resonances, where the frequency at which a star feels the force due to the perturber coincides with its epicyclic frequency $\kappa$. Depending on the position of the star, inside or outside from the corotation radius, it can feel the Inner or Outer Lindblad resonances.

In Fig. 3.8 we show the resonances of second multiplicity (for $m = 2$) in a galactic disk. The green and red shaded regions correspond to the accepted values of the pattern speed of the bar and spiral arms of the Milky Way within the uncertainties. As can be seen, $\Omega_{\text{bar}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{sp}}$ only allow certain combinations of resonance overlaps. For the case of two spiral arms, only the overlap of the OLR$_{\text{bar}}$ and CR$_{\text{sp}}$ is possible \(^3\). Hereafter we refer to this resonance overlap as the OLR/CR overlap.

To explore the motion of the Sun in the presence the overlapping of resonances, we vary the pattern speed of the bar and spiral arms such that the OLR/CR overlap is located at different positions in the disk, between 7 and 10.2 kpc from the Galactic centre, as indicated by the vertical gray shaded line in Fig. 3.8. In our simulations, we varied the location of the OLR/CR overlap every 0.1 kpc. The amplitude of the spiral arms and the mass of the bar were also varied.

In Fig. 3.9 we show the median of $p(R_p - R_b)$ as a function of the position within the Galactic disk of the OLR/CR overlap. From left to right, the amplitude of spiral arms increases; from top to bottom, the mass of the bar is $9.8 \times 10^9$ and $1.3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$. Note that regardless of the amplitude of the spiral arms or the mass of the bar, when the OLR/CR overlap is located at

\(^3\)For $m = 2$, we do not take into account second-order resonances, i.e. 4:1 (ILR$_{\text{bar,sp}}$, OLR$_{\text{bar,sp}}$)
distances smaller than 8.5 kpc, the migration of the Sun is not considerable. In fact, for these cases, the probability that the Sun has migrated significantly in either direction is smaller than 10% (see Fig. 3.10). In contrast when the OLR/CR overlap is located at distances larger than 8.5 kpc, the median of the distribution \( p(R_p - R_b) \) is shifted towards negative values, while the probability for considerable migration from the outer disk to \( R_\odot \) goes up reaching values up to 35%. The probability of significant migration from the inner disk to \( R_\odot \) remains low at values of at most a few per cent.

In Fig. 3.11 we show the migration distribution for an example of a case where the OLR/CR overlap has a strong effect, being located at 9.7 kpc from the Galactic centre. For this particular case, \( M_{\text{bar}} = 9.8 \times 10^9 \ M_\odot \) and \( A_{\text{sp}} = 1100 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ kpc}^{-1} \). The median of \( p(R_p - R_b) \) is at \(-1.3 \) kpc and thus the radius where the Sun was born is around 10 kpc. The latter can also be seen...
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Figure 3.10: Probability of considerable radial migration of the Sun as a function of the location of the OLR/CR overlap. The blue points represent the probability of significant migration of the Sun from inside-out $P_{i-o}$, while the red points represent the significant migration from outside-in $P_{o-i}$. The mass of the bar and amplitude of spiral arms are the same as in Fig. 3.9.

In the distribution $p(R_b)$. Note how the distribution of birth positions in the $xy$ plane is clustered between the second and third quadrants. This is also seen for other cases, when the OLR/CR overlap is located between 8.5 and 9.5 kpc. However for different OLR/CR distances the clustering is toward other quadrants in the Galactic plane. Hence, taking the uncertainties in the OLR/CR location into account again only the birth radius of the Sun can be constrained.

3.5.4. Radial migration of the Sun with higher values of its tangential velocity

In this section we explore the motion of the Sun backwards in time when assuming the rotational velocity suggested by Bovy et al. [2012a]. In Fig. 3.12 we show the median of the distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$ as a function of the distance
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Figure 3.11: Example of the migration distribution for the case of the OLR/CR overlap located at 9.7 kpc from the Galactic centre. Here $A_{sp} = 1100 \text{ km}^2 \text{s}^{-2} \text{kpc}^{-1}$ and $M_{\text{bar}} = 9.8 \times 10^9 \, M_\odot$. Left: The migration distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$. The dotted black line indicates the median of the distribution. Middle: Distribution of the birth radius of the Sun $p(R_b)$. Right: Distribution of birth positions of the Sun projected on the $xy$ plane. The location of the OLR/CR overlap is indicated by the blue circle. The configuration of the spiral arm potential 4.6 Gyr in the past is also shown.

between the OLR$_{\text{bar}}$ and CR$_{sp}$. For this set of simulations we fixed the bar parameters to $M_{\text{bar}} = 9.8 \times 10^9 \, M_\odot$ and $\Omega_{\text{bar}} = 40 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1} \, \text{kpc}^{-1}$ respectively. With this pattern speed, the OLR$_{\text{bar}}$ is located at 10.2 kpc with respect to the Galactic centre. Additionally, the amplitude of the spiral arms is fixed to $A_{sp} = 1050 \, \text{km}^2 \, \text{s}^{-2} \, \text{kpc}^{-1}$. We varied the pattern speed of the spiral arms in steps of 1 km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ within the range listed in table 3.1. We used two and four spiral arms. For comparison we have also plotted the median of the distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$ when the tangential velocity of the Sun is taken from Schönrich et al. [2010]. As can be observed, the migration of the Sun on average is approximately 1 kpc higher when $V_\odot$ is taken from Bovy et al. [2012a]. In the latter case, the median of the distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$ is negative for both $m = 2$ and $m = 4$ meaning that the Sun has migrated from outer regions of the Galactic disk to $R_\odot$. In addition, from the simulations shown at the top panel of Fig. 3.12 we found that when the OLR$_{\text{bar}}$ and CR$_{sp}$ are separated by $\pm 0.2$ kpc, the Sun migrates on average a distance around 2 kpc, placing the Sun’s birth place at around 10.5 kpc from the Galactic centre. For this specific case we found a probability between 55% and 60% that the Sun has migrated considerably from outer regions of the Galactic disk to its current position. On the other hand, we found unlikely that the Sun has migrated from inner regions.
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Contrary to the two-armed spiral structure, the migration of the Sun on average is not significant when \( m = 4 \), even for small distances between the OLR\(_{\text{bar}}\) and CR\(_{\text{sp}}\) . (See bottom panel Fig. 3.12). Note that the median of \( p(R_p - R_b) \) is never greater than \(-1.7\) kpc. However, Given that the width of the distribution \( p(R_p - R_b) \) is appreciable, specially when OLR\(_{\text{bar}}\) – CR\(_{\text{sp}}\) \( \geq 2\) kpc, the probability of considerable migration from inner or outer regions to \( R_\odot \) can be of up to 10% or 20% respectively.

3.6. Discussion

It is well known that the metallicity of the interstellar medium (ISM) depends on time and Galactic radius. Since younger stars formed at the same Galactocentric radius have higher metallicities, the metallicity of the ISM is expected to increase with time. Additionally, it has been established that the metallicity of the ISM decreases with increasing the Galactic radius due to more efficient star formation and enrichment of the ISM in the central regions of galaxies [Daflon & Cunha, 2004; Recio-Blanco et al., 2014].

Past studies of the age-metallicity relation in the solar neighbourhood suggested that the Sun is more metal rich by typically 0.2 dex than most stars at its age and Galactocentric orbit [Edvardsson et al., 1993; Holmberg et al., 2009]. Hence, from the relationship between metallicity and Galactocentric radius, it is natural to deduce that the Sun might have migrated from the inner regions of the disk to its current position in the Galaxy [Wielen et al., 1996; Minchev et al., 2013]. However, if the observations are restricted to stars within a distance of 40 pc from the Sun it seems that its chemical composition is not unusual after all. Fuhrmann [2004] found a sample of 118 thin-disk stars with a mean age of 4.5 Gyr to have a mean metallicity of \(-0.04\) dex. In addition Valenti & Fischer [2005], found a mean metallicity of \(-0.01\) dex in a sample of F, G, and K stars that were observed in the context of planet search programmes. More recently Casagrande et al. [2011] found that the peak of the metallicity distribution function of stars in the Geneva-Copenhagen survey [Nordström et al., 2004], is around the solar value. As we mentioned in the introduction, if the Sun is indeed not more metal rich than the stars of its same
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Figure 3.12: Median of the distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$ as a function of the distance between the OLR$_{bar}$ and CR$_{sp}$. The green line is the resulting radial migration of the Sun when we assume a tangential velocity of $12.4 \pm 2.1$ kms$^{-1}$ [Schönrich et al., 2010] in the orbit integration backwards in time. The blue line is the radial migration of the Sun when we assume a tangential velocity of $26 \pm 3$ kms$^{-1}$ [Bovy et al., 2012a]. The blue shaded region corresponds to the RSE of $p(R_p - R_b)$ for this latter case. We used: Top: two spiral arms. Bottom: four spiral arms.
3.6 Discussion

age and Galactocentric radius it is probable that the Sun has not experienced considerable migration over its lifetime.

Minchev & Famaey [2010] studied the effects of the bar-spiral arm resonance overlap in the solar neighborhood. They found that a large fraction of stars that were located initially at inner and outer regions of the Galactic disk, ended up at a distance of $\sim 8$ kpc after 3 Gyr of evolution. This explains the observed lack of a metallicity gradient with age in the solar neighborhood [Haywood, 2008]; however, the same simulations show that after 3 Gyr of evolution, the peak of the initial radial distribution of stars that end up at 8 kpc is also around 8 kpc, meaning that most of the stars at solar radius, do not migrate. For their simulations, Minchev & Famaey [2010] modelled the central bar and spiral arms of the Galaxy as non-transient perturbations.

In this study we find that large radial migration of the Sun is only feasible when the OLR$_{\text{bar}}$ is separated from CR$_{\text{sp}}$ by a distance less than 1.1 kpc or when these two resonances overlap and are located further than 8.5 kpc from the Galactic centre. In these cases we find that the migration of the Sun is always from the outer regions of the Galaxy to $R_\odot$. When the CR$_{\text{sp}}$ is located between 7.3 and 8.4 kpc and the number of spiral arms is four, the Sun migrates on average little; however, given that the width of the distribution $p(R_p - R_b)$ can be up to 2.3 kpc, the radial migration of the Sun highly depends on its birth phase-space coordinates. For this latter case, the probability that the Sun has migrated considerably from inner regions of the disk to $R_\odot$ can be up to 30%.

Apart from the very specific cases mentioned above, we found that in general the Sun might have not experienced considerable radial migration from its birth place to its current position in the Galaxy. In the simulations we did not change the Galactic parameters (mass, scale length) of the axisymmetric components of the Milky Way. Since this is a smooth potential, we do not expect great variations on the solar motion due to the variation of these parameters.

The model that we used for the Milky Way has two restrictions: it does not take into account transient spiral structure and it assumes that the Galactic parameters have been fixed during the last 4.6 Gyr. Although there are several studies that suggest that the spiral structure in the Galaxy is transient [Sellwood, 2010, 2011], the evolutionary history of the Milky Way is quite uncertain, thus the Galactic model used is still valid. The study of the radial
migration of the Sun under the influence of transient spiral arms implies to extend the space of Galactic parameters even more. Hence, simulations taking into account transient spiral structure will be carried out in a future work.

Recently Minchev et al. [2013] made a more complex modeling of the Milky Way which involves self-consistent N-body simulations in a cosmological context together with a detailed chemical evolution model. They explored the evolution of a Galaxy for a time period of 11 Gyr, which is close to the age of the oldest disk stars in the Milky Way. They found that as the bar grows and the spiral structure start to form, the CR$_{\text{bar}}$ and OLR$_{\text{bar}}$ are shifted outwards of the disk producing changes in the angular momentum of stars. These changes in angular momentum can be doubled in the time interval from 4.4 to 11.2 Gyr. At the end of the simulation they found that stars of all ages end up at the solar neighborhood ($7 \leq r \leq 9$ kpc). Additionally, from the obtained metallicity distribution they conclude that the majority of stars come from inner regions of the Galactic disk ($3 \leq r \leq 7$ kpc), although a sizable fraction of stars originating from outside the solar neighborhood is also observed. By assuming an error of $\pm 1$ dex in the metallicity, they found that the possible region where the Sun was formed is between 4.4 and 7.7 kpc, with the highest probability to be around 5.6 and 7 kpc. These results support the conclusions of Wielen et al. [1996].

According to Minchev et al. [2013] the Sun probably has migrated a distance between 1.5 and 2.9 kpc from the inner regions of the Galactic disk to $R_{\odot}$, which is different from what we obtained. The discrepancy in the conclusions is due to the fact that the structure of the Milky Way and its evolutionary history is quite uncertain. For instance, Minchev et al. [2013] argued that their results are strongly dependent on the migration efficiency in their simulations and also in the adopted chemical evolution model. We obtained a broad set of possible past Sun’s orbits due to the large uncertainty in the bar and spiral arm parameters. Hence, a large scale determination of the phase-space of stars together with better measurements of their chemical abundances are needed to constrain the history of the Milky Way and hence, their current properties. With the Gaia mission [Lindgren et al., 2008] we can expect to obtain the parallaxes and proper motions of one billion of stars very accurately. The HERMES [Freeman & Bland-Hawthorn, 2002] and APOGEE [Allende Prieto et al., 2008] surveys,
will provide a complete database of chemical abundances and radial velocities for stars across all Galactic populations (bulge, disk, and halo).

With a more accurate determination of the Galactic parameters (masses, scale lengths, pattern speeds), the motion of the Sun can be better constrained.

3.7. Summary and final remarks

We studied the radial migration of the Sun within the Milky Way by computing its past orbit in an analytical potential representing the Galaxy. We took into account the uncertainties in the distance of the Sun from the centre of the Galaxy and its peculiar velocity components as well as the uncertainties in the bar and spiral arm parameters.

At the start of the simulations the phase space coordinates of the Sun are initialized to 5000 different positions and velocities which were obtained from a normal distribution centred at \((r_\odot, v_\odot)\), with standard deviations reflecting the uncertain present day values of \(r_\odot\) and \(v_\odot\). After performing the backwards integration in time, we obtained a distribution of ‘birth’ phase-space coordinates. We computed the migration distribution function, \(p(R_p - R_b)\), to study the amount of radial migration experienced by the Sun during the last 4.6 Gyr. We obtain the following results:

- For the majority of the simulations the median of the distribution \(p(R_p - R_b)\) is negative. This indicates that the motion of the Sun has been on average from outer regions of the Galactic disk to \(R_\odot\).

- The bar of the Milky Way does not produce considerable radial migration of the Sun. In contrast, the variation of amplitude and pattern speed of spiral arms produce migration on average of distances up to \(-1.8\) kpc, if the number of spiral arms is two. Hence, the birth radius of the Sun would then be around 11 kpc. In the case of a four-armed spiral potential, the Sun does not migrate on average; however, given that the width of the migration distribution \(p(R_p - R_b)\) can be up to \(2.3\) kpc, there is a probability of approximately 30\% that the Sun has migrated considerably from inner regions of the Galactic disk to \(R_\odot\). If the potential of
the Galaxy has multiple non-transient spiral patterns, the Sun does not migrate on average.

- Only very specific configurations of the Galactic potential lead to considerable migration of the Sun. One case is when the separation of the OLR_{bar} and CR_{sp} is less than or equal to 1 kpc. Another case is when these two resonances overlap and are located further than 8.5 kpc from the Galactic centre. For these cases there is a probability of up to 35% or 50% that the Sun has experienced considerable radial migration from outer regions of the Galactic disk to \( R_{\odot} \).

- When the CR_{sp} is located between 7.3 and 8.4 kpc and the Galactic potential has four spiral arms, the probability that the Sun has migrated considerably from inner regions of the Galactic disk to its current position can be up to 30%. For other combinations of bar and spiral arm parameters, \( P_{i-o} \sim 0 \). Hence, we found that in general it is unlikely that the Sun has migrated from inner regions of the Galaxy to \( R_{\odot} \).

- Apart from the cases summarized above we find that in general the Sun might not have experienced appreciable migration from its birth place to its current position in the Galaxy.

In this study we consider the motion of the Sun in the plane. Simulations taking into account the vertical structure of the non-axisymmetric components of the Galactic potential will be carried out in future works (e.g Faure et al. [2014]; Monari et al. [2014] provide prescriptions for such potentials).

The study of the motion of the Sun during the last 4.6 Gyr has allowed us to determine its birth radius. This is the first step to understand the evolution and consequent disruption of the Sun’s birth cluster in the Galaxy. In this respect, state of the art simulations are required to predict more accurately the current phase-space of the solar siblings. In these simulations, internal processes such as self gravity and stellar evolution have to be taken into account [Brown et al., 2010a]. A detailed study of the evolution and disruption of the Sun’s birth cluster by using realistic simulations is shown in the next chapter.

According to the above results, the current distribution on the \( xy \) plane of the solar siblings will be different depending on the configuration of the
Galactic potential. For the bar and spiral arm parameters that produce a broad migration distribution \( p(R_p - R_b) \) (cases where RSE \( \geq 1.7 \) kpc), we expect a high dispersion of solar siblings, spanning a large range of radii and azimuths on the disk. For the Galactic parameters that do not generate a broad distribution \( p(R_p - R_b) \) (cases where RSE \( \leq 1 \) kpc), we expect the Sun’s siblings not to have a large radial dispersion. Therefore, depending on their final distribution, it would be likely or unlikely to find solar siblings in the near vicinity of the Sun.

Mishurov & Acharova [2011] concluded that it is unlikely to find solar siblings within 100 pc from the Sun, since members of an open cluster are scattered over a large part of the Galactic disk when the gravitational field associated to the spiral arms is taken into account. Consequently, a large scale survey of phase-space is needed. Only the Gaia mission [Lindegren et al., 2008] will provide data at the precision needed to probe for siblings which are far away from the Sun [Brown et al., 2010a]. The realistic simulations mentioned above will have to be exploited to develop methods to look for solar siblings among the billions of stars in the Gaia catalogue; however, together with the kinematics provided by the simulations, a complete determination of chemical abundances of stars has to be done to find the true solar siblings [Brown et al., 2010a; Ramírez et al., 2014].

The identification of the siblings of the Sun will enable to put better constraints on the initial conditions of the Sun’s birth cluster, instead of using only the current solar System properties [Brown et al., 2010a; Adams, 2010]. With well established initial conditions for the parental cluster of the Sun, the formation, evolution and current features of the solar system could finally be disentangled.
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