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Abstract

Macrophage expressed gene 1 (MPEG1) encodes an evolutionarily conserved protein with a predicted Membrane Attack Complex/Perforin domain associated with host defence against invading pathogens. In vertebrates, MPEG1/Perforin-2 is an integral membrane protein of macrophages, suspected to be involved in killing of intracellular bacteria by pore-forming activity. Zebrafish have three copies of MPEG1, two of which are expressed in macrophages, whereas the third could be a pseudogene. The mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 genes show differential regulation during infection of zebrafish embryos with the bacterial pathogens, Mycobacterium marinum and Salmonella typhimurium. While mpeg1 is down-regulated during infection with both pathogens, mpeg1.2 is infection inducible. Up-regulation of mpeg1.2 is partially dependent on the presence of functional Mpeg1, and requires the Toll-like receptor adaptor molecule MyD88 and transcription factor NFκB. Knockdown of mpeg1 alters the immune response to M. marinum infection and results in increased bacterial burden. In S. typhimurium infection, both mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 knockdown increase bacterial burdens, but mpeg1 morphants show increased survival time. The combined results of these two in vivo infection models support the anti-bacterial function of the MPEG1/Perforin-2 family and indicate that the intricate cross-regulation of the two mpeg1 copies aids the zebrafish host in combating infection of various pathogens.

Introduction

Membrane Attack Complex/Perforin (MACPF) proteins belong to a large superfamily of pore forming molecules present in almost all living organisms. In vertebrates, MACPF proteins perform crucial roles in immune defence against both extracellular and intracellular infections. The MACPF domain is present in the components of the terminal complement pathway (C6, C7, C8, and C9), which form the membrane attack complex (MAC) targeting gram-negative bacteria and certain pathogenic parasites directly by forming pores on their cell membranes. The MACPF domain is also present in the perforins released by cytotoxic T lymphocytes and natural killer cells, which create pores in the plasma membranes of infected and transformed host cells, allowing the entry of cytolytic proteins.

In addition to the complement proteins and the perforins, there is another MACPF domain-containing membrane protein involved in the immune system, named Macrophage expressed gene 1 (MPEG1) or Perforin-2. MPEG1 was first identified as a macrophage-specific gene in human and mice, but how it contributes mechanistically to macrophage defence remains to be elucidated. Homologs of MPEG1 are found in marine metazoans, such as the sea sponge, the pacific oyster, and abalone shellfish, and evolutionary reconstruction suggests that an ancestral MPEG1 gene gave rise to the vertebrate perforin genes. Expression of MPEG1 homologs in the invertebrates is up-
regulated by viral and bacterial infections or by exposure to bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) \(^6\)\(^-\)\(^8\). Furthermore, recombinant sea sponge Mpeg has been shown to inhibit bacterial growth \textit{in vitro} \(^6\). In mice, \textit{Mpeg1} (also named \textit{Perforin-2}) is up-regulated during prion infection and its expression could be induced in primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts by several types of bacterial infections \(^10\), \(^11\). Mouse embryonic fibroblasts rapidly induce expression of \textit{Mpeg1} in response to infection with \textit{Mycobacterium smegmatis}, and these fibroblasts lose their ability to kill this non-pathogenic mycobacterium species when \textit{Mpeg1} is knocked down with siRNA \(^11\). Furthermore, this study showed that \textit{M. smegmatis} bacteria are sensitive to the bacteriolytic activity of lysozyme when they are recovered from \textit{Mpeg1}-expressing fibroblasts but not when they are recovered from \textit{Mpeg1}-deficient cells, suggesting physical attack of the bacterial membrane by the MACPF domain of \textit{Mpeg1} \(^11\). It has also been shown that \textit{Mpeg1} restricts growth of \textit{Chlamydia trachomatis} in macrophages, while studies in HeLa cells suggest that chlamydiae are protected from \textit{Mpeg1}-mediated killing in epithelial cells by prevention of \textit{Mpeg1} transcription \(^12\). Following ectopic expression of \textit{Mpeg1} in HeLa cells, the protein concentrated around the chlamydia-containing vacuoles and inhibited chlamydial growth. It has been proposed that the MACPF domain of \textit{Mpeg1} is oriented inside membrane vesicles and that upon bacterial infection these vesicles traffic and fuse with bacteria-containing endosomes to mediate bacterial killing by pore formation \(^3\).

The zebrafish genome contains an \textit{mpeg1} gene that like its human and murine counterpart is expressed by macrophages and encodes a protein with the conserved MACPF domain as well as the characteristic transmembrane region \(^13\), \(^14\). There are several advantages for using zebrafish as a model to study host-pathogen interactions. Firstly, the free-living zebrafish embryos and early larval stages are optically transparent and \textit{in vivo} visualization of infectious disease processes is facilitated by various transgenic lines, including reporter lines using the \textit{mpeg1} promoter to drive fluorescent protein expression in macrophages \(^14\). Secondly, genetic approaches are easily applicable, such as the efficient inactivation of gene functions achieved by injection of antisense morpholino oligonucleotides. Thirdly, it is possible to study the innate immune response in the absence of an adaptive immune contribution. Already at 1 day post fertilisation (dpf) the innate immune system of the zebrafish embryo is capable of defence against microbial infections \(^15\), while the adaptive immune system does not mature before three weeks of age \(^16\). Differentiated myeloid cells of the innate immune system are able to phagocytose apoptotic cell corpses \(^15\) and adhere and phagocytose bacteria injected into the blood \(^15\), \(^17\), \(^18\). These properties led to the development of zebrafish infection models for a wide variety of pathogens \(^19\).

In this study, we used well-established zebrafish embryo models for \textit{Mycobacterium marinum} and \textit{Salmonella enterica} serovar Typhimurium (\textit{S. typhimurium}) infections to investigate the function of \textit{mpeg1} and one of its paralogues named \textit{mpeg1.2}. We show that these two MPEG1 homologues are differentially regulated during infection and provide \textit{in vivo} evidence for the function of both genes in anti-bacterial defence.
Materials and methods

Zebrafish lines and handling of embryos

Zebrafish were handled in compliance with the local animal welfare regulations and were maintained according to standard protocols (zfin.org). The culture was approved by the local animal welfare committee (DEC) of the University of Leiden and all protocols adhered to the international guidelines specified by the EU Animal Protection Directive 2010/63/EU. Adult zebrafish were not sacrificed for this study. All experiments in this study were performed on embryos/larvae before the free-feeding stage and did not fall under animal experimentation law according to the EU Animal Protection Directive 2010/63/EU. Zebrafish lines used in this study included AB/TL, myd88hu3568, Tg(mpx:gfp)i1420, Tg(mpeg1:EGFP)i2214, and Tg(mpeg1:mCherry-F)UMSF00122. Embryos were grown at 28.5-30°C in egg water (60 µg/ml Instant Ocean sea salts). For the duration of bacterial injections and imaging, embryos were kept under anaesthesia in egg water containing 200 µg/ml tricaine (Sigma-Aldrich). Embryos used for stereo fluorescence imaging were kept in egg water containing 0.003% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (Sigma-Aldrich) to prevent melanisation.

Morpholino knockdown

Morpholino oligonucleotides (Gene Tools) were diluted to the desired concentration in 1x Danieau buffer (58 mM NaCl, 0.7 mM KCl, 0.4 mM MgSO$_4$, 0.6 mM Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$, 5.0 mM HEPES; pH 7.6) containing 1% phenol red (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1 nL was injected into the yolk at the 1-2 cell stage using a Femtojet injector (Eppendorf). For knockdown of *mpeg1* or *mpeg1.2*, two morpholinos each were used, one targeting the exon 1-intron 1 splice junction (*mpeg1* Mo1: 5’ATTTTGTACTTACTTGAACCCGTGC3’, 0.3 mM; *mpeg 1.2* Mo1: 5’ACTTTTCTGTCTTACCTGAACTCGT3’, 0.1 mM), and the other targeting the intron 1-exon 2 splice junction (*mpeg1* Mo2: 5’GGTTACGGACCTGAGAAACAAATTT3’, 0.1 mM; *mpeg 1.2* Mo2: 5’TGCGTACCTGAGAAGATAACACAAA3’, 0.1 mM). For knockdown of *ptpn6* the splice junction morpholino 1 (*ptpn6* Mo1: 5’ACTCATTCCTTACCCGATGCGGAGC3’) was used as described previously 23. As a control, the standard control morpholino from Gene Tools was used at the same concentrations as the other morpholinos.

Chemical treatments

NFκB activation inhibitor (NAI, 50 nM, 4 hours total treatment, including 2 hours pre-treatment, Calbiochem, #481406) and copper sulphate (CuSO$_4$, 10 µM, 2 hours treatment) Merck, #1027910250) 24 were administered via the egg water. After copper sulphate treatment the embryos were briefly washed three times with egg water and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS.
Infection experiments

*Mycobacterium marinum* infections were performed using the Mma20 strain expressing mCherry in a pSMT3 vector \(^{25}\) or the M strain expressing GFP in a pMSP12 vector \(^{26}\). *M. marinum* was heat-killed by incubation in 80°C for 20 min and plated during injections to control for bacterial growth. *S. typhimurium* infections were performed using the S. typhimurium strain SL1027 and its isogenic LPS Ra mutant derivative SF1592, carrying the DsRed expression vector pGMDs3 \(^{18}\), and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* infections were performed using strain O-47 \(^{27}\). Embryos were staged at 24 hpf by morphological criteria and manually dechorionated. Bacteria were prepared and injected into the blood circulation at 28 hpf, and PBS or 2% PVP was injected as a control \(^{28}\). The same conditions were used for injection of 1 nl of LPS from *S. typhimurium* dissolved in PBS (10 μg/ml, Sigma, #L6511). For *S. typhimurium* plating assays, groups of 5 infected embryos (150 cfu) were homogenised using a Bullet blender (Next advance) for 3 minutes, speed 4 with 5 1.0 mm Zirconium Oxide beads, density is 5.5g/cc and a dilutions series was plated at 1 and 16 hpi.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting

Macrophages and neutrophils were isolated by FACS from 6 dpf *Tg(mpeg1:mCherry-F) UMSF001* \(^{22}\) and *Tg(mpx:egfp)i114* \(^{21}\) zebrafish larvae, respectively, and the RNA was extracted as described \(^{13}\).

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and expression analyses

Whole embryo RNA isolation, removal of residual genomic DNA, cDNA synthesis and quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR) analysis was performed as described in \(^{29}\). qPCR results were analysed using the ΔΔCt method. All reactions were performed as technical duplicates and data were normalised to the expression of peptidylprolyl isomerase A like (ppial) for whole embryo samples and to (eif4) for cells isolated by FACS. Primer sequences for ppial and mpeg1 are described in \(^{13}\), primer sequences for mmp9 and il1b are described in \(^{29}\), primer sequences for mpeg1.2 were: FW: 5’TCAGGCCAATGTGAACGACA3’; REV: 5’GGTGACTCAGGAGTGCATGT3’, and primer sequences for eif4a1b were: FW: 5’TTCCAGAAACTCAGTACTAGCATACA3’; REV: 5’GTGACATCCAACACCTCTGC3’. Knockdown of mpeg1 with both morpholinos was verified by qPCR and to validate the knockdown of mpeg1.2 with both morpholinos, the SuperScript® One-Step RT-PCR System (Invitrogen, #10928-034) was used with 50ng DNase treated RNA template. RT-PCR primers for mpeg1.2 Mo1 knockdown verification were FW: 5’CTCGCGAATTTAGACGTGGG3’; REV: 5’GACGCTGTCGTTTACATGGC3’, and for Mo2 were FW: as Mo1 primer; REV: 5’TAATGCTCGGATGCAGA3’. The following adjustments were made to the PCR settings: 59.4°C for 30 seconds for the annealing step of the PCR amplification with 40 cycles.

RNA for deep sequencing analysis was isolated using QIAzol lysis reagent and purified
using the Rneasy MinElute Cleanup kit (QIAGEN Benelux B.V., Venlo, Netherlands). RNA sequencing was performed as previously described. Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database accession for RNASeq: GSE49188 and GSE54885.

**Immunohistochemistry and enzyme histochemistry**

For simultaneous identification of *S. typhimurium*, neutrophils and macrophages a combination of immuno-labelling and enzymatic staining was used. First a neutrophil specific staining for myeloperoxidase (mpx) activity was performed. This was achieved by fixing embryos in 4% PFA in 4 °C overnight, washing three times briefly in PBSTx (PBS with 0.05% Triton X100 (Sigma Aldrich)), washing briefly in amp diluent from the TSA Plus Fluorescein System kit (Perkin Elmer) and incubating in 1:50 of Fluorescein in amp diluent at 28 °C for 10 minutes. The embryos were then washed again three times in PBSTx and fixed in 4% PFA for 20 minutes at room temperature. Next, the embryos were immuno-labelled with an anti-Salmonella polyclonal antibody with an Alexa 568-conjugated secondary antibody as described. Finally, total leukocytes were immuno-labelled with an L-plastin antibody and Alexa 633-conjugated secondary antibody. Macrophages were identified as L-plastin-positive, mpx-negative cells.

**Image analysis**

Fluorescence images were taken with a Leica MZ16FA stereo fluorescence microscope equipped with a DFC420C digital colour camera. In *M. marinum* infection experiments, bacterial pixel counts were obtained with stereo fluorescence images and analysed using dedicated pixel quantification software. Each image contains two channels: bright field and fluorescence. Image recognition software identifies the location of each embryo (each image can contain up to 3 embryos) and links the outline and orientation per embryo in the bright field channel to the fluorescence channel. Each fluorescent pixel is quantified and allocated to a location in the embryo (background is determined by a non-infected control) and the software provides the value of total amount of fluorescent pixels per embryo. This is done for all groups of embryos and the results are written to a comma-separated file for statistical analysis. Leukocyte counts were performed on a Zeiss AxioObserver confocal microscope with an EC PlnN 10x 0.3 NA objective and all other confocal images were taken on a Leica TCS SPE with HCX APO 40x 0.8 NA objective. Maximum intensity projections of merged channel confocal images were made in Fiji.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data (mean ± SEM) were analysed (Prism 5.0, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) using unpaired, two-tailed t-tests for comparisons between two groups and one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s Multiple Comparison method as a post-hoc test for other data (*, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001). Statistical significance in survival curves was determined by a logrank test.
Results

The zebrafish genome contains a conserved family of \textit{mpeg1} genes

The zebrafish \textit{mpeg1} gene (Chromosome 8: ENSDARG00000055290) was previously described as a macrophage-specific marker \textsuperscript{13,14}. Inspection of the zebrafish reference genome sequence showed that two paralogues of the \textit{mpeg1} gene are present on the same chromosome (fig. 1A). In consultation with the zebrafish nomenclature committee (ZFIN) the three \textit{mpeg1} genes have been named \textit{mpeg1.1} (hereafter referred to as \textit{mpeg1} for consistency with previous publications and nomenclature of derived transgenic lines), \textit{mpeg1.2} (Chromosome 8: ENSDARG00000043093), and \textit{mpeg1.3} (Chromosome 8: ENSDARG00000078569). All three of the predicted Mpeg1 proteins contain conserved regions also found in mouse and human MPEG1, including a signal peptide, a Membrane Attack Complex/Perforin (MACPF) domain, and a transmembrane region (fig. 1B). Amino acid alignment of Mpeg1 and Mpeg1.2 reveals that these domains are located in the same positions (supplementary fig. 1). The three zebrafish Mpeg1 isoforms show around 80-90% amino acid similarity (with ca. 60-70% identity) among each other and their similarity with murine and human MPEG1 (overall and within the MACPF domain) is around 70-80% (with between 43 and 50% identity) (supplementary table 1A, B).

The \textit{mpeg1} promoter region has been successfully used to drive macrophage-specific expression in zebrafish transgenic reporter lines \textsuperscript{14,22}. We determined RNAseq profiles of macrophages isolated from 5 day old \textit{Tg(mpeg1:mCherry-F)\textsuperscript{UMSF001}} zebrafish larvae by FACS and found expression of both \textit{mpeg1} and \textit{mpeg1.2} to be enriched in the fluorescent macrophage cell fraction compared to the fluorescent-negative background (data not shown). The macrophage-specific expression of these two genes was confirmed by qPCR analysis on the same cell fractions and their expression was not enriched in neutrophils sorted from \textit{Tg(mphe:egfp)\textsuperscript{i114}} \textsuperscript{21} transgenic larvae (fig. 1C+D). Expression of \textit{mpeg1.3} was not observed in any of our RNAseq deep sequencing data sets of larval leukocyte populations or of different bacterial infection experiments in various embryonic, larval and adult stages, and it is well possible that this is a pseudogene. Therefore, we focussed this study on \textit{mpeg1} and \textit{mpeg1.2}.

\textbf{Mpeg1 expressing macrophages participate in the formation of granuloma-like structures}

The development of the \textit{mpeg1}-driven transgenic lines has opened a new window of opportunity for detailed visualisation of host-pathogen interactions. We have previously shown that \textit{mpeg1}-expressing macrophages are capable of phagocytosing both \textit{S. typhimurium} and \textit{M. marinum} bacteria \textsuperscript{28}. The hallmark of infection with pathogenic mycobacteria is the clustering of infected macrophages into granuloma-like aggregates \textsuperscript{17}. We used the \textit{Tg(mpeg1:mCherry-F)\textsuperscript{UMSF001}} line to study the behaviour
Figure 1. Zebrafish *mpeg1* genes encode proteins with conserved transmembrane and Membrane Attack Complex/Perforin domains and are expressed in macrophages. (A) Schematic representation of the region containing the genes *mpeg1*, *mpeg1.2* and *mpeg1.3* on zebrafish chromosome 8 (Chr 8). Coding direction of the genes is indicated by the pointed end and the exact location is indicated in digits below the gene. (B) Comparison of the predicted Mpeg1, Mpeg1.2, and Mpeg1.3 protein structures with murine and human MPEG1. The Signal Peptide (SP), Membrane Attack Complex/Perforin (MACPF), and Transmembrane Region (TR) are conserved domains detected by SMART analysis. (C-D) Expression of zebrafish *mpeg1* and *mpeg1.2* in (C) macrophages and (D) neutrophils. qPCR analysis was performed on RNA from fluorescence-positive cell fractions obtained by cell sorting of 6 dpf larvae of transgenic reporter lines for macrophages (*mpeg1*: *Tg(mpeg1:mCherry-F)*) and neutrophils (*mpx*: *Tg(mpx:EGFP)*). Expression was compared against the fluorescence-negative cell fraction of each transgenic line. Graphs show data combined of three biological replicates ((C) log2 scale).
of mpeg1-expressing macrophages during infection with GFP-expressing *M. marinum*. Imaging the same site of infection over a 0-5 days post infection (dpi) time course clearly showed that mpeg1-positive macrophages contribute to the formation of the tight granuloma-like structure (fig. 2). At 8 hours post infection (hpi) the majority of phagocytosed *M. marinum* bacteria were contained within mpeg1-positive cells. By 3 dpi mpeg1-positive infected cells had formed a compact centre of a growing granuloma-like aggregate, to which many uninfected mpeg1-macrophages were attracted. The *M. marinum*-containing mpeg1-positive cells showed a rounded morphology at this stage, while mpeg1-positive cells attracted to the border of granulomas showed a branched morphology similar to that of mpeg1-positive cells in uninfected embryos. At 4 dpi the granuloma structures had become more compact. At 5 dpi, cording of *M. marinum* was observed in granulomas indicative of extracellular growth, while less mCherry-fluorescent macrophages were present compared to 4 dpi, consistent with the occurrence of infected macrophage cell death at this stage. The remaining mCherry-fluorescent cells all showed a rounded morphology, markedly different from the extensively branched morphology of mCherry-fluorescent macrophages in uninfected embryos (fig. 2A). While these data do not exclude the possible presence of other macrophage subpopulations, it shows that the majority of macrophages contributing to granuloma formation can be traced using the mpeg1 marker.

**Opposite regulation of mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 during infection**

Analysis of the *Tg(mpeg1:mCherry-F)* TUMSR001 reporter line indicated that the mpeg1 promoter version driving the expression of mCherry in this transgenic line is active over the entire time course of *M. marinum* infection, from the 1 day old embryo up to the larval stage. However, in *S. typhimurium* infection of embryos we had previously observed that mpeg1 expression is down-regulated. These observations prompted us to further investigate the regulation of mpeg1 expression during different infections and compare this with the expression of the closely related mpeg1.2 gene. First, we examined mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 expression by qPCR during a time course of *M. marinum* infection ranging from 2 hpi up to 5 dpi (fig. 3A). Within several hours after the intravenous injection of *M. marinum*, the expression level of mpeg1 declined as compared to the mock-injected controls and this resulted in a significant down-regulation of approximately 3-fold at 8 hpi (fig. 3B). A similar trend, although not significant, was observed at 8 hpi in embryos infected with the attenuated *M. marinum* ΔRD1 strain (supplementary fig. 2A) as well as in embryos infected with heat-killed *M. marinum* (supplementary fig. 2B). This indicates that the mpeg1 down-regulation response results from the presence of *M. marinum* and is independent of the virulence or viability of the bacteria. Between 1 to 3 dpi, the level of expression returned to control levels, but at 4 dpi a minor but significant down-regulation was observed again (fig. 3C). In contrast, expression of mpeg1.2 was unaffected up to 3 dpi and was significantly up-regulated at 4 and 5 dpi (fig. 3C).
Next, we investigated if mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 were also differentially regulated during S. typhimurium infection. Intravenous S. typhimurium infection in zebrafish embryos is acute and lethal within one day. We have previously reported a robust induction of pro-inflammatory gene expression at 8 hpi and therefore investigated mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 expression at this time point. While mpeg1 showed approximately 4-fold down-regulation, mpeg1.2 was up-regulated more than 10-fold (fig. 3D). The uninfected and S. typhimurium infected embryos at 8 hpi contained similar numbers.

Figure 2. Tg(mpeg1)-positive macrophages are involved in formation of M. marinum granuloma-like aggregates. GFP-expressing M. marinum M-strain (150 cfu) bacterial infection in Tg(mpeg1:mCherry-F) zebrafish embryos. Confocal z-stack projections showing identical locations in the posterior region of the caudal haematopoietic tissue in (A) an uninfected embryo and (B) an infected embryo developing a granuloma-like aggregate (same infection site followed over time). Images were taken at 8 hours post injection (hpi), 1 dpi, 2 dpi (not shown), 3 dpi, 4 dpi and 5 dpi. Arrowheads indicate cording of M. marinum. Scale bar: 20 μm.
of macrophages (uninfected $54 \pm 4.5$ s.e.m., $n=16$ embryos; infected $51 \pm 3.6$ s.e.m., $n = 15$ embryos). Furthermore, as previously shown, expression of other markers for zebrafish embryonic macrophages, including $csf1r$, $cxc3.2$, and $mfap4$, is unchanged at this time point of infection, indicating the specificity of the alterations in $mpeg1$ and $mpeg1.2$ expression. Infection with an attenuated lipopolysaccharide (LPS) mutant of $S. typhimurium$ (Ra) also led to significant down-regulation of $mpeg1$, but $mpeg1.2$ showed only a slight and non-significant up-regulation (supplementary fig. 2C). We also observed down-regulation of $mpeg1$ and up-regulation of $mpeg1.2$ in infection models for $Staphylococcus epidermidis$ (supplementary fig. 2D-E), indicating that the differential regulation of these genes is a more general phenomenon in response to bacterial infections.

The opposite regulation of $mpeg1$ and $mpeg1.2$ raised the question if these two genes might influence each other’s expression levels. To address this question we used the $S. typhimurium$ infection model and knocked down $mpeg1$ or $mpeg1.2$ by injecting morpholinos specific for each gene (supplementary fig. 3A-D). When $mpeg1$ was knocked down, the expression of $mpeg1.2$ was up-regulated to a lower extent than in the control infected group (fig. 3E). In contrast, when $mpeg1.2$ was knocked down the down-regulation of $mpeg1$ was unchanged during $S. typhimurium$ infection (fig. 3F). Since the $mpeg1$ morpholino sequence did not overlap with the sequence of $mpeg1.2$, the $mpeg1$ knockdown effect on $mpeg1.2$ gene expression is unlikely to be due to a cross-reaction between the $mpeg1$ morpholino and the $mpeg1.2$ mRNA. Furthermore, we excluded that morpholino treatments might have affected macrophage or neutrophil numbers (supplementary fig. 3E). Therefore, our results suggest that the infection-dependent up-regulation of $mpeg1.2$ is partially dependent on the presence of a functional Mpeg1.

The innate immune response is initiated by detection of microbes through pattern recognition receptors, including Toll-like receptors (TLRs), which signal via both Myd88-dependent and Myd88-independent pathways. Our previous analysis of a Myd88-deficient zebrafish mutant showed that a major part of the innate immune response of zebrafish embryos to bacterial infections is dependent on this central TLR signalling adaptor. To determine whether the regulation of $mpeg1$ and $mpeg1.2$ in response to infection is Myd88–dependent, we injected $S. typhimurium$ into $myd88$ mutants and their wildtype siblings. Down-regulation of $mpeg1$ at 8 hpi was observed in $myd88$ mutants similar to the wild type (fig. 4A). In contrast, $mpeg1.2$ up-regulation was reduced to approximately 30% of the level observed for wild type infected embryos (fig. 4B). The TLR ligand LPS was sufficient to induce $mpeg1.2$ up-regulation (fig. 4C), but did not lead to $mpeg1$ down-regulation (data not shown). LPS-mediated up-regulation of $mpeg1.2$ was abolished by $myd88$ mutation or by inhibition of transcription factor NFkB, which functions downstream of TLR-Myd88 signalling (fig. 4C). In conclusion, up-regulation of $mpeg1.2$ expression is partly dependent on MyD88-NFkB signalling, while down-regulation of $mpeg1$ appears to be mediated by a Myd88-independent mechanism.
Figure 3. *mpeg1* is down-regulated and *mpeg1.2* is up-regulated upon bacterial infections. (A-C) *mpeg1* and *mpeg1.2* expression during *M. marinum* infection. AB/TL embryos were injected with *M. marinum* Mma20 bacteria (200 cfu) or 2% PVP as a mock control. Expression of *mpeg1* (round data points) and *mpeg1.2* (square data points) was analysed by qPCR at 2, 4, 6 and 8 hpi and at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 dpi. Light colouring of the data point in A indicates that expression in infected embryos was significantly different from uninfected controls, and in B and C the full data sets are shown for the time point indicated with boxes in A. (D) *mpeg1* and *mpeg1.2* expression in response to *S. typhimurium* SL1027 infection. AB/TL embryos were injected with 200 cfu of bacteria or mock injected with PBS, and qPCR was performed at 8 hpi. (E, F) Effect of *mpeg1* and *mpeg1.2* morpholino knockdown on each other’s gene expression. AB/TL embryos were injected with (F) *mpeg1* or (G) *mpeg1.2* morpholino and subsequently infected with *S. typhimurium* SL1027 as in D. Note that *mpeg1* knockdown had a reducing effect on the up-regulation of *mpeg1.2* expression by *S. typhimurium* infection, while *mpeg1.2* knockdown did not affect the infection-dependent down-regulation of *mpeg1*. Verification of the knockdown effects is shown in supplementary fig. 4A-D. qPCR results are presented as relative ratios of three biological replicates of the infected groups compared to the relevant mock injected control groups (n=18 per group).
Knockdown of mpeg1 leads to impaired control of M. marinum infection

To study the function of Mpeg1 and Mpeg1.2 during bacterial infection we used splice morpholinos targeting each gene. First, we investigated the effect of knocking down mpeg1 on M. marinum infection. The morphants and their controls were injected with mCherry-expressing M. marinum at 28 hpf and the bacterial fluorescent pixels per embryo were analysed at 4 dpi, when granuloma-like aggregates are formed (fig. 2). mpeg1 morphants showed higher levels of infection with M. marinum compared to their controls (fig. 5A+C). The higher bacterial load in the mpeg1 morphants was phenocopied with a second morpholino (fig. 5B+D). M. marinum infection experiments were terminated at 5 dpi before larvae reached the free-feeding stage and mpeg1 morphants did not die from the increased bacterial load during this period. We did not expect to see an effect on bacterial load in the mpeg1.2 morphants since the expression of this gene is barely detectable during the first days of development and only becomes...
induced at 4 dpi. As expected, *mpeg1.2* knockdown with two different morpholinos did not have an effect on *M. marinum* infection (fig. 5E-H). While *mpeg1.2* may be important at later larval stages that cannot be analysed by morpholino knockdown, we conclude that only Mpeg1 plays an important role in controlling the early pathogenesis of *M. marinum* infection.

The observation that embryos deficient in Mpeg1 develop increased infection is consistent with the expected anti-bacterial function of Mpeg1 proteins as members of the MACPF superfamily. We next investigated if, other than such a direct anti-bacterial role, Mpeg1 might be important for macrophage functions such as phagocytosis or migration. A phagocytosis assay was performed using both morpholinos targeting *mpeg1*. The morphants and their controls were injected with 180 cfu of mCherry-expressing Mma20 at 30 hpf and these embryos were fixed at the time points 5, 10, 20, 30 and 40 minutes post infection (mpi). Leukocytes were immuno-labelled with L-plastin antibody and Alexa488-conjugated secondary antibody and all intracellular and extracellular Mma20 were counted over the yolk sac of each embryo to determine the percentage of phagocytosis. The yolk sac is an ideal location for analysing phagocytosis due to the superficial position of the blood circulation, which enables easy imaging, and this location is distant from the injection site, thereby minimizing possible wounding effects on the behaviour of macrophages. We observed normal levels of phagocytosis in both *mpeg1* morphants at all stages post infection (fig. 6A), demonstrating that Mpeg1 does not play a role in the phagocytosis of *M. marinum*. Since inflammation plays an important role in *M. marinum*-granuloma environment, we also investigated if *mpeg1* deficiency might have a general effect on leukocyte recruitment. We therefore performed the chemically induced inflammation (ChIN) assay on *mpeg1* morphants and their controls. The copper-induced damage of neuromast hair cells in this assay was capable of attracting both macrophages and neutrophils in the *mpeg1* morphants similar as in the control group (fig. 6B). In summary, Mpeg1 does not affect the basal response of macrophages to infection, such as phagocytosis of *M. marinum*, or migration of leukocytes towards local inflammation sites.

We next sought out to identify whether the *mpeg1* morphants have a differently regulated immune response to *M. marinum*. To this end, we subjected pools of approximately 30 infected and uninfected *mpeg1* morphants to RNA sequencing analysis at 4 dpi and compared the infection response with that of embryos treated with control morpholino. We focused this comparison on a preselected set of 33 genes that showed robust and reproducible up-regulation by *M. marinum* infection at 4 dpi in four independent experiments (supplementary table 2). Approximately one third of the genes in this set, including pro-inflammatory markers such as *il1b*, *mmp9*, and *mmp13a*, showed a similar level of *M. marinum*-induced up-regulation in *mpeg1* morphants as in control embryos (fig. 7A). Other genes showed approximately 2-3-fold higher up-regulation in the *mpeg1* morphant group, including *hamp2*, *hpx*, *steap4*, and genes for a non-coding RNA (*si:dkey-97i18.5*) or uncharacterised proteins.
Figure 5. mpeg1 knockdown impairs control of M. marinum infection. AB/TL embryos were injected with two different splice blocking morpholinos against (A-D) mpeg1 or (E-H) mpeg1.2 or with control morpholino, subsequently injected with mCherry-expressing M. marinum Mma20 strain and infected embryos were imaged at 4 dpi. (A, B, E, F) Bacterial burden was quantified by determining the number of fluorescent bacterial pixels with dedicated software and (C, D, G, H) representative stereo fluorescent images are shown below the graph of each experiment. Graphs show one representative result of five (A) or three (B, E, and F) repeated independent experiments. Each data point represents an individual embryo.
Figure 6. mpeg1 does not play a role in phagocytosis of *M. marinum* or in leukocyte migration towards local inflammation. (A) Quantification of *M. marinum* phagocytosis. mpeg1 morphants and their controls were injected with mCherry-expressing *M. marinum* Mma20 strain (180 cfu), fixed at 5, 10, 20, 30 and 40 mpi, and stained with L-plastin Ab to label leukocytes. Intra- and extra-cellular bacteria were counted over the yolk sac and results are presented as percentage of phagocytosed Mma20. (B) Representative images of untreated and copper sulphate treated control and mpeg1 morphant 3 dpf embryos. Embryos were immuno-labelled with Ab against the general leukocyte marker L-plastin (red signal) in combination with a neutrophil-specific Mpx TSA-staining (green signal). White arrows indicate accumulation of leukocytes at the local inflammation sites at the neuromasts.
Additionally there was a group of genes with notably lower expression in infected mpeg1 morphants (less than 28% of the expression level in infected control embryos), which included cyp24a1, hmxox1, igfbp1a, slc25a38a, and another non-coding RNA gene (si:ch211-243g18.3). The effect of mpeg1 knockdown on the M. marinum-induced gene expression profile was markedly different from the effects of two other genes that we analysed for comparison: ptpn6 and myd88. Knockdown of ptpn6, previously shown to function as a negative regulator of the innate immune response, led to a hyper-induction of approximately two third of the genes in the same gene set, including the inflammatory markers il1b, mmp9, and mmp13a (fig. 7B). In contrast, these inflammatory markers and the majority of other genes in the gene set showed a strongly reduced expression in M. marinum-infected myd88 mutants (fig. 7C), consistent with the function of MyD88 protein as an adaptor in Toll-like and Interleukin receptor signalling. We have previously shown that both the hyper-induced immune response in ptpn6 morphants and the immunodeficiency of myd88 mutants are associated with an increased susceptibility to M. marinum. Since the mpeg1 knockdown effect on the M. marinum-dependent gene set is clearly different from both phenotypes, there is no indication that a general hyper-induction of the immune response or a general immunodeficiency could be the underlying cause of the increased bacterial burden under mpeg1 knockdown conditions.

Mpeg1 and Mpeg1.2 both function in controlling S. typhimurium infection

As described above, the more acute phenotype of S. typhimurium infection led to rapid up-regulation of mpeg1.2 gene expression, while mpeg1 was simultaneously down-regulated (fig. 4A-B). To determine whether Mpeg1 and Mpeg1.2 play an anti-bacterial role during this acute infection, we injected S. typhimurium in mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 morphants at 28 hpf and assessed bacterial burden by cfu counts. Plating embryos for cfu counts showed that at 1 hpi all groups started with equal levels of S. typhimurium and that at 16 hpi morphants of mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 both had approximately 6-fold higher cfu counts than the control group (fig. 8A). Increased cfu counts were also observed with a second set of morpholinos for mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 (fig. 8B). This striking difference in the cfu counts indicates that both genes play a role in controlling the S. typhimurium infection in zebrafish embryos.

Next, we aimed to determine whether the higher cfu numbers in the morphants was associated with a decreased survival rate. Morphants of mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 and control embryos were injected with S. typhimurium, screened for equal level of infection under a stereo fluorescence microscope directly after injection, and monitored for heart beat from 14 hpi onwards. mpeg1.2 deficiency caused a similar or decreased survival time compared to the control group (fig. 8C-D) and unexpectedly, mpeg1 morphants survived significantly longer than the control embryos (fig. 8C-D), despite that these morphants showed increased cfu counts similar to mpeg1.2 morphants. This opposing effect on survival could not be attributed to a difference in intracellular or extracellular location of S. typhimurium between the two morphants because both
Figure 7. Effect of mpeg1 knockdown on the innate immune response during M. marinum infection in comparison with known effects of ptpn6 and myd88 deficiencies. Graphs show the effects of (A) mpeg1 mo1 knockdown, (B) ptpn6 morpholino knockdown and (C) myd88 mutation on a set of genes that showed reproducible induction by M. marinum infection in control embryos. The expression level of these genes under conditions of mpeg1, ptpn6 or myd88 deficiency is expressed as the percentage of the expression level in the corresponding control. Results are based on RNAseq analysis of pools of 30 infected and 30 uninfected embryos for each group. Embryos were injected with M. marinum Mma20 (300 cfu) or mock injected with 2% PVP, and RNAseq analysis was performed at 4 dpi.

Figure 8. mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 knockdown increase bacterial burden and pro-inflammatory gene expression, but have opposite effects on host survival during S. typhimurium infection. (A-B) Quantification of bacterial burden. mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 morphants (A) morpholinos 1 and (B) morpholinos 2) and control embryos were injected with Ds-Red-expressing S. typhimurium SL1027 bacteria (200 cfu) and PBS as mock control. Embryos were homogenised and plated at 1 and 16 hpi to determine S. typhimurium cfu counts (n=5 per group, 2 biological replicates, log2 scale). (C-D) Survival rates. The percentage of survival of infected mpeg1 morphants, mpeg1.2 morphants ((C) morpholinos 1 and (D) morpholinos 2) and control embryos was determined over a time course of 32 hpi. DsRed-expressing S. typhimurium SL1027 bacteria were injected into the blood circulation (150 cfu). Survival curves of mpeg1 morphants (red line), mpeg1.2 morphants (green line) and control embryos (blue line) are shown of one representative experiment of three individual experiments. (E) Quantification of intracellular and extracellular S. typhimurium over the Duct of Cuvier in control embryos, mpeg1 morphants and mpeg1.2 morphants at 4 and 8 hpi (representative confocal images in supplementary fig.4). Statistical significance is indicated for intracellular S. typhimurium (red letters) and extracellular S. typhimurium (blue letters) (calculated from confocal images of n=6-8 embryos per group).
Figure 8 continued: (F) Pro-inflammatory gene expression of il1b under the same experimental conditions as in A-B was analysed by qPCR at 16 hpi (n=15 per group, pooled per replicate, 3 biological replicates, log2 scale). (G) A schematic representation of mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 regulation and their function.
mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 morphants showed a significantly higher number of extracellular S. typhimurium compared to the control embryos at 8 hpi (fig. 8E and supplementary fig. 4). Furthermore, the opposing survival effect could also not be attributed to a difference in expression of the major S. typhimurium responsive pro-inflammatory genes, il1b (fig. 8F) and mmp9 (supplementary fig. 5), which showed clear induction in mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 morphants. While the increased survival rate of mpeg1 morphants remains unexplained, our results suggest that the up-regulation of mpeg1.2 with concomitant down-regulation of mpeg1 aids the host in combatting S. typhimurium infection (fig. 8G).

Discussion

The perforins of cytotoxic T-cells and natural killer cells and the membrane attack complex proteins of the complement system share the ability to form pores in membranes 1, 2. While the roles of these proteins in host defence are well understood, the function of the structurally related MPEG1/Perforin-2 family in macrophages remains to be fully elucidated 3, 9. In zebrafish an mpeg1-expressing population of macrophages develops during the first day of embryogenesis 13. The availability of well-established infection models for zebrafish embryos enabled us to study the function of mpeg1 in innate host defence. We show that mpeg1 is down-regulated during infection in a Myd88-independent manner, while a close homolog, mpeg1.2, is up-regulated partially by a Myd88-NFκB-dependent mechanism. Notwithstanding this opposite regulation pattern, we found that both mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 are important for controlling infections with intracellular bacterial pathogens.

The close chromosomal location of the different mpeg1 genes in zebrafish suggests that these are the result of recent gene duplication events, after which mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 appear to have developed specialised functions and mpeg1.3 may have become a pseudogene. A similar situation is found in mice and other rodents, where a gene named pore forming protein-like (Pfpl) is most likely paralogous to Mpeg1, since it is located in close vicinity and encodes a protein with 66% amino acid identity. Whereas Mpeg1 is inducible by infection both in macrophages and other cell types 11, 12 the expression pattern of Pfpl in trophoblasts indicates a developmental function of this gene 35 and EST profiles in the NCBI database provide no indication for significant expression of Pfpl in cells or organs related to the immune system. EST profiles of the single MPEG1 gene in human support its possible function in the immune system, but to the best of our knowledge, functional studies of the human gene have not yet been reported. Studies of murine Mpeg1 in cultured fibroblasts, macrophages, and HeLa cells led to the hypothesis that upon bacterial infection Mpeg1/Perforin-2 vesicles traffic to and fuse with bacteria-containing compartments, where attack and pore formation on the bacterial surface is subsequently initiated 3, 11, 12. To further investigate the correlation of MPEG1 with infectious diseases, we inspected curated microarray datasets in the NextBio database (http://www.nextbio.com/b/nextbioCorp.nb). This analysis shows
that MPEG1 is frequently regulated during infection, both in studies using cultured macrophages and in studies using mouse infection models. For example, MPEG1 shows significant down-regulation in human macrophages exposed to *Staphylococcus aureus* and in human monocytes infected with *Francisella tularensis*. In contrast, up-regulation has been observed in for example the lungs of mice infected with these pathogens. Thus, in human and mouse different infection conditions are associated either with up- or down-regulation of MPEG1, while in zebrafish mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 are regulated in opposite directions under the same infection conditions.

Using the zebrafish-*M. marinum* infection model we found that knockdown of mpeg1 results in increased bacterial burden, consistent with the proposed bactericidal function of Mpeg1 as a pore forming molecule. However, there might be a broader effect as we observed an altered immune response expression signature in infected mpeg1 morphants that might also have an impact on the ability to control infection. The function of mpeg1.2, which has extremely low basal expression level, could only be assessed using an *S. typhimurium* infection model, where this gene is rapidly up-regulated. In this model, knockdown of mpeg1 attenuated the up-regulation of mpeg1.2, indicating a broader effect of mpeg1 on the innate immune response consistent with the results of *M. marinum* infection. Knockdown of either mpeg1 or the inducible mpeg1.2 gene led to increased bacterial burden of *S. typhimurium* infection, providing in vivo support for the anti-bacterial function of both genes. Due to toxicity effects of combining the morpholinos we were unable to investigate possible synergism between the anti-bacterial functions of mpeg1 and mpeg1.2. However, a remarkable difference between the separate knockdown of mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 was observed in that the survival time of mpeg1 morphants was prolonged despite the increase in cfu numbers. This suggests that the survival advantage of mpeg1 morphants could be due to an altered immune response and that, during the normal course of *S. typhimurium* infection, embryos might die from host damaging effects of the immune response rather than as a direct effect of the bacterial load. That *S. typhimurium*-infected zebrafish embryos die primarily from a host damaging immune response is supported by our previous study of the regulatory phosphatase Ptpn6. Deficiency in Ptpn6 leads to a decreased survival rate during *S. typhimurium* infection, which correlates with a hyper-induced expression of many pro-inflammatory genes. Two of the main pro-inflammatory marker genes, *il1b* and *mmp9*, were highly up-regulated in both mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 morphants, similar to infected control embryos. A difference in the expression of these marker genes therefore does not explain the survival advantage of mpeg1 morphants and the underlying cause of this effect currently remains unknown. Together, this first study of the MPEG1/Perforin-2 family in a whole organism model enables us to present a scheme that summarises the regulatory mechanisms of both mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 and links this to the biological effects of the two genes (fig. 8G). The specialised function of the two mpeg1 paralogues in zebrafish indicated by our morpholino knockdown study can be seen as a motivation to develop zebrafish or mouse knockout models for further investigation of the mechanisms by which Mpeg1/Perforin-2 proteins exert their immunological function.
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Supplementary table 1. Homology between zebrafish Mpeg1 proteins, murine MPEG1 and human MPEG1. Homology for (A) whole protein sequences and (B) MACPF domains was determined between zebrafish Mpeg1 (z-Mpeg1, NP_997902.1), Mpeg1.2 (z-Mpeg1.2, ENSDARP00000063271), Mpeg1.3 (z-Mpeg1.3, ENSDARP00000098563), murine MPEG1 (m-MPEG1, NP_034951.1) and human MPEG1 (h-MPEG1, NP_001034485.1). Amino acid identity and similarity percentages were determined using UniProt CLUSTAL O(1.2.0) multiple sequence alignment.

A  Protein homology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>z-Mpeg1</th>
<th>z-Mpeg1.2</th>
<th>z-Mpeg1.3</th>
<th>m-MPEG1</th>
<th>h-MPEG1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identical</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-Mpeg1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-Mpeg1.2</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-Mpeg1.3</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-MPEG1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h-MPEG1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B  MACPF domain homology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>z-Mpeg1</th>
<th>z-Mpeg1.2</th>
<th>z-Mpeg1.3</th>
<th>m-MPEG1</th>
<th>h-MPEG1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identical</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-Mpeg1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-Mpeg1.2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-Mpeg1.3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-MPEG1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h-MPEG1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplementary figure 1. Mpeg1 and Mpeg1.2 protein alignment.** The Signal Peptide (SP), Membrane Attack Complex/Perforin (MACPF), and Transmembrane Region (TR) are located in the same position in Mpeg1 and Mpeg1.2.
Supplementary figure 2. Expression analysis of mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 during different bacterial infections. Expression at 8 hpi following intravenous infection with (A) M. marinum ΔRD1 (800 cfu), (B) heat-killed M. marinum (300 cfu), (C) S. typhimurium LPS mutant strain Ra (200 cfu), and (D) Staphylococcus epidermidis strain O-47 (800 cfu). (E) Expression at 5 dpi following yolk infection at 2 hpf with Staphylococcus epidermidis strain O-47 (20 cfu). Expression levels of mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 were determined by qPCR. All graphs show data combined from three biological replicates (n=20 per group, pooled per replicate).
Supplementary figure 3. Verification of mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 knockdown. (A-B) Knockdown of mpeg1. Expression of mpeg1 was determined by qPCR at 28 hpf after knockdown with (A) mpeg1 morpholino 1 (B) mpeg1 morpholino 2 and in embryos injected with control morpholino. Both forward and reverse qPCR primers target exon 2 of mpeg1. (C-D) Knockdown of mpeg1.2. Because of the low basal expression level of mpeg1.2, the knockdown effect on this gene was assessed under infection conditions (8 hpi). Expression of mpeg1.2 was determined by RT-PCR using RNA from embryos injected with (C) mpeg1.2 morpholino 1 (mo1) or control morpholino (c) (D) mpeg1.2 morpholino 2 (mo2) or control morpholino (c) and infected with S. typhimurium. Digits in C and D indicate smart ladder base pair size. For both mpeg1.2 morphants, the RT-PCR product (944 bp for mo1 and 569 bp in size for mo2) is disrupted upon morpholino injection. The RT-PCR forward primer for mpeg1.2 targets exon 1 and both RT-PCR reverse primers for mpeg1.2 target exon 2. (E) Normal leukocyte numbers in mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 morphants. mpeg1 and mpeg1.2 morphants and control embryos were fixed at 32 hpf and were stained with the neutrophil mpx-TSA staining and immuno-labelled with Ab against the general leukocyte marker L-plastin. Macrophage (L-plastin-positive, mpx negative) and neutrophil (L-plastin/mpx double positive) numbers were counted blinded in the tail (ns = not significant).
Supplementary figure 4. Representative images of the *S. typhimurium* infection process during *mpeg1* and *mpeg1.2* knockdown. *S. typhimurium* SL1027 bacteria (150 cfu) were injected into the caudal vein of AB/TL embryos. Embryos were fixed at 4 and 8 hpi and stained for mpx activity in neutrophils (green) followed by double antibody staining against *S. typhimurium* (red) and L-plastin (blue). Macrophages are identified as L-plastin-positive, mpx-negative cells.
**Supplementary figure 4 continued**: and no differences in macrophage numbers were observed between the different groups. Confocal z-stack projections show identical locations in the Duct of Cuvier in uninfected and infected control embryos, mpeg1 (mo1) morphants, and mpeg1.2 (mo1) morphants. Numbers of *S. typhimurium* bacteria contained within macrophages or extracellular were quantified from images of 6-8 embryos per group and data are shown in Fig. 8E.

**Supplementary figure 5.** Expression of *mmp9* during *S. typhimurium* infection of *mpeg1* and *mpeg1.2* knockdown embryos. (A-B) Gene expression of *mmp9* under the same experimental conditions as in fig. 8A-B were analysed by qPCR at 16 hpi (*n*=15 per group, pooled per replicate, 3 biological replicates, log2 scale).
List of 33 genes that consistently showed significant up-regulation during *M. marinum* infection in at least three out of four independent experiments (adjusted P-value <0.01). AB/TL embryos were injected with control morpholino, subsequently injected with mCherry-expressing *M. marinum* Mma20 strain, and infected embryos and uninfected controls were subjected to RNAseq analysis at 4 dpi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ensembl ID</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>log2 fold change</th>
<th>Adjusted P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG000000059741</td>
<td>alpk1</td>
<td>alpha-kinase 1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.8E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000007823</td>
<td>atf3</td>
<td>activating transcription factor 3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.4E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000057121</td>
<td>c7 (2 of 2)</td>
<td>complement component 7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000086869</td>
<td>ctab01040055.1</td>
<td>three-finger protein 5 LOC100003647 precursor</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1E-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000038025</td>
<td>cbx7a</td>
<td>chromobox homolog 7a</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.9E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000086654</td>
<td>ct027762.4</td>
<td>Uncharacterized protein</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.1E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000075045</td>
<td>cxcl-c1c</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand c1c</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.6E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG0000026039</td>
<td>cyp1a</td>
<td>cytochrome P450, family 1, subfamily A</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.3E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000070420</td>
<td>cyp24a1</td>
<td>cytochrome P450, family 24, subfamily A, polypeptide 1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.0E-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000092858</td>
<td>td36h06</td>
<td>non-coding RNA</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000053227</td>
<td>hamp2</td>
<td>hepcidin antimicrobial peptide 2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.3E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG0000027529</td>
<td>hmx1</td>
<td>heme oxygenase (decycling) 1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000051912</td>
<td>hpx (1 of 2)</td>
<td>hemopexin</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.2E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000093300</td>
<td>ifitm10</td>
<td>interferon induced transmembrane protein 10</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG0000014947</td>
<td>ifgbp1a</td>
<td>insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1a</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.1E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG0000005419</td>
<td>il1b</td>
<td>interleukin 1, beta</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.2E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000053131</td>
<td>irak3</td>
<td>interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.0E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000003523</td>
<td>itln3</td>
<td>interlectin 3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.6E-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000033227</td>
<td>lect2l</td>
<td>leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2 like</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.3E-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000090557</td>
<td>mfap4 (6 of 13)</td>
<td>microfibrillar-associated protein 4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.5E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000012395</td>
<td>mmp13a</td>
<td>matrix metalloprotease 13a</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.8E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000042816</td>
<td>mmp9</td>
<td>matrix metalloprotease 9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.6E-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000031136</td>
<td>mxml1</td>
<td>monoxygenase, DBH-like 1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.3E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000074322</td>
<td>olfn4 (3 of 8)</td>
<td>olfactomedin 4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.0E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000016188</td>
<td>sbno2 (2 of 3)</td>
<td>strawberry notch homolog 2 (Drosophila)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.6E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000092826</td>
<td>sич211-243g18.3</td>
<td>non-coding RNA</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.7E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000090352</td>
<td>sịdkey-9718.5</td>
<td>non-coding RNA</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000059805</td>
<td>sịc25as38a</td>
<td>solute carrier family 25, member 38a</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.2E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000055252</td>
<td>snap23 (2 of 2)</td>
<td>synaptosomal-associated protein, 23kDa</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.6E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000055901</td>
<td>steap4</td>
<td>steap family member 4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.8E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG0000007344</td>
<td>tcap</td>
<td>titin-cap (telethonin)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.2E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSDARG00000075261</td>
<td>timp2b</td>
<td>tissue inhibitor of metalloprotease 2b</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.9E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>