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ABSTRACT

Transitions between disability states in older people occur frequently. This study investigated predictors of disability transitions in the oldest old and was performed in the Leiden 85-plus Study, a population-based prospective cohort study among 597 participants aged 85 years. At baseline (age 85 years), data on socio-demographic characteristics and chronic diseases were obtained. Disabilities in basic activities of daily living (BADL) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) were measured annually for 5 years with the Groningen Activities Restriction Scale (GARS). Mortality data were obtained. A statistical multi-state model was used to assess the risks of transitions between no disabilities, IADL disability, BADL disability and death. At baseline, 299 participants (50.0%) were disabled in IADL only and 155 participants (26.0%) were disabled in both BADL and IADL. During 5-year follow-up, 374 participants (62.6%) made >1 transition between disability states, mostly deterioration in disability. Males had a lower risk of deterioration (Hazard ratio [HR] 0.75 [95% CI 0.58-0.96]) compared to females. No gender differences were observed for improvement (HR 0.64 [95% CI 0.37-1.11]), Participants with depressive symptoms were less likely to improve (HR 0.50 [95% CI 0.28-0.87]). Participants with depressive symptoms (HR 1.46 [95% CI 1.12-1.91]), >1 chronic disease (HR 1.60 [95% CI 1.27-2.01]), and with cognitive impairment (HR 1.60 [95% CI 1.20-2.13]) had the highest risk of deteriorating. Disability is a dynamic process in the oldest old. Deterioration is more common than improvement. Older men are less likely to deteriorate than women. The presence of depressive symptoms, chronic disease and cognitive impairment predicts deterioration.

ABBREVIATIONS

IADL: Instrumental Activities of Daily Living
BADL: Basic Activities of Daily living
COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
ATC: Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical
MMSE: Mental State Examination
GDS-15: 15-item geriatric depression scale
GARS: Groningen Activities Restriction Scale
PASW: Predictive Analytics Software
HR: Hazard Ratio
INTRODUCTION

Disability in older people is associated with dependency, morbidity, and shorter life expectancy. As the population in the Western world is ageing, the number of older people facing disability will increase in the coming decades. This increasing prevalence of disability will be accompanied by rising healthcare costs, since care for disabled persons is more costly than care for non-disabled persons. Therefore, disability becomes increasingly important for older people, their caregivers, and policymakers.

New preventive strategies directed at disability could diminish the burden of disability. When considering the introduction of new strategies, it is important to know which subgroup of older people are candidates for prevention of disability. Previous research has shown that sex, level of education, cognitive decline, physical frailty, chronic disease, prior disability history, and depressive symptoms contribute to changes in disability.

Several studies including the Precipitating Events Project found that disability is not a static state, but has a dynamic nature, which means that people can move in and out of disability, with continuous transitions between states of disability. However, most studies focused on transitions in disability in basic activities of daily living (BADL) in older individuals without disability. Less attention has been paid to transitions between disability in BADL and disability in instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) in older people in the general population. Moreover, most studies tended to focus on younger elderly, so that data on disability in individuals aged ≥ 85 years are scarce.

This study investigates the transitions between no disability in BADL and IADL, and IADL disability, BADL disability and death, and determines predictors of these transitions in disability states in the oldest old in the general population.

METHODS

Study population

This study was conducted within the Leiden 85-plus Study, an observational population-based prospective cohort study of 85-year old inhabitants of Leiden, the Netherlands. Between 1997 and 1999, 705 inhabitants of Leiden reached the age of 85 years and were eligible for participation. Of these, 14 died before enrolment and 92 refused to participate, resulting in a study population of 599 participants (response rate 87%). No selection criteria for health and demographic characteristics were applied.

Participants were followed for five years until the age of 90 years or until death. At baseline and annually thereafter, all participants were visited at their place of residence, where face-to-face interviews were conducted, cognitive testing was performed, and
information on income, education and disabilities in BADL and IADL was obtained. For the present study, participants with missing BADL and IADL measurements at baseline were excluded (n=2) resulting in a study population of 597 participants. All participants gave informed consent; for participants with severe cognitive impairment, informed consent was obtained from a proxy. The Medical Ethics Committee of Leiden University Medical Centre approved the study.

**Study parameters**

**Predictors**

**Sociodemographic factors**
During baseline interviews, a research nurse collected information on sex, residency, income, and level of education.

**Presence of chronic diseases**
Information on participants’ medical history was obtained by standardized interviews with their treating general practitioner or elderly care physician, and/or pharmacy records. We obtained clinical information on the presence of diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), Parkinson’s disease, history of stroke and myocardial infarction, cancer, arthritis and hip fracture. COPD was considered present when the diagnosis COPD was reported by the treating physician or when lung medication (Anatomic Therapeutic chemical [ATC] code R03) was used at age 85 years. The presence of diabetes mellitus was based on the diagnosis of the treating physician, a non-fasting glucose level >200 mg/dL, or the use of anti-diabetic medication.

**Cognitive function**
Cognitive function was measured with the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) with scores ranging from 0 to 30\(^22\). Cognitive impairment was considered present when the MMSE score was below 24 points.

**Depressive symptoms**
The 15-item geriatric depression scale (GDS-15) was used as a screening instrument for depression\(^23\). As the validity and the reliability of the GDS-15 may be reduced in participants with severe cognitive impairment, this questionnaire was restricted to those with MMSE scores above 18 points. Depressive symptoms were considered present when the GDS-15 score was 4 points or more. This cut-off was used because of its high sensitivity and its acceptable specificity for depressive symptoms, which has been found in a previous study using the same study setting\(^24\).
Outcome

Functional status
Disability in BADL and IADL was measured annually with the Groningen Activities Restriction Scale (GARS). The GARS is a questionnaire that assesses disabilities in competence in nine BADL items and nine IADL items. The GARS is extensively validated in various study populations and has satisfactory clinimetric properties; the test-retest reliability of the GARS-3 was 0.71.

The BADL items included: walk inside the house, get up and out of bed, get in and out of a chair, visit the toilet, wash hands and face, wash body, dress and undress, eat and drink, and prepare breakfast. The IADL items included: light housework, heavy cleaning, wash and iron clothes, clean and make the bed, prepare a hot meal, climbing/descending stairs, get around outdoors, do the groceries, and attend to feet and toenails.

Questions were phrased ‘Can you fully independently…?’ We dichotomized the answers into a score of 0 (cannot or only with help from others) or 1 (yes fully independently, with or without difficulty). Disability in BADL or IADL was considered present when a participant responded ‘Cannot or only with help from others’ on any BADL or IADL item, respectively. The dichotomizing of BADL en IADL was done because no universal cut-off points of the GARS are known.

Mortality
Dates of death were obtained from municipal registries.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics
Baseline characteristics and the prevalence of IADL and BADL disability at baseline and during follow-up are presented as number (percentage).

Multi-state model
Multi-state analysis was used to assess the participants’ risk for making transitions between states of disability. Our multi-state representation of disability included four states: 1) no disability in either BADL or IADL; 2) disability in IADL; 3) disability in both BADL and IADL, and 4) death (Figure 1). Death was included as a competing risk in this model. Since the transition between BADL disability and no disability was observed very rarely, we did not include this transition in the multi-state model.

Transition hazards were first estimated without the inclusion of covariates, then also with the inclusion of covariates. The Aalen-Johansen estimator was used to obtain state occupation probabilities from the transition hazards. The baseline transition haz-
ards of all transitions going into the “death” state were assumed to be proportional\textsuperscript{29}, thus allowing the estimation of hazard ratios of IADL and BADL disability on mortality, compared to having no disability. Estimating covariate effects for all transitions separately would yield too unstable estimates because of rare events for some transitions. Therefore, we hypothesized that the effect of a covariate would be similar in the transition from no disability to IADL disability and from IADL disability to BADL disability, since both these transitions represent deterioration in disability state. Similarly, we assumed that the effect of covariates would be similar in the transition from IADL disability to no disability and from BADL disability to IADL disability, since these transitions represent an improvement in disability state. Moreover, the effect of covariates was also assumed to be the same for transitions from no disability to death, and from IADL disability or BADL disability to death. Therefore, we used a simplified model with deteriorating transitions, improving transitions, and transitions to death. Preliminary analyses showed that this simplification did not result in a model with worse fit.

To study the effect of sex, living situation, income, educational level, presence of chronic disease, cognitive impairment and depressive symptoms on transitions between disability states, we used these predictors as covariates in the simplified model. Sex, income, education level, living alone and presence of chronic diseases were included as fixed variables. In the model, cognitive function and depressive symptoms were included as time-dependent variables (i.e. each yearly measurement was included in the model).
Descriptive statistics were computed using PASW 17.0 for Windows. The package mstate\textsuperscript{30} version 0.2.5, for R version 2.12.0\textsuperscript{31} was used for the multi-state analysis. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Study population

Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of the study population at age 85 years. One third of the population was male and 18\% was living in a long-term care facility. Of all participants, 118 (23.6 \%) had depressive symptoms, 183 (30.7\%) had cognitive impairment (of which 58 had a clinical diagnosis of dementia by their GP) and almost 70\% had at least one chronic disease. At the end of follow-up, 277 participants aged 90 years were interviewed. During the 5-year follow-up period, 41 participants refused further participation and 279 participants died.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the participants at age 85 years.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sociodemographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutionalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income (&lt;750 euro monthly)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low education level (6 years of primary school)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms (GDS-15 \geq 4 pts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive impairment (MMSE &lt; 24 pts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\geq 1 chronic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson's disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of hip fracture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are numbers and percentages

* GDS-15= Geriatric Depression Scale 15 items; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; Chronic diseases included cancer, myocardial infarction, stroke, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Parkinson’s disease, arthritis and history of hip fracture
Prevalence of disability

At age 85 years, 143 participants (24%) had no disability in IADL and BADL, 299 participants (50%) had IADL disability only, and 155 participants (26%) had BADL disability. Participants with IADL disability most often reported being unable to do heavy household activities compared with their counterparts with IADL disability only. Being unable to wash and dry the whole body was the most frequently reported BADL item for this group (appendix table 3). The prevalence of BADL disability increased with age to 58% at age 90 years. The prevalence of IADL disability and the prevalence of no disability decreased during follow-up to 35% and 6%, respectively, at age 90 years (Figure 2). As expected, at each year, all participants with BADL disability also had IADL disability, showing the hierarchical relationship between the two forms of disability.

Figure 2. Estimated transition probabilities of the three states of disability and death during follow-up depending on the disability state at baseline (age 85): a no disability at baseline, b IADL disability at baseline, and c BADL disability at baseline.
Disability states during follow-up

Of the 277 participants (46.4%) who were alive at 5-years follow-up, 205 (41.2%) made one or more transitions between disability states and 72 (12.0%) were stable in their baseline disability state. Of these 72 participants, five (0.8%) remained free of disability during follow-up, 37 (6.2%) had stable IADL disability, and 30 (5.0%) had stable BADL disability. Of the 277 participants who were alive at 5 year follow-up, 83 (30%) had no disability at baseline, 150 (54.2%) had IADL-disability and 44 (15.9%) had BADL-disability at baseline.

Of the 279 participants who died during follow-up (53.4%), 110 (18.4%) were stable in their baseline disability state and 169 participants (28.3%) had made one or more transitions between disability states before death.

In total, 374 participants (69.5%) made one or more transitions between disability states and 182 (30.5%) were stable in their baseline disability state until death or until they reached the age of 90 years. The 374 participants who made one or more transitions between disability states made 579 transitions in total (i.e. mean 1.6 transitions per participant); most of these transitions were directed at deteriorating disability (513 deteriorating transitions and 66 improving transitions). Of these 374 participants, 83 (13.9%) improved in disability state at some time during follow-up, 29 (4.9%) improved from IADL disability to no disability state, and 54 participants (9.0%) improved from BADL disability to IADL disability. The remaining 291 participants made transitions directed at greater disability only.

Transitions between states

The relative risk for participants with IADL disability to deteriorate to BADL disability was HR 6.21 (95% CI 3.35-11.35), compared to participants with no disability to deteriorate to BADL disability. Moreover, an increase in disability was associated with an increased risk of death. The relative mortality risk for participants with IADL disability was HR 1.44 [95% CI 0.97-2.63] and for participants with BADL disability was HR 4.20 [95% CI 2.31-7.53], both compared to participants with no disability at any time during follow-up.

Predictors of transitions

Table 2 shows the predictive value of sociodemographic and health determinants on disability transitions. Males had a higher death rate compared to females, but were more stable with respect to their disability state; they had a lower risk of deteriorating (HR 0.75 [95% CI 0.58-0.96] p=0.03). No gender differences were observed for improving (HR 0.64 [0.37-1.11] p=0.11) in disability state. Higher income (more than state pension), higher education level (more than primary school) and living alone were not associated with improvement or deterioration in disability state. Participants with depressive symptoms (GDS-15 score >4) had a lower risk of transition to an improved disability state (HR 0.50
[95% CI 0.28-0.87], p=0.01), and a higher risk of transition to a deteriorated disability state (HR 1.46 [1.12-1.91], p<0.01) compared to participants without depressive symptoms. Participants with and without depressive symptoms had similar mortality rates. Chronic disease and cognitive impairment (MMSE score <24) were associated with a higher risk of deteriorating in disability state (HR 1.60 [95% CI 1.27-2.01] and HR 1.60 [95% CI 1.20-2.13], respectively, p<0.01) and a higher death rate (HR 1.48 [95% CI 0.96-2.26] p=0.07 and HR 1.64 [1.12-2.39 p=0.01), but were not associated with an increased or decreased risk for improvement in disability state.

Table 2. Multi-variate prediction of improvement in disability state, deterioration in disability state and death by health characteristics over the 5-year follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative risk of transition to improved disability state</th>
<th>Relative risk of transition to deteriorated disability state</th>
<th>Relative risk of transition to death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (ref: women)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.37-1.11)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income (&lt; 750 euro monthly) (ref: &gt; 750 euro monthly)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.67-1.71)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low education level (6 years of primary school) (ref: &gt; primary school)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.74-1.98)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living alone (ref: living with someone)</td>
<td>1.48 (0.92-2.39)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic disease (ref: no chronic disease)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.47-1.24)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive impairment (MMSE &lt;24 pts) (ref: MMSE ≥24)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.36-1.09)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms (GDS-15 ≥4 pts) (ref: GDS &lt;4)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.28-0.87)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI= confidence interval; GDS-15= Geriatric Depression Scale 15 items; MMSE = Mini-Mental state Examination; HR=Hazard ratio
Hazard ratios were estimated with a multistate Cox model. Sex, income, education level, living alone and presence of chronic diseases were included as fixed variables. Cognitive function and depressive symptoms were included as time-dependent variables.
Chronic diseases included cancer, myocardial infarction, stroke, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Parkinson’s disease and history of hip fracture.
DISCUSSION

The present study shows that, among the oldest old in the general population, disability in BADL and IADL is a dynamic process with frequent transitions between disability states. In this study, the most important predictors for transition from no disability to both IADL and BADL disability states were the presence of depressive symptoms, chronic disease and cognitive impairment. Men had a higher mortality rate compared to women, but were more stable with respect to their disability state. Whilst transitions to greater disability and death were much more common than improvements in disability, a small number of very old persons do improve in disability status. Recovery from any acute pathological condition or injury could partly explain this. An intriguing result of this study is that the proportion of older people without any disability that shows some functional decline between age 85 and age 86 is almost 80% (similarly from age 86 to age 87). This may be explained by the fact that people of this advanced age are known to decline in function very rapidly.

Our results in persons aged ≥ 85 years confirm those of other studies on transitions between disability states. However, unlike other studies which presented high recovery rates, our study participants infrequently recovered from disability. Three of these previous studies were performed in the same study population of the Precipitating Events Project. Of the other three, one was restricted to moderately and severely disabled women, and one to disabled patients aged 65 years and older registered for home services. Only the population-based study by Peres and colleagues and the study of Mor en colleagues are comparable with the present study. In these studies, similar results were found for people of old age (80 years and over). The infrequent recovery in our study might thus be explained by the old age of our study population.

Moreover since IADL disability is highly prevalent among the very elderly, it is less likely that the oldest old fully recover to a non-disabled state. Therefore, the transition from BADL disability to no disability was too uncommon to include in the multistate model. In contrast to the abovementioned studies, we had yearly intervals between disability assessments.

Our predictors of transitions in disability state are generally in line with others, who also found that males are more stable with respect to their disability state than females. This has been described as the health-survival paradox in the very old: i.e. men seem to be healthier than women but die earlier. The biological mechanism underlying this paradox has yet to be unravelled.

The fact that both cognitive impairment and the presence of chronic illness predicted a greater risk of deterioration in disability confirms the results of previous studies on this topic. Older people with depressive symptoms were at higher risk of de-
The aim of our study was to investigate predictors of disability status in the general population at large. Our study population therefore also included persons living in care homes or nursing homes. Since persons living in these institutions are not likely to improve in disability status, and may often have an increased risk of depression, comorbidity and cognitive impairment, this may have resulted in an underestimation of the predictive value of depression, comorbidity and cognitive impairment on the change in disability status for community-dwelling elderly.

Since this study assesses the predictive value of the determinants on disability changes, the results should not be etiologically interpreted. For example: the predictive value of depression on disability has been extensively studied, but a causal relationship has not been identified yet.

The results of our study are important for several reasons. First, people aged ≥ 85 years are the fastest growing segment of the general population, and data on disability in this population are limited. This age group is often excluded from clinical trials and preventive interventions, and is sometimes undertreated. Therefore, the results of this study in this specific population provide valuable information to help develop preventive strategies directed towards disability in this age group. Second, the results could also have implications for geriatric rehabilitation programs.

Strengths and limitations

Our study has several strengths. The Leiden 85-plus Study is a longitudinal population-based cohort study with no exclusion criteria and almost complete follow-up for mortality. A total of 87% of the oldest old in the population participated in this study. This high participation rate adds to the external validity of our results. Moreover, the longitudinal design with repeated measurements of competence in IADL and BADL allowed us to demonstrate the dynamic process of disability in this unique sample of very old participants. Another strength of our study is that we included both IADL and BADL disability and the transitions between these disability states, healthy, and death. In addition, the use of a multi-state model allowed us to include more than one transition per participant, and allowed inclusion of death as a competing risk and separate outcome.

A limitation of our study is its relatively small sample size. A larger study would allow us to investigate the predictive effect of more covariates and to perform subgroup analyses. Another limitation is that, due to the dichotomizing of disability in this study, small changes in disability status may be unnoticed by the multi-state model. This relates to the limits of the multi-state model, which does not allow inclusion of continuous
variables. Moreover, since the multistate model will be unstable when too many predictors are added, we added a predictor which was based on a score of all chronic diseases.

CONCLUSION

Disability remains a dynamic process in the oldest old in the general population. Transitions to a deteriorating disability status are more common than transitions to an improved disability status. Older men are less likely to deteriorate in disability status than women. The presence of depressive symptoms, chronic disease and cognitive impairment predict deterioration in disability. Further research is needed to investigate whether older people with a higher risk for deterioration would benefit from preventive programs or interventions designed to reverse disability.
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Appendix table 3. The prevalence of disability on the individual BADL- and IADL items of the GARS at age 85 (n=597)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>total population</th>
<th>no disability</th>
<th>IADL-disability*</th>
<th>BADL-disability*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=597</td>
<td>n=143</td>
<td>n=299</td>
<td>n=155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BADL: unable to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. dress yourself</td>
<td>103 (17.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>103 (66.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. get in and out of bed</td>
<td>53 (8.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53 (34.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. stand up from sitting in a chair</td>
<td>53 (8.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53 (54.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. wash face and hands</td>
<td>45 (7.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45 (29.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. wash and dry whole body</td>
<td>124 (20.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>124 (80.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. go to the toilet</td>
<td>60 (10.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60 (38.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. eat and drink</td>
<td>21 (3.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21 (13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. get around in the house</td>
<td>67 (11.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67 (43.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. prepare breakfast</td>
<td>64 (10.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64 (41.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL: unable to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. go up and down the stairs</td>
<td>225 (37.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89 (29.8)</td>
<td>136 (87.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. walk outdoors</td>
<td>171 (28.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50 (16.7)</td>
<td>121 (78.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. take care of your feet and toenails</td>
<td>340 (57.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>195 (65.2)</td>
<td>145 (93.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. prepare dinner</td>
<td>209 (35.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80 (26.8)</td>
<td>129 (83.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. light household activities</td>
<td>127 (21.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28 (9.4)</td>
<td>99 (63.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. heavy household activities</td>
<td>350 (58.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200 (66.9)</td>
<td>150 (96.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. wash and iron clothes</td>
<td>223 (37.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94 (31.4)</td>
<td>129 (83.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. make the beds</td>
<td>207 (34.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80 (26.8)</td>
<td>127 (81.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. groceries</td>
<td>231 (38.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>105 (35.1)</td>
<td>126 (81.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represent numbers (%)

*Disability in BADL or IADL was considered present when a participant responded 'Cannot or only with help from others' on any BADL or IADL item, respectively