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I

For the reconstruction of the history of the aftermath of the Mali empire, that is, the period 1500-1800, oral traditions are the only source of information. The history of this period has been reconstructed by Person and Niane.² Their work has gained widespread acceptance.³ In this paper I will argue that these scholars made significant methodological errors—in particular, in interpreting chronology in genealogies, and their reading of stories about invasions and the seizure of power by younger brothers.⁴

My reading of the oral tradition raises questions about the nature of both sixteenth- and nineteenth-century Mande (that is the triangle Bamako-Kita-Kankan (see map), the region where the ‘Malinke’ live), and the medieval Mali empire, because I think that Mande royal genealogies have wrongly been considered to represent claims to the imperial throne of the Mali empire. In contrast, my reading of oral tradition suggests in retrospect that the organizational structure of the Mali empire may have been segmentary, and not centralized, ranking between segments under discussion, each group thereby creating a hierarchical image.

The conventional wisdom seems to be that the Mali empire collapsed/disintegrated in the period from 1500 and 1800. As Person put it:

Dans le triangle malinké, on ne trouvera plus au XIX siècle que des kafu, ces petites unités étatiques qui forment les cellules politiques fondamentales du monde mandingue. Certains d’entre eux savaient faire reconnaître leur hégémonie à leurs voisins, mais aucune structure politique permante n’existait à un niveau supérieur. Beaucoup d’entre eux, dont les plus puissants et les plus peuplés, seront alors commandées par des lignées Kééta qui se réclament avec quelque vraisemblance des empereurs du Mali médiéval.⁵

In order to reconstruct the history of the presumed aftermath of the Mali empire, Person made some choices in his material. He put the Sunjata epic aside, because “celle-ci constitue une section isolée,” and “sa fonction est culturelle et symbolique, elle n’a pas d’utilité immédiate.”⁶ Kafu traditions are also of no use, since these, “au contraire, ont pour fonction de justifier l’ordre politique existant au XIXe siècle.”⁷ Therefore Person used only material which is more recent than the Sunjata epic, which he considers to refer to the

thirteenth century. He admitted that this material is rather rare and not so fixed as the genealogies in the Sunjata epic, and that this poses serious problems, but otherwise he presented ambitious genealogical schemes designed to convince the reader that the disintegration of the Mali empire began at the end of the sixteenth century, after the reign of a semi-historical king whom he called “Nyaani Mansa Mamadu.”

My main argument is that Person erred in isolating the traditions “more recent than Sunjata.” I will argue that all traditions—that is, the Sunjata epic, more recent traditions, kafu traditions, and origin stories—are characterized by the same patterns. These characteristics make them on the one hand almost useless for the reconstruction of the past, but on the other hand show that nineteenth-century Mande society was much more unified than Person thought, although it had a segmentary character. I hope to show that western attitudes towards chronology are not valid in the analysis of Mande tradition, because the group with military power represents itself as a younger brother (within a genealogy) or a recent invader (in a foundation story).

The principal focus of this paper is the position of Kangaba. This famous town in Mande, a center of power in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, has been considered the seat of a Keita branch which seized power some centuries after the collapse of the Mali empire. I will argue that this opinion on Kangaba is merely the product of methodological mistakes. My emphasis on Kangaba springs from the fact that I carried out ten months’ fieldwork in the region of Kangaba between 1991 and 1994. The present paper, however, is based on published sources. All the genealogies mentioned in this article may be considered as widely accepted in the region of their origin.

Living in the village of Kela, as the guest of its famous griots, I recorded dozens of praise songs (fasaw) for Sunjata. In these praise songs the bards always proclaimed a list of names, a so-called genealogy, in which they placed their own patrons, the rulers of Kangaba, called the Keita Kanda-si (=the branch of Kanda), in the role of descendants of the youngest great-grandson of Sunjata. The list typically runs as follows:

He descends from six sorcerers:

Joma Nyama,
Joma Jinama,
Finadugu Koma,
and Kanku Bori,
and Mansa Kuru,
and Mansa Kanda.
In the beginning of my research I hardly took this genealogy seriously, since I considered the position of the youngest brother as not very prestigious in a society in which age is the main criterion governing succession. However, I had to wonder why the bards and their audience seemed to enjoy hearing the confirmation of this youngest brother’s position again and again.

II

Mande was one of the last parts of the Sudan to be occupied by European imperialist powers. Although the region was thought to have been the location of a once great empire, the first expedition to Mande took place only in 1879. Lt. Vallière, commander of this expedition, knew about the gold mines in Mande and the former Mali empire, as well as that its present-day “malinké” population was aggressive and hard to handle.

Vallière met a population which seemed divided by rivalry between ‘brothers,’ petty rulers who made war with each other. He sounded rather disappointed when he concluded the account of his expedition:

La nation manding manque absolument d’unité, et il faudrait, sans doute, remonter bien loin dans son histoire pour la trouver consituée avec un gouvernement reconnu par tout le pays. Chaque village vit séparément avec son chef particulier et, bien que ces chefs appartiennent tous aux deux ou trois familles les plus illustres, ils n’ont, malgré ces liens de parenté, aucune solidarité d’intérêts.

Vallière complained that the people had not learned anything of the recent attacks by the armies of El Haji Omar. He continued:

Les villages les plus voisins se jalousent et se détestent à l’égal de l’étranger. Si une alliance existe entre deux localités, elle est de courte durée, car il surgit toujours quelque différend qui, à défaut de tribunal suprême, se règle, comme nous l’avons dit déjà, à coups de fusil. Chose étrange! ces divisions profondes et l’isolement de chacun n’ont pas détruit l’ancien orgueil national....Singulier patriotisme qui consiste à exalter le pays et à exécrer ses compatriotes.

Vallière’s superior, Gallieni, had the same opinion, and concluded: “En somme, le grand empire malinké, dont l’existence a été signalée par M. le général Faidherbe, n’existe plus aujourd’hui.” In a later work Gallieni retained his first impression: “Les villages du Manding vivent chacun avec leur autonomie; ils n’ont entre eux que des liens de parenté et de communauté. Il n’existe à proprement parler ni confédération, ni canton, mais des alliances verbales selon les circonstances et les individus.”

Such opinions were later confirmed by historians. For instance, Leynaud and Cissé quoted Gallieni’s words in order to illustrate nineteenth-century Mande society, and Person agreed.
Although Mande society was considered to be rather chaotic, in precolonial times the town of Kangaba had a high prestige everywhere in the Sudan. For instance, Mungo Park mentioned the town as an important slave market. A century later the ruler of Kangaba, who collaborated with the armies of Samory Toure, was one of the main opponents of the French. This ruler lived in a two-story palace that has been described as an architectural masterpiece.

In spite of the fame of the town of Kangaba, its ruler was considered to be an usurper who dominated other, legitimate, rulers, and the French sent him and his family into exile. They did so because both the ruler of Nyagassola and the ruler of Figira were the ‘older brothers’ of the ruler of Kangaba. Since succession is collateral and along rules of seniority, the rulers of Kangaba were thereby deemed to have no legitimate claim to rulership over group whatsoever. Moreover, all the regional traditions were unanimous about the recent origin of the rulers of Kangaba. Among all the rulers in the region, the rulers of Kangaba were said to have arrived the most recently.

The genealogy of the rulers of Kangaba

Sunjata
Jurumnkun
Kon Mamadi
Mama Kasama
Joma + Joma
Finadugu + Kanku
Mansa
Mansa
jama
junyama
Koman Bori
Kuru Kanda

Rulers
Rulers
Rulers
of
of
of
Nyagassola Figira Kangaba

This genealogy is a geographical blueprint of the region around Kangaba. The names of the six brothers clearly represent kafuw in Mande. The Joma region is south of Kangaba, around the town of Siguiri, and this is the region where the descendants of the two oldest brothers ruled. Finadugu is a kafu north of Kangaba. The Keita of Kenyoroba and the Keita of Narena are groups of Keita rulers who claim descent from him.

The descendants of the two youngest brothers are well represented in number. Rulers of powerful towns such as Nyagassola and Narena claim descent from Mansa Kuru. His name clearly represents a landscape characteristic, since “Mansa” means “king” and “Kuru” means “hill” or “mountain,” and Narena and Nyagassola are in the Mande hills. The descendants of Mansa Kuru are Kangaba’s direct opponents, and thus one sees that the ‘older brothers’ live at a rather short distance of Kangaba. When
Vallière left Kita in order to explore Mande, he had to cross the Mande hills. Nyagassola was the first Mande town he encountered, and the French built a fortress there to protect the town from plunder. This pro-Nyagassola policy was partially due to the good contacts between the French and the ruler of Nyagassola. In particular, the French captain Peroz was impressed by the people of Nyagassola, writing that its ruler Mambi addressed him as “ma mère.”

The youngest brother is Kanda. According to some people, this word is said to have the meaning of “war chief.” A few Keita rulers—for instance, those from Figira and Kangaba—trace descent from Mansa Kanda. Figira is a small town on the right bank of the Niger, a region which still is rather isolated from the rest of the world.

Although its rulers were said to be usurpers, adjoining towns still had a lot of respect for the rulers in Kangaba. For instance, Mambi told Vallière that his “younger brother” in Kangaba, another Mambi Keita, was a very brutal man; Nyagassola and Kangaba had been in war for generations. However, when Vallière left Nyagassola, the same Mambi advised him to visit Kangaba, where he would be received there with the same hospitality he had enjoyed in Nyagassola. Since he wanted to visit Gallieni, however, and since the rains slowed the progress of his exploration, Vallière decided instead to go directly to Bamako.

Mambi of Nyagassola’s advice was not a coincidence. He clearly acknowledged the importance of Kangaba, since Mambi of Kangaba had married two princely women from Nyagassola. This shows the existence of a diplomatic relationship between the two towns, although—as the French duly noted—the rivalry between Kangaba and Nyagassola was so vehement that the towns always chose contrary positions.

The French chose the side of Nyagassola and this determined automatically the fate of Kangaba, which chose a stand against Nyagassola—and the French. French colonial policy was systematically directed against Kangaba and its area of influence (maara) was split into several administrative units, while the position of yamanatigi (chief of the canton) of Kangaba was given to the ‘older brother’ from Figira.

The rulers of Kangaba were sent in exile until 1909. These measures were not only a reward for the support the French had received from Figira and Nyagassola; they were thought to be justified by the rules of collateral succession, which determined that older brothers come first. Nonetheless, the people of Kangaba always resisted the ruling family from Figira, although they had to wait until 1951 for the former ruling family to be restored.

Thus we have some interesting historical phenomena: villages in constant rivalry, rapidly changing alliances, incomprehensible feelings of unity, usurpation by a younger brother and—rather surprisingly—non-acceptance of the older brother, the alleged legitimate successor. In order to explain the logic of this situation, it is necessary to work out the patterns along which leadership and status were expressed in Mande society. Genealogies of Mande rulers show these patterns more explicitly.
Mande genealogies are strongly influenced by principles of settlement and segmentation in Mande society. This aspect has been overlooked by many scholars, since Mande genealogies look similar to such things as the lists of successive rulers in European states. However, Mande genealogies appear to be primarily ideological constructions based on the principle of settlement. The genealogies presented here are still acknowledged in the areas where their members’ descendants ruled in the nineteenth century. None of these genealogies refers to a recent past, but to the times of the foundation of Mande society and its immediate aftermath. Every genealogy—that is, those of Sunjata, as well the kafu traditions—has been structured along principles of patrilocal settlement and patrilineal descent, two characteristics of Mande society.

In the ideal situation brothers remain in the paternal compound and belong to one patrilineal group (bonda). Male members of a bonda consider each other as ‘brothers,’ distinguishing only on the basis of age. In daily life, any male inhabitant is surrounded by dozens of classificatory fathers, older brothers, younger brothers, and sons. Since bondaw are located next to each other, in the long term a person older in age can be the classificatory son of a younger person.

Thus, if there should be no tension, compounds would grow larger and larger, populated by numerous (classificatory) fathers, brothers, and sons. Therefore segmentation is necessary. Segmentation takes place along two lines in Mande, whatever its direct cause (for instance, famine or struggle for succession). Compounds sometimes split up when the genealogical distance between classificatory brothers has grown too large. Segmentation can also take place between half-siblings. Since Mande society has a polygamous marriage system, tension may arise between half-brothers or groups which claim descent from half-brothers.

Figure 1 does not include women, giving only a difference in age and a genealogical distance. The difference in age is confirmed by the terminology used by the rulers of Figira and Nyagassola, who both claimed to be the older brother of the ruler of Kangaba.

The position of the rulers of Kangaba—the youngest brother according to their own genealogy—must be seen in a different light when other genealogies are taken into account. I will illustrate how rulers tend to represent themselves in the position of the youngest brother and place their neighbors—their rivals—in the position of older brothers. This mechanism can be found on a supraregional level in the genealogies of Sunjata’s family, and on a regional level in the genealogies of the descendants of Sunjata (for instance, in figure 1).

Take the genealogy of the rulers of Kita, a town located about 100 miles from Kangaba, and separated by the Mande hills. In the Kita tradition Sunjata is said to have had eight sons. The oldest, Nyamagan, settled in Kita, followed by his younger full brother Jinè Magan. The names of the other six sons are
not mentioned. Nyamagan is said to have left for Siguiri, leaving Jinè Magan in Kita. The praise song for the town of Kita contains their names.\textsuperscript{31} 

Je vous parle de Kita...De Kita Kuru et de Mansa Ganda De Nyamagan et de Jine Magan

Kita praise songs contain names that are also found in the royal genealogy of Kangaba. In both genealogies the duo Nyamagan/Jomamagan and Jinè Magan/Jinneyama are said to be the oldest. However, when recited as a praising, Kita Kuru/Mansa Kuru and Mansa Ganda/Mansa Kanda come first, thus suggesting that they are held the oldest.\textsuperscript{32} The departure of the oldest brother is remarkable, since this means that the Keita of Kita themselves claim to descend from a younger brother. Here is a similarity with the other center of power, Kangaba, whose rulers also claim to descend from a younger brother.

The logic of the situation becomes clear when data from Siguiri, the main town of Joma, are taken into account. Niane writes that a “branche cadette” moved from Kita to Joma.\textsuperscript{33} Thus the Joma perspective is the reverse of the Kita perspective, except that both claim descent from a younger brother. Person’s research more or less confirms this principle, since he claimed that, according to people from Hamana (southwest of Joma), the Keita of Kita are a younger branch of the Keita of Joma.\textsuperscript{34} 

Joma’s position as the oldest brother in figure 1 is not unique for the Kangaba region. This position has been attributed to the Joma rulers in many other genealogies from Mande, leading Person to believe that the Joma rulers were the legitimate heirs of the imperial title.\textsuperscript{35} However, this older brother position of the Joma rulers has been accepted everywhere, except in the Joma region by the Joma rulers themselves.

The same reversal of positions is found in the genealogies presented by Person, but he neglected this problem.\textsuperscript{36} In his final analysis, Person presents Mansa Kanda as the youngest son of Niani Mansa Mamadu, but “le seul qui fasse exception à cette regle est celui de Hamana, bien connu par sa capitale Kouroussa.”\textsuperscript{37} In Kouroussa, however, Mansa Kanda is considered to be the older brother. For Person this exception proved the source to be useless. Later I will argue that this exception is crucial for our understanding of Mande genealogies. It is actually to be expected that the rulers of a powerful town as Kouroussa represent themselves in a contrary position.

So far, the genealogies of the descendants of Sunjata are similar to the principles of settlement in terms of brother-brother relations, and this means that they represent tension and mutual acknowledgment, when genealogical distance is great. Moreover, relations between brothers are in terms of seniority. Genealogies never mention women, and this means that the rivalry between half-brothers is absent. Within a wide region the genealogies are transformations of the same set of names. Centers of power (e.g., Kita, Kangaba, Siguiri, Kouroussa) present themselves in the position of the younger brother, and at the same time place their rival dynasties in the position of the older brother.
I have focused on the descendants of Sunjata, but now turn to the dynasties who are related to him one level higher. Not all the princely Keita are descendants of Sunjata. South of Joma, in Hamana and Kolonkana, live princely Keita who descend from Sunjata’s younger brother Mande Bori. Even further south, in the forests of Kisi, live princely Keita who descend from Sunjata’s older brother Mansa Dankaran Tuman.

The following three genealogies suggest that Person improperly neglected them, and therefore improperly neglected the Sunjata epic, in his analysis, because they show an interesting variety. Moreover, they show the same preference for the position of the younger brother as has been found in the genealogies of the descendants of Sunjata. The following genealogy has been recorded often in the region of Kangaba.\(^{38}\)

**The royal family: the Kangaba/Kela perspective**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farako Makan</th>
<th>Tasuma Berete</th>
<th>Sogolon Kejugu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kunkenyi</td>
<td>(first wife)</td>
<td>(second wife)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mansa Dankaran</td>
<td>Sunjata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mande Bori</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuman</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Sunjata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Mande Bori</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keita-rulers</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keita-rulers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from Kisi</td>
<td>from Kangaba</td>
<td>from Hamana</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Hamana and Kolonkana, the region in which the town of Kouroussa dominates, the same order is accepted, although with a slight difference: this genealogy contains a half-sibling construction.

**The royal family: the Hamana perspective\(^{39}\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farako Makan</th>
<th>Tasuma Berete</th>
<th>Sogolon Kejugu</th>
<th>Namande</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kunkenyi</td>
<td>(first wife)</td>
<td>(second wife)</td>
<td>Camara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mansa Dankaran</td>
<td></td>
<td>(third wife)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunjata</td>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mande Bori</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuman</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Sunjata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Mande Bori</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keita-rulers</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keita-rulers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from Kisi</td>
<td>from Kangaba</td>
<td>from Hamana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The introduction of a third wife eliminates the sibling relation between Sunjata and Mande Bori in the Kangaba perspective. Thus, if one takes into
account the principles of segmentation in Mande society, one can argue that
the descendants of Mande Bori see a kind of rivalry between the descendants of
Sunjata and themselves, while the descendants of Sunjata do not.

It is interesting to look at the story which tells of the relationship
between the two brothers, since the older-younger relationship has not been
reversed here—both the descendants of Sunjata, as well the descendants of
Mande Bori, agree on it. Sunjata and Mande Bori were hunting companions.
Once Mande Bori insulted Sunjata’s sister, and for this reason he and his
descendants can never be leader of the army (keletigi). In Kangaba this fact is
seen as an explanation for Kangaba’s superiority in relation to Hamana, but in
Hamana this story is used to explain the alleged organizational chaos along the
Niger. Thus, although the story has been mutually accepted, a reversal in the
interpretation of the story has taken place.

A pattern, which may be predictable by now, can be seen in a Kangaba
genalogy which accepts the Hamana claim of a third wife. Leynaud and Cissé
give the following genealogy, which they claim to have recorded from griots
at Kela:

The genealogy of the royal family as presented in Leynaud and
Cisse’s Paysans Malinke (reduced version)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farako Makan</th>
<th>Tasma Berete</th>
<th>Namandje</th>
<th>Sogolon Kejugu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kankenya</td>
<td>(first wife)</td>
<td>(second wife)</td>
<td>(third wife)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Mansa Dankaran</td>
<td>Mande Bori</td>
<td>Sunjata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Tuman</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Keita-rulers</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>from Kisi</td>
<td>from Hamana</td>
<td>from Kangaba</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As with the genealogy in figure 1, the descendants of Sunjata place
themselves in the position of the youngest brother in relation to other rulers.
The position of the younger brother is always worked out; Sunjata and Mande
Bori are important heroes in the Sunjata epic. Apparently the younger brother
position is prestigious at every level. Therefore the exception in Hamana is an
important variation in the processes of reproduction in the genealogies. The
position of the oldest brother represents a certain explanatory power, but is not
common: the Keita in the Kisi region are not powerful, and this might explain
why they were linked to the oldest brother Mansa Dankaran Tuman, a coward
who fled from Sumaworo Kante and settled in the forests of Kisi.
IV

According to Person, the Keita from Joma represent imperial authority since, in almost all the genealogies, they are acknowledged as the oldest branch—i.e., oldest brother. I argue that this is a wrong judgment, mainly the product of a European idealized image of an empire. In order to make this argument plausible, I must explain what is represented by the position of the younger brother in genealogies.

Elsewhere I have discussed the image of the younger brother; here I will provide only a summary. Mande society has a patrilineal kinship system and a virilocal marriage system. The oldest son is the inheritor of the paternal compound and any adult man is supposed to stay in this place: his wife comes from another compound or another village. In daily life the position of a younger brother is closely related to the position of his older brother. If the paternal compound is not rich, the younger brother must leave, since his older brother has pre-emptive rights to succession. Both in traditions and in daily life the younger brother’s fate is to engage in external activities such as trade or warfare. It is logical then to represent leadership in war by the image of a younger brother.

The older brother is surrounded by an idiom of immobility: he receives gifts, stays home, and symbolizes the reproduction of the compound. A representative story of this pattern explains why people of the same patronymic can belong to different status categories, a rather extraordinary condition according to the accepted ideology of the Mande social system. The Conde are noblemen in the Sankaran region in Guinea, although their client griots of the village of Fadama bear the same jamu Conde (=Kone).


Another well-known example of the division of labor between younger and older brothers is the story of the killing of the buffalo of Do, one of the most famous stories in Mande. This animal is killed by the youngest brother, the ancestor of the Traore noblemen. The oldest brother praises his youngest brother and thus becomes the ancestor of the Diabate griots, people who do not participate in warfare, but live by receiving gifts.

This is not to suggest that the younger brother is superior to the older, simply that brothers are different in relation to each other. Both positions can be prestigious, but there is a kind of task division between the older and the
This is illustrated by a story told by Bakari Sidiki Sumano, the president of the Union Nationale des Griots du Mali. First he told me the well-known story of Mamadi Kanu. This king of Mande, an alleged ancestor of Sunjata, had three sons. The two oldest sons did not treat the people of Mande very well, so the Mande organized an ordeal to secure the succession of Mamadi Kanu’s youngest son. Sunjata is considered to be a descendant of this youngest son of Mamadi Kanu.

In Bamako and the region of Kangaba, persons with the patronymic Sumano are considered to be descendants of the oldest son. It is interesting then how a Sumano concludes this story about his ancestor. Bakari Sidiki Sumano told it in the following way.

Mais puisque tous les trois frères, ils n’étaient pas dans la coup, le jeune, très ému, est venu devant son grand-frère pour le dire: ‘Voilà, grand-frère, ça c’est la choix de Dieu et des ancêtres. Sinon, je n’aurais jamais accepté de gouverner là où toi tu...[not audible]. Mais c’est la choix de Dieu, c’est la choix de nos ancêtres. Nous ne pouvons que l’accepter. Voilà, pourquoi j’ai accepté la mission. Mais, c’est toi qui commanderas. Et moi, j’exécuterais. Si je prends un jour de...[not audible] pour aller combattre des gens, sans aucune autorisation, je prie Dieu pour que je n’arrive pas.

Mais, toi, tu restes. Toi, tu t’occuperas de la maison-même, tu t’occuperas de la famille. ‘I na so mano’.


Even more than the story about the Conde of Fadama, this recitation shows how prestigious the older brother can be represented. The balance has completely turned. Everyone who studies Mande oral tradition must be aware of this principle of changing balances. Status is often represented in kinship terms, in which one position in a lineage is the most prestigious only in a certain context and from a certain perspective.

The division of tasks between older and younger brothers is not restricted to oral tradition. For instance, Samory Toure never led the armies during his battles with the French; this task was fulfilled by “younger brothers.” He was involved in planning and making treaties, but he did so from a distance. Peroz mentioned another example: the chief of Kankan placed his younger brother in command of his army. Thus, the one who goes out in the field is always considered to be, and is represented as, a younger brother.

The position of the youngest brother means leadership in case of external threat; the younger brother leads the army both in times of communal attack and in communal defense. Therefore, the rulers of Kangaba were a very prestigious group, since they are represented as, and widely acknowledged to be, the youngest brother.
On the other hand, within the area of power, a ruler has to confirm his position in terms of the older brother, since that position represents the continuity of power. Within its immediate area of influence, Kangaba claimed to be responsible for the reproduction of the paternal compound via a complicated argument. However, this story refers to a generation after "Kanda," and concerns some village in the immediate neighborhood of Kangaba.

Within the ruling family of Kangaba the rights of succession belong to the oldest person within the oldest branch. In this case succession goes along lines of collateral succession, in which age is the most important criterion. Therefore a Keita ruler needs to be represented in different positions in different contexts. How closer at home, how increasingly older he must represent himself, because his own kafu is the basis of his power. In this sense, this has been shown by history itself, since the "father" of the nineteenth-century rulers of Kangaba was an oldest son, and all his successors more or less legitimate, since they are said to have been educated at Segu.

Kafu did not conquer each other, but "war only altered the relations of power between such polities bringing about changes in their hierarchies of suzerainty." In the relationships between kafu, war and war leadership were the main points of discussion. Superiority in kafu relations was expressed in terms of the younger brother, who is called—in the case of Kangaba—"kanda" or "war chief."

It is plausible to think that leadership in times of war was the main point of discussion among ruling families. In his analysis of the warrior states of the middle Niger valley, Roberts writes that "the survival of each state depended on its capacity to make war." I suppose that in Mande, the situation was comparable to its neighbors to the north. On the one hand, every ruling family had to have the capacity to make war against neighbors, but cooperation was necessary in times of a communal attack or defense. The leader in such a circumstance was the ‘younger brother.’

If the war leader had represented himself as an older brother, the system would have collapsed. A war leader is expected to redistribute any booty gained during the fighting. In the case of Mande, the ‘younger brother’ gives to the ‘older brother.’ This is a natural process, because that is the way older and younger brothers should treat each other, in Mande and almost anywhere in Africa. However, if the war leader had been represented as an older brother, redistribution would be unnatural, and accumulation seemed normal. Such an accumulation would lead to destruction, since the war leader should not have the means to control his accumulated property. Therefore I would argue that Mande was a segmentary society, in which warfare was the reason and the idiom for cooperation.

The ruler of Kangaba was a mansa (commonly translated as "king"), and so were many other rulers. A mansa has hereditary rights, contrary to a keletigi, who is an ad hoc war leader. Moreover, there are faamaw. This word has never been well defined, although many authors give a definition which appears plausible. The faama seems to be something neither mansa nor
I think that Mande politics seem to have been based on the aim to be a *mansa* on a certain level and a *keletigi* on a higher level, that is ‘the further from home.’ This shift of titles, dependent on the level of organization and the partners for cooperation, may explain the Babel-like confusion on the translation of the terms *mansa, faama,* and *keletigi.* Scholars have always tried to define these terms in relation to the actual power or status of the person or family which was analyzed. I propose to see them as fluid terms which characterize certain aspects of a ruler in a specific contexts. Thus, at one moment, a person can be the *mansa* in relation to A, and a *keletigi* in relation to B.

V

Person thought that the Sunjata epic was a kind of "section isolée, fossilisée." I will argue here that he was wrong to keep the Sunjata genealogies separate from his analysis—that the genealogies of the royal family show the principle of preference of the younger brother just as does the genealogy in figure 1.

However, one can remark, Sunjata is the middle brother most of the time, and almost never the youngest. I think that this represents a giant status claim by the rulers of Kangaba. The story of Mande Bori’s insult clearly shows the position of Kangaba. The story secures both war leadership (*keletigi*) and hereditary kingship (*mansaya*) to the descendants of Sunjata in relation to the descendants of Mande Bori.

In another context, “closer to home”—that is, among the descendants of Sunjata—the ruler of Kangaba had the highest status, since he was accepted as the youngest brother and the most recent invader. Thus, taking into account these two levels, the rulers of Kangaba had the most prestigious position in relation to the descendants of Sunjata, as well as in relation to the descendants of Sunjata’s brother.

This leads to a interesting picture of politics in a segmentary society. The rulers had to take account of the genealogy of figure 1. For instance, Nyagassola and Joma always disagree with Kangaba, unless the region is attacked from outside. Although the status of Kangaba, generally recognized as the youngest, had long been the case, this status has to be continually reconfirmed in power struggles, since all the older brothers (Figira or Nyagassola) try to subdue the youngest. Peace is always relative and relational. Being the younger brother shows high prestige, but does not mean absolute power. History has proven this, since the two older brothers—Nyagassola and Figira—were victorious in the end, albeit with the support of the French.

The fact that the Keita of Joma (who claim a relationship with Kita) are seen by Kangaba as the oldest brother of all shows the dynamics of regional politics: in relation to Kangaba, Joma has more freedom of action than Nyagassola. The actual obedience of Nyagassola to this order is shown by two historical events. The ruler of Nyagassola promised the Tukulor invaders to
help them destroy Kangaba, but in the end guided their armies to another village which was burned down. Moreover, as noted, he wanted Vallière to visit Kangaba, the seat of his “archenemy.”

It is very plausible that powerful families worked together only in the case of an external threat. Peroz complained that regions joined each other only when attacked from outside; when the external threat disappeared, mutual hostility returns. This is virtually a model description of a segmentary society.

The return of old feelings of hostility is very logical, if one looks at the power of the keletigi. He threatens to suppress his companions, his ‘older brothers,’ if he stays too long in power. Take what happened to the chief of Kankan, who gave the function of “generalissime” to his younger brother, named Moriba. This Moriba suffered some defeats in the early 1860s and decided to ask Nyagassola for military support. This temporary joint-venture led to a great deal of plundering, weakened the position of Kankan in the end, and brought booty to Nyagassola. This story makes clear that the keletigi enjoys enormous power in office. Therefore it is dangerous to continue collaboration between ‘brothers’ too long or to intensify collaboration too much, lest this lead to permanent subjection, and then to the title of faama on a ‘higher’ level.

Person’s reading of oral traditions was based on patching up genealogies, but genealogies must be analyzed from generation to generation and as transformations of each other. The generation of Sunjata represents the widest scope; it encompasses all Mande. The level of the ‘great-grandchildren’ of Sunjata represents a discussion of only part of Mande, from Siguiri to Narena. Genealogies in which more recent descendants are involved actually represent status claims over even smaller areas.

Person’s “exception” of Hamana (with Kouroussa as its center) actually shows a key principle in the genealogies, since the Kouroussa genealogy is a transformation of genealogies which are proclaimed elsewhere in Mande. Thus Kouroussa’s independent course is in accordance with this exceptional genealogy.

My analysis suggests that many Mande genealogies are useless for the reconstruction of chronology. Genealogies often refer to divisions in terms of ‘brotherly’ tasks, and the succession of events is often no more than a claim to particular status. Contrary to what seems logical at face value, the status of a recent immigrant and the position of the youngest brother are very prestigious. This explains why Mande society is represented in stories as the product of a continuous flow of invasions. Actually, these mutually acknowledged immigrations show the stabilizing tendencies in society! Thus the French had no reason to expel the rulers of Kangaba on the basis on younger brotherhood or recent arrival, since both labels represented their most prestigious position in communal warfare in segmentary politics.

The fact that Kangaba was recognized as the ‘youngest brother’ by many other Mande rulers, the fact that it was the most powerful opponent of the French forces in Mande, and the fact that Kangaba had been a famous town for
centuries, all suggest that Kangaba was something special.\textsuperscript{62} Therefore the hypothesis of Kangaba's recent rise lacks substantive evidence; it cannot be proved by oral data and there is no other evidence. There is still one argument, however, that makes sense: early Islamic sources do not mention Kangaba. Such absence of course cannot prove anything, since silence is so problematical as evidence.

VI

I have argued that Kouroussa's—and mainly Kangaba's—position in the genealogies accords with their prominent roles in Mande in precolonial times, \textit{if} we analyze Mande as a segmentary society. Now I invite the reader to look at the written source that has always been used to prove that the Mali empire has disintegrated by the seventeenth century. The famous \textit{Tar'ikh as-Sudan} tells us:

"La population de l'empire du Mali se divise en trois groupes. Chacun d'eux a à sa tête un pretendu sultan, mais les deux caïds méconnurent l'autorité de ces souverains et se déclarent également indépendants chacun de son domaine respectif."\textsuperscript{63}

This is identical to the tripartition Sunjata-Mande Bori-Mansa Dankaran Tuman or, at another level, Kangaba/Figira/Nyagassola-Finadugu/Kanku Bori—the two Jomas. It is also similar to Vallière's observation noted above that the "chefs appartiennent tous aux deux ou trois familles les plus illustres." It is interesting that Vallière could not decide whether there are two or three groups. This is exactly the Kangaba perspective versus the Kouroussa perspective. Kangaba claims that there are two groups, since Sunjata and Mande Bori are children of the same mother, whereas Kouroussa claims that there are three groups.

Actually, the \textit{Tar'ikh as-Sudan} presents us a picture of a society in peace, since unity was necessary only in times of trouble or times of attack, when the youngest brother was in charge of conducting war. Another argument for such peaceful character is the fact that the "caïds" do not recognize the authority of the "sultans." This is typical for a segmentary society. It would seem then that Mande/the Mali empire had not changed very much between \textit{ca.} 1600 and 1880, since the model that I presented in this paper seems to be the same as that offered by the \textit{Tar'ikh as-Sudan}.

Moreover, the region called "Mande" had remarkable geographical stability. Mungo Park "arrived at Sibidooloo [=Siby-JJ], the frontier town of the kingdom of Manding."\textsuperscript{64} The border of Mande was exactly north of Siby. After Park's horse had been stolen between Bamako and Siby by "Foulahs," the behavior of the friendly ruler of Siby suggests the existence of separated spheres of influence/territory: Siby's ruler had to send a delegation to Bamako in order to get the horse back, suggesting in turn that his sphere of influence stopped immediately north of Siby.
A century later Vallière mentioned almost the same spot as the border of Mande, noting that Nafadié, where the village chief "est de la même famille que les Mambi de Nyagassola et Kangaba," was the border of Mande. Vallière also noted that the people of Siby "se bornent à ne pas s'allier officiellement avec les révoltes du Bélédougou et de Bammako." Thus, the same tension was still present, which leads me to believe that north of Siby ran a rather stable frontier of the Manding kingdom. In the twentieth century the same region is ruled by the descendants of the two middle brothers in figure 1.

Did the Mali empire still exist in the nineteenth century? To a degree of course, the answer depends on the definition of "empire." If an empire is defined as a centralized system of dominion or as a kingdom which has vassal kingdoms, then the Mali empire did not exist in the nineteenth century, since Mande then had a segmentary system with short-term collaboration between rulers. Moreover, Kangaba is said to have paid taxes to Segu, hardly suggesting a working empire.

However, we do not know anything about the exact borders or organization of the society which is commonly accepted as "the medieval Mali empire," although Person has provided us with an elaborate analysis of the written sources. We know only that the medieval Mali empire had Sunjata as its ‘founder,’ it was located along the Niger, and that its king(s) were reputed to be rich.

This absence of detailed information on the empire-as-it-really-was challenges me to hypothesize that the Mali empire still existed in the nineteenth century. It was located in Mande and it had a stable segmentary form of organization. If one looks to the system of tripartitions, ‘genealogies of rulers’ in the period from 1600 to 1880, and border lines in the period from 1790 to 1880, one sees a well-defined territory with a mutually acknowledged system of temporary alliances. Within this territory several groups or kings claimed a position of youngest in relation to others, but these claims are ordered along certain lines.

The borders of the nineteenth-century Mali empire may have been the strongholds at Kangaba and Kouroussa, towns with a renowned power in precolonial times. At its center was the Joma region. However, on the basis of the nature of the genealogies, Person’s idea that the Joma rulers were the legitimate heirs of the imperial crown is not acceptable. Joma was just another powerful kafu in the struggle for war leadership among the kafuw of Mande.

Since kings claim war leadership as the keletigi over an area which is more extensive than they would control as a mansa, visitors to their courts can be misled by the image presented by the kings and their griots. This is an argument which must be taken into account in relation to the medieval Arab travel accounts, which ascribe great power and authority to the rulers of the Mali empire. If Arab travelers listened only to a very powerful king, they might well have got the wrong impression that Mali society was a centralized state. Different kings at different locations may have claimed to be ‘the mansa of the Mali empire;’ they may have considered their own ‘hometown’ as ‘the
capital of the Mali empire'. In effect, Arab travelers might have visited different alleged capitals of the Mali empire.

Moreover, Mande was able—on an ideological level—to endure domination by foreign powers, since these powers could be treated—with mutual agreement—as 'older brothers' who had to be respected and to whom gifts ought to be given. We know that Kangaba paid tribute to Ségou, we know about the support which Mande kings gave to 'foreign' kings, but nothing is known about a permanent installation of 'foreign' armies in Kangaba. It seemed that the Mali empire could endure by virtue of the flexibility of its system, which is virtually impossible to suppress.

Of course, it is possible that an extensive and well-organized empire called ‘Mali’ collapsed and transformed itself into a segmentary system. The history of Africa does have examples of such a process. It is even possible that present-day Mande was never part of the medieval Mali empire, or only a peripheral part inhabited by acephalous groups. In that case the Sunjata ideology might have been adapted to a segmentary system which had never been brought under control by the center itself. After the center had fallen away, the periphery continued their successful adaptation of the royal ideology.

VII

In the present paper I reconsidered Person’s analysis of oral tradition for post-imperial Mande. I have disagreed with his method of historical reconstruction, which consists of patching together a selection of genealogies, the so-called kafu traditions. I have argued that Person was wrong in not incorporating Sunjata traditions, and that he neglected to put genealogies into the mirror of other oral traditions.

Comparing Sunjata traditions, other oral traditions, and the kafu traditions, it is clear that the position of the youngest brother is that which is preferred. This position represents the status of war leadership in case of collaboration. That is why the Kela bards repeat again and again that Mansa Kanda is the youngest great-grandchild of Sunjata. On the other hand, this feature in the epic shows how much the story is the ideological model for the debate on war leadership. The Sunjata epic is not a ‘section fossiliisée,’ but represents a contemporary debate in a patrilineal society with a virilocal marriage system.

Genealogies cannot be patched together because their functions vary. The genealogy of the royal family represents the status debate between regions dominated by Kangaba, Siguiri, and Kouroussa. It is an ideological model used in a debate, in which the youngest brother position or the middle brother position is favored, since it represents leadership in times of collaboration. The nature of this collaboration was always based on war, the task of the ‘younger brother.’ However, within itself, a ruling family will obey to rules of succession, in which the oldest candidates have the greater rights. The genealogies are products of the same debate, but for use in different contexts.
Thus, on the one hand my reading of Mande oral traditions is an alternative to those who would use them as ‘factual’ evidence which can be used to reconstruct the past. On the other hand it is an attack to those who think that historical research cannot be done solely on the basis of oral tradition. I hope that I have clearly demonstrated that the very stable genealogies in Mande represent status relations among mansaw, and give a historical dimension to political and military processes in an extensive part of West Africa.

I challenge Person’s point of view that there was no permanent structure between the kafuw. There still is continuity within the royal genealogies and the kafuw genealogies, and the genealogies are transformations of each other, and this represents a “permanent structure.” At one point in his article Person admitted that genealogies may represent relations among groups, but this is otherwise subordinated to his aim to reconstruct the genealogy of the legitimate heirs of the imperial title.

This “permanent structure” can also be reconstructed from the area in which royal genealogies have been interacting with each other for a very long time. This leads to an image of a segmentary society along the western banks of the Niger, between some 20 miles south from Bamako and the forests of Kisi. Siguiri is at the geographical center of this region. The northern border was defended by the younger brother rulers of Kangaba, the southern border by the younger brother rulers from Kouroussa. These three groups recognized each other in their genealogies and attributed to each other prestigious positions (oldest brothers), but all represented themselves as the youngest brother. In the majority of the genealogical material, Joma has been attributed the oldest brother position, but this has nothing to do with legitimate rights of succession to the imperial throne, as Person thought. It is merely a logical consequence of Joma’s central geographical position in the stable segmentary society called Mande.

The eastern and western borders of this society were formed by nature. To the east the Niger was a natural wall of defense; in times of emergency the Mande kings could withdraw to the eastern bank. The eastern bank of the Niger, however, was not a part of the society which enjoyed major status. The western border had been formed by the Mande hills, up to Kita, which is often mentioned as an older brother, as a location of origin, but never on the level of the royal family. Therefore its position in the segmentary society called Mande is relatively marginal to the trio of Joma-Hamana-Kangaba.

It might be that I have misled readers with the provocative title of this paper, but I think that I have made at least a useful contribution to the history of West Africa by pointing out that precolonial Mande was better organized than has been thought: the history of Kangaba is not one full of coups d’état and usurping families. Mande appears to be a segmentary society in which genealogies represent a debate on ranking and cooperation. This cooperation had something to do with warfare, the means by which elites reproduced themselves. The stable character of the Mande as a segmentary society
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challenges the dominant view on the Mali empire as a more or less centralized system.

I might not have convinced readers that “the Mali empire” still existed in the nineteenth century, but I hope that I have offered some arguments to defend the idea that the Mali empire was a segmentary society, both earlier and in the nineteenth century, when it was much less extensive. I might conclude this paper with the statement that, given the importance attributed to the Sunjata epic, and given the stable character of this oral tradition, the Mali empire will still exist in the twenty-first century.
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