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ABSTRACT

Aims
Heart rate variability (HRV) is associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) in individuals with known CVD. It is less clear whether HRV is associated with a first cardiovascular event. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to study the association between HRV and incident cardiovascular events in populations without known CVD.

Methods and results
We performed a meta-analysis and dose-response meta-regression of studies assessing the association between HRV and CVD. We searched Pubmed, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane library, ScienceDirect and CINAHL up to December 2011 for eligible studies. We selected studies that used the standard deviation of the normalized N-N interval (SDNN), low frequency (LF) or high frequency (HF) spectral component as a measure of HRV. Primary outcomes were (non)fatal cardiovascular events.

Eight studies with a total number of 21988 participants were included. The pooled relative risk comparing the lowest level to the highest level of SDNN was 1.35 (95% CI 1.10, 1.67). The pooled relative risks for LF and HF were 1.45 (95% CI 1.12, 1.87) and 1.32 (95% CI 0.96, 1.81), respectively. In a meta-regression, the predicted RR of incident CVD of the 10th and 90th HRV (SDNN) percentile compared to the 50th percentile were 1.50 (95% CI 1.22, 1.83) and 0.67 (95% CI 0.41, 1.09).

Conclusion
In conclusion, low HRV is associated with a 32-45% increased risk of a first cardiovascular event in populations without known CVD. An increase in SDNN of 1% results in an approximately 1% lower risk of fatal or non-fatal CVD.
INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is one of the main causes of morbidity and mortality in industrialized countries. Cardiac autonomic dysfunction is a risk factor for the development of CVD that can be measured non-invasively using heart rate variability (HRV). Physiologically, HRV is thought to be the result of adaptive changes in heart rate caused by the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system, with the purpose to buffer blood pressure. Less compensatory change (low HRV) suggests a decreased adaptive autonomic nervous system and is thought to be associated with increased morbidity. HRV has long been known to be associated with morbidity and mortality after myocardial infarction. This finding has been confirmed in many studies. Besides acute myocardial infarction and cardiac death, also occurrences of congestive heart failure and arrhythmias have been associated with reduced HRV.

Although HRV is associated with cardiovascular events in individuals with known CVD, fewer studies have been published on the association between HRV and the risk of CVD in a population without known CVD. Therefore, the aim of this meta-analysis was to assess the association between HRV and CVD in individuals without known CVD at baseline.

METHODS

Search Strategy
A systematic literature search was performed, aimed at identifying epidemiological studies with data on the association between HRV and CVD. We searched the electronic databases Pubmed, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane library, ScienceDirect and CINAHL from their inception up to December 2011. No language restriction was set in advance. The reference lists of all relevant identified articles were screened for additional potentially relevant articles.

Eligibility criteria
Initial selection of studies by title and abstract was performed by two reviewers (S.H. and K.B.G.) independently. Disagreements were resolved by consensus. Population-based studies in adults assessing the association between HRV and CVD were eligible for inclusion. Abstracts of meetings and unpublished results were not eligible. Since the aim of the study was to assess the association between HRV and incident CVD, cohorts restricted to patients with CVD at baseline were excluded. Furthermore, we did not include studies in our meta-analysis if they presented a measure of HRV that was only used
in one or two studies. To be included, studies should have expressed the association between HRV and CVD as relative risks (RRs) (risk ratios, odds ratios or hazard ratios). Maximally adjusted RRs and confidence intervals (CIs) for the association between HRV and CVD were extracted. Data were extracted in duplicate (S.H. and K.B.G.), using a standard data extraction sheet.

**Measures of HRV**

HRV can be expressed using several measures. In order to calculate different measures of HRV, intervals between adjacent normal R waves (NN intervals) are measured. The standard 12-lead ECG is a common method to measure these intervals. In the time domain analyses, a variety of statistical variables are calculated from NN intervals. The most commonly used time domain variables are the standard deviation of all N-N intervals (SDNN) and the square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of differences between adjacent R-R intervals (RMSSD).

The frequency domain is another method used to calculate HRV, of which the low frequency (LF) and the high frequency (HF) spectral components are common measures. In the frequency domain method, cyclic fluctuations of RR intervals are quantified by the frequency of the fluctuation using Fourier transformation or auto regression spectral analysis. Typically, HF fluctuations are thought to be caused by respiration and are effectuated by parasympathetic outflow. LF fluctuations are probably the result of a blood pressure resonance phenomenon mediated by the baroreflexes and are effectuated by both sympathetic and parasympathetic fluctuations in outflow.

**Study endpoints**

The outcome of interest was the occurrence of a first fatal or nonfatal cardiovascular event. In this meta-analysis we defined fatal or non-fatal CVD as myocardial infarction, coronary revascularization procedures, hospitalization for unstable angina pectoris, congestive heart failure, arrhythmia (atrial/ventricular), arterial peripheral vascular disease, stroke (ischemic/haemorrhagic) or death from these events.

**Risk of bias assessment**

For the aim of the present meta-analysis, risk of bias assessment focused on design elements that could potentially bias the association between HRV and CVD: (i) ascertainment that the outcome of interest was not present at baseline, (ii) adequacy of follow up (loss-to-follow up of less than five percent was considered adequate), (iii) effect estimates having been adjusted for potential confounding factor, (iv) adequacy of endpoint verification. Endpoint verification was considered adequate when diagnoses
were confirmed using medical records or death certificates. Self-report was considered to present a high risk of bias.

**Statistical analyses**

According to the data available in included articles, HRV was categorized based on percentiles (median, tertiles or quartiles). When papers presented their results using an author defined cut-off value, we calculated the accompanying percentiles under the assumption of a normal distribution of HRV. If authors calculated a RR for one SD change in HRV, we recalculated this RR for categories based on quartiles assuming a normal distribution of HRV and a linear association on a logarithmic scale between HRV and CVD. For each study the highest HRV category was used as the reference and for all studies the lowest HRV category was compared with the highest category. We performed a random effects meta-analysis based on maximally adjusted relative risks of the highest versus the lowest categories and their accompanying standard error. Heterogeneity was quantified using the \( I^2 \) statistics. We performed two sensitivity analyses; one excluding populations with only diabetes patients and one separating the different measurement durations of HRV (short measurements of 10 seconds to three minutes versus longer measurements of 24 hours).

Secondly, we performed a meta-regression to assess a dose-response slope for the association between HRV and CVD. We used a random effects model to take the between-study variation into account. For each individual study, a weight was calculated based on the standard error. The 50th percentile was used as the reference category.\(^{11}\) Based on the slope from the random effects regression, we predicted risk ratios comparing the 10th and the 90th percentile to the 50th percentile. Statistical analyses were performed with Stata Statistical Software (Statacorp, USA), version 12.

**RESULTS**

**Literature search**

We identified 3613 publications by database search (PubMed, n=2062; EMBASE, n=516; Web of Science, n=83; ScienceDirect, n=9; CINAHL, n=152; Cochrane, n=791). After checking for duplicates, we retrieved 3395 unique publications. After screening of title and abstract, 3309 studies were excluded and 86 papers were retrieved for detailed assessment. Sixty-three papers did not meet the eligibility criteria, 23 studies were assessed in duplicate for inclusion. Of these papers, several were based on the same cohort. Four papers were based on the ARIC study\(^{12-15}\), two on the Framingham Heart study\(^{16,17}\), three on the Cardiovascular Health study\(^{18-20}\), three on the Ohasama study\(^{21-23}\).
and two on a cohort from Finland. To avoid multiple inclusions of the same study subjects, for each study we only included the paper with the largest sample size in our meta-analysis. As a result, nine papers were excluded. Furthermore, six studies were excluded because they presented a measure of HRV that was only used in one or two studies. Finally, a total of eight studies were included in this meta-analysis that used SDNN, LF or HF as a measure of HRV (Figure 1).

### Figure 1. Flow chart of study selection

Data on:
- Standard deviation of NN intervals (8 studies)
- Low frequency spectral component (4 studies)
- High frequency spectral component (4 studies)

### Study characteristics

Characteristics of the included studies are presented in Table 1. All included studies were prospective cohort studies. Two cohorts presented data separately for diabetics and non-diabetics. A total of 21988 participants were included in the analyses. The size of the study populations ranged from 159 to 11647. The percentage of women varied from 0% to 64%. Mean follow-up duration ranged from 3.5 to 15 years. Four studies defined their endpoint as cardiovascular death. Other studies used a combined endpoint, namely: congestive heart failure, hospitalization for angina pectoris and cardiovascular death; MI, coronary revascularization and cardiovascular death; MI, arterial peripheral vascular disease, stroke, congestive heart failure, hospitalization...
for angina pectoris and cardiovascular death and MI, coronary revascularization, arterial peripheral vascular disease, stroke and cardiovascular death.

**Risk of bias**

CVD at baseline was assessed in all studies except in one that did not give a clear description of this assessment. Six studies mentioned loss-to-follow-up; in five of them this was <5%. The other study mentioned a loss-to-follow up of 5.6%. Two studies did not give any information about loss-to-follow up. HRV was assessed prior to the outcome in all eight papers. The instrument used to measure NN intervals was clearly described in all studies, but one study lacked data on the assessment of HRV from the recordings. Diagnosis of CVD was verified by medical records, death certificates or general practitioner’s registries in all studies. Seven studies adjusted for potential confounders, one study only presented unadjusted risk estimates. For details of adjustments see Table 1.

**Standard deviation of NN intervals and CVD**

All eight studies reported on the association between SDNN and fatal and nonfatal CVD. Two studies presented data for two separate groups of patients (diabetic and non-diabetic). The reported relative risks of fatal or non-fatal CVD comparing low to high HRV ranged from 0.78 to 1.99. One study reported a relative risk below 1.0 and one study an estimate of 1.02. In the other six studies the relative risks were all higher than 1.26. In a random effects meta-analysis, a low SDNN was associated with an increased risk of fatal or non-fatal CVD: RR 1.35 (95% CI 1.10, 1.67). The $I^2$ of the analysis was 60.2% (Figure 2).

We performed a sensitivity analysis excluding the risk estimates of the two populations with diabetes. This analysis showed a similar pooled relative risk of fatal or non-fatal CVD of 1.32 (95% CI 1.04, 1.68). Furthermore, we distinguished between different measurement durations. This analysis indicated effect modification of the association between HRV and fatal or non-fatal CVD by duration of the measurement, although the numbers of studies per subgroup were small. The association was stronger for HRV measured over 2-24 hours (RR for CVD 1.70, 95% CI 1.33, 2.17) than HRV measurements over 2-3 minutes (RR 1.35, 95% CI 1.08, 1.69) or measurements shorter than approximately 30 seconds (RR 0.97, 95% CI 0.74, 1.28).

Finally, for studies that defined their outcome solely as cardiovascular death (n=4) pooled RR of 1.05 (95% CI 0.83, 1.33) was shown.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Measure of HRV</th>
<th>Duration of measurement</th>
<th>Endpoints</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean follow-up (year)</th>
<th>Women (%)</th>
<th>Age range (year)</th>
<th>Adjusted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study, USA</td>
<td>SDNN, LF, HF</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>MI, p. vasc, stroke, CHF, hosp. AP, and cardiovasc. death</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>62-80</td>
<td>Not adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Community Study, USA</td>
<td>SDNN, LF(nu), HF(nu)</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>MI, cor. revasc., per. vasc., stroke and cardiovasc. death</td>
<td>11647</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48-60</td>
<td>Age, sex, ethnicity, centre, smoking, mean heart rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoorn study, the Netherlands</td>
<td>SDNN, LF, HF</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>Cardiovasc. death</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>7.9*</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>57-71</td>
<td>Age, sex, glucose tolerance status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General population Turku, Finland</td>
<td>SDNN</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>Cardiovasc. death</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Age, sex, CHF, VES, previous MI, AP, cardiac medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotterdam Study, the Netherlands</td>
<td>SDNN</td>
<td>10 s</td>
<td>Cardiovasc. death</td>
<td>5272</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60-78</td>
<td>Age, sex, DBP, BMI, DM, negative T-waves, mean R-R interval, Q-Tc interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zutphen Study, the Netherlands</td>
<td>SDNN</td>
<td>30 s</td>
<td>Cardiovasc. death</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>Age, BMI, SBP, total cholesterol, smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Bronx Ageing Study, USA</td>
<td>SDNN</td>
<td>10-40 RR intervals</td>
<td>Cardiovasc. death</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>75-85</td>
<td>Age, sex, DM, hypertension, smoking, history CVD, BMI, cancer, cholesterol, self-health index, medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham Heart Study, USA</td>
<td>SDNN, LF, HF</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>MI, CHF, hosp. AP, and cardiovasc. death</td>
<td>2501</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>26-53</td>
<td>Age, sex, SVP, smoking, DM, SBP, LVH, medication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP, angina pectoris; BMI, body mass index; cardiovasc. death, cardiovascular death as defined by authors; CHF, congestive heart failure; cor. revasc, coronary revascularization procedures; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DM, diabetes mellitus; HF, high-frequency spectral component; hosp. ap, hospitalization for angina pectoris; LF, low-frequency spectral component; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; MI, myocardial infarction; ND, not defined; nu, normalized units; per. vasc, arterial peripheral vascular disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SDNN, standard deviation of N–N intervals; SVP, supraventricular premature beats; VES, ventricular extra systoles; *, median.
Heart rate variability and first cardiovascular event

Low frequency spectral component and CVD

Four studies used the LF spectral component as a measure of HRV, with a total number of 15215 participants. All these studies used combined endpoints to define CVD. Two studies presented results for the diabetic as well as the non-diabetic population.\cite{15,31} The RR for the lowest LF compared to the highest LF category ranged from 1.01 to 1.77. The

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline
Author & N & Comparison & Relative Risk (95\% CI) \\
\hline
Tsui 1996 & 2501 & quartiles & 1.01 (0.87, 1.17) \\
Dekker 1997 & 878 & tertiles & 1.02 (0.77, 1.35) \\
Bernstein 1997 & 391 & quartiles & 0.78 (0.51, 1.19) \\
De Bruyne 1999 & 5272 & quartiles & 1.33 (0.52, 3.40) \\
Gerritsen 2001 (1) & 446 & quartiles & 1.32 (0.51, 3.42) \\
Gerritsen 2001 (2) & 159 & quartiles & 1.29 (0.87, 1.91) \\
Makikallio 2001 & 232 & median & 1.26 (0.96, 1.65) \\
Liao 2002 (1) & 10372 & quartiles & 1.75 (1.04, 2.94) \\
Liao 2002 (2) & 1275 & quartiles & 1.71 (1.19, 2.46) \\
Kop 2010 & 908 & median & 1.35 (1.10, 1.67) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textbf{Figure 2.} Meta-analysis comparing risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular disease in low versus high heart rate variability measured as standard deviation of NN intervals

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline
Year of publication & Author & N & Comparison & Relative Risk (95\% CI) \\
\hline
1996 & Tsui 1996 & 2501 & quartiles & 1.77 (1.31, 2.38) \\
2001 & Gerritsen 2001 (1) & 446 & quartiles & 1.23 (0.46, 3.29) \\
2001 & Gerritsen 2001 (2) & 159 & quartiles & 2.30 (0.82, 6.45) \\
2002 & Liao 2002 (1) & 10372 & quartiles & 1.01 (0.78, 1.31) \\
2002 & Liao 2002 (2) & 1275 & quartiles & 1.65 (1.02, 2.67) \\
2010 & Kop 2010 & 908 & median & 1.54 (1.07, 2.22) \\
Overall (I-squared = 60.2\%, p = 0.007) & & & 1.35 (1.10, 1.67) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textbf{Figure 3.} Meta-analysis comparing risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular disease in low versus high heart rate variability measured as low frequency spectral component
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pooled RR was 1.45 (95% CI 1.12, 1.87), indicating that a low LF was associated with a higher risk of fatal and non-fatal CVD. $I^2$ of this analysis was 50.4% (Figure 3).

**High frequency spectral component and CVD**

The HF spectral component was used as a measure of HRV in the same studies that reported on the LF spectral component. The RRs for the association between HF and HRV ranged from 0.83 to 1.87. Lower HF was associated with a higher risk of fatal and non-fatal CVD (RR: 1.32, 95% CI: 0.96, 1.81), $I^2$=67% (Figure 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Relative Risk (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tsuji 1996</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2501 quartiles</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.84 (1.37, 2.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerritsen 2001 (1)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>446 quartiles</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.57 (0.81, 2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerritsen 2001 (2)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>159 quartiles</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.03 (0.49, 2.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao 2002 (1)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>10372 quartiles</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.93 (0.71, 1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao 2002 (2)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1275 quartiles</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.87 (1.14, 3.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kop 2010</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>908 median</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.08 (0.73, 1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall ($I^2$=67.0%, $p=0.010$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.32 (0.96, 1.81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.** Meta-analysis comparing risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular disease in low versus high heart rate variability measured as high frequency spectral component

**Meta-regression of SDNN and CVD**

We performed a meta-regression to assess a dose-response relationship between HRV and CVD for studies with SDNN as a measure for HRV. We accepted a linear relationship between HRV and CVD, since the model including a quadratic term did not perform better than the linear model ($p$ from likelihood ratio = 0.75). The random effects meta-regression showed an increasing risk of cardiovascular events for lower HRV (slope = −0.01, $p=0.011$). The predicted RR of fatal or non-fatal CVD of the 10th HRV percentile compared with the 50th percentile was 1.50 (95% CI 1.22, 1.83); the predicted RR of the 90th percentile compared with the 50th percentile was 0.67 (95% CI 0.41, 1.09). These results indicate that an increase in SDNN of one percent results in an approximately one percent lower risk of the development of fatal or non-fatal CVD (Figure 5).
DISCUSSION

Main results

The present meta-analysis was performed to assess the association between HRV and a first cardiovascular event in a population without known CVD. The study showed that individuals with low HRV have approximately a 40% increased risk of fatal or non-fatal CVD compared with individuals with high HRV. Our study is the first meta-analysis and dose-response meta-regression to show that low HRV is also associated with CVD in a population without known CVD.

Strengths and limitations

The present study has several strengths. First, studies included in our analyses were cohorts with a large total number of participants, which adds to the robustness of the results. Outcome ascertainment at baseline and verification of endpoints were considered adequate in the majority of the studies. Furthermore, our findings were consistent across three different measures of HRV (SDNN 1.35, LF 1.45 and HF 1.32).

Figure 5. Dose-response meta-regression for the association between heart rate variability measured as standard deviation of NN intervals and fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular disease.
A potential weakness of our study is the adjustment for possible confounders in some studies. One study did not present adjusted RRs. Furthermore, none of the studies adjusted for physical activity and respiration, whereas only one study adjusted for mean heart rate. Studies have been published that suggest that these factors should be taken into account.

Another potential limitation is the limited number of included studies, especially in the analysis of the LF and HF spectral component (n=4). Despite the low number of studies however, the direction and magnitude of the pooled RRs of fatal and non-fatal CVD for these two measures of HRV were in line with the pooled risk of the studies using SDNN.

**Clinical implications and future research**

HRV is the result of changes in heart rate caused by fluctuations in sympathetic and parasympathetic outflow. These fluctuations vary in length. An example of slow fluctuations is circadian variation, whereas faster fluctuations in heart rate are due to sympathetic and parasympathetic responses to minor hemodynamic changes. Respiration causes a cyclic variation in intrathoracic pressure and thereby venous return, which leads to fluctuations in cardiac output and arterial blood pressure. The arterial baroreflex reacts to these fluctuations in arterial blood pressure by producing fluctuations in parasympathetic and sympathetic autonomic outflow that induce compensatory modulations in peripheral resistance, cardiac contractility and heart rate. Reduced compensatory change (low HRV) suggests a less adaptive autonomic nervous system. However, the physiological interpretation of HRV, especially in the LF spectral band, remains controversial. Whereas the dependence of HF power on respiration-related parasympathetic outflow has repeatedly been confirmed, there are indications that LF power is not a measure of sympathetic outflow. Some investigators have found that LF is a reflection of baroreflex function instead of cardiac sympathetic innervation.

It is known that after a cardiovascular event, individuals with lowered HRV have an increased risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality compared to subjects with high HRV. These results indicate that a less adaptive autonomic nervous system is a marker of cardiovascular risk. Furthermore, it shows that the autonomic nervous system becomes less sensitive for minor hemodynamic changes after a first cardiac event, which might be a direct cause of a secondary cardiovascular event. Our meta-analysis shows that HRV is also associated with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the general population. This suggests that decreased fluctuations in heart rate, caused by inadequate autonomic nervous system circulatory control, is also a sign of less favourable health in otherwise healthy individuals. Furthermore, our results seem to show effect modification of the association between HRV and CVD by duration of the
measurement. This finding might indicate that a decrease in long term fluctuations in heart rate is more important for the risk of CVD than short term fluctuations. However, since the numbers our stratified analyses are very small, this result must be interpreted with caution.

A possible mechanism underlying our findings is low grade inflammation. It has been suggested that autonomic imbalance could activate inflammation by influencing the bone marrow and lymphoreticular system. Increased inflammation is associated with higher risk of CVD. Another possible explanation for the association between HRV and a first cardiovascular event is that individuals with low HRV already suffer from subclinical or silent cardiovascular disease. These individuals are expected to have both a lower HRV and a higher risk of a following CVD event.

HRV measurement is inexpensive, easy to assess and non-invasive. It is potentially a useful predictor of CVD in both healthy and diseased individuals. Improved identification of individuals at risk for the development of CVD may result in more targeted and adequate prevention strategies. Future research should focus on the clinically applicability of the existing knowledge about HRV, for example by aiming to find cut-off points and determine the value of HRV in addition to established risk factors. When investigating HRV for risk stratification in clinical practice, the possible influence of respiration and mean heart rate should be taken in account.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our meta-analysis shows that individuals with a low HRV have 32-45% increased risk of CVD compared with individuals with a high HRV. Our study is the first meta-analysis to show that low HRV is also associated with a first cardiovascular event in a population without known CVD. It therefore supports the hypothesis that cardiac autonomic dysfunction is associated with a higher risk of CVD. Whether HRV might be clinically useful in individual risk identification should be assessed in future research.
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