

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/20075> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Otjes, Simon Pieter

Title: Imitating the newcomer. How, when and why established political parties imitate the policy positions and issue attention of new political parties in the electoral and parliamentary arena: the case of the Netherlands

Issue Date: 2012-10-31

Cover

Posters: printed with permission from the DNPP

Design: Ysbrand Otjes

Print

Wöhrmann Print Service

© 2012 Simon Otjes. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission in writing from the proprietor.

© 2012 Simon Otjes. Alle rechten voorbehouden. Niets uit deze uitgave mag worden veeveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een automatisch gegevensbestand of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechnisch, door fotokopiën, opnamen of op enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande toestemming van de rechthebbende.

Imitating the newcomer

How, when and why established political parties imitate the policy positions
and issue attention of new political parties in the electoral and parliamentary arena:
the case of the Netherlands

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. P.F. van der Heijden,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 31 oktober 2012
klokke 16.15 uur

door

Simon Pieter Otjes

geboren te Leiden in 1984

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor:

Prof.dr. R.B. Andeweg

Co-promotor:

Dr. H. Pellikaan

Overige leden:

Prof.dr. J.J.M van Holsteyn, Universiteit Leiden

Prof.dr. R.A. Koole, Universiteit Leiden

dr. P. Lucardie, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen

Prof.dr. R. Harmel, Texas A&M University

Acknowledgement

"There is no such thing as society. There are individual men and women (...)" Margaret Thatcher once stated. Although philosophically, I subscribe to the radical individualism of this statement, I cannot but admit that the practice of social science research refutes this statement thoroughly. The community that helped me write this book consists out of friends and colleagues, anonymous referees and the closest of kin. I want to take this time to thank them all.

Rudy Andeweg has formed my thinking about political science theory during my bachelor and my master. Huib Pellikaan has been a strong influence on my thinking about political science methods in the same period. They have taken it upon them to guide my PhD-project to a good end, for which I am grateful.

I am greatly indebted to my husband Erik Woldhuis. There is a sad pattern in couples of PhD-researchers: the one who pursues the more complex field can understand the work of his partner, but his partner cannot fully comprehend the more complex work of the other. Erik's work in physics is indubitably more complex than my research. Therefore he has had to read through every chapter of my dissertation, while I could do little to help him, scientifically.

Two people have helped me to collect and analyse the data for the empirical analysis. Harmen van der Veer volunteered to assist me by collecting data on parliamentary voting. Tom Louwerse has shared his extensive data on parliamentary behaviour and election manifestos with me and his keen insight into political science methodology.

Friends and family have helped getting this manuscript to become a real book. Jolein van Swaal has taken the time to correct, clarify and clean the language. Ysbrand Otjes designed the cover on basis of posters provided by the DNPP.

During my period at the Institute of Political Science, a tightly knit community of PhD-students has developed. Veerle van Doeveren and Floris Mansveldt-Beck for helped me get a clear grasp of the project early on. Cynthia van Vonno, Wouter Veenendaal, Jannine van der Maat, Marc Uriot, Bart-Jan Heine, Sergiu Gherghina and Sinisa Vuković and all the others have provided me with critical comments and collegial camaraderie.

Several scholars have read and commented on chapters, papers and proposals throughout the project. Koen Vossen has shared his extensive library on new political

parties with me; Paul Lucardie, Jan de Vetten, Bob Harmel and Joop van Holsteyn made useful comments and literature suggestions on specific parties. Maarten Marx, Marco Schikhof, the DNPP and the IISG have shared their data with me. I am indebted to Peter Mair for his encouraging advise on my first paper.

Various parts of this dissertation have been published separately as articles in scientific journals or newspapers or have been presented at scientific conferences. The participants at those conferences, in particular Sarah de Lange and Wouter van der Brug, the collaborating journalists and the anonymous referees of the journals have shared their comments and insights. My discussions with Steven de Vries were very helpful to understand the Party for the Animals.

Thatcher gave a special place to family, ending her quote with the words "and there are families". Family also has a special place in my life: I want to thank my parents for always allowing me the freedom to follow my heart, but for also teaching me the perseverance to, when I have to chosen a path, stick to it.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-------|
| Acknowledgements | p.5 |
| Table of contents | p.7 |
| Chapter 1: Why care about new political parties? | p.10 |
| 1.1 Why care about new political parties? | p.10 |
| 1.2 Main claim of this study | p.13 |
| 1.3 What this study offers | p.15 |
| 1.4 Plan of the study | p.17 |
| Chapter 2: Theorising about new political parties | p.18 |
| 2.1 Introduction | p.18 |
| 2.2 New political party success | p.20 |
| 2.3 Conceptualising new political parties | p.22 |
| 2.4 Responding to new political parties | p.24 |
| 2.5 Interacting with new political parties | p.27 |
| 2.6 Political attention | p.28 |
| 2.7 Political positions | p.30 |
| 2.8 Hypotheses | p.32 |
| 2.8.1 Political arenas | p.32 |
| 2.8.2 Relationship between the new and the established party | p.34 |
| 2.8.3 Characteristics of the new party | p.37 |
| 2.8.4 Characteristics of the established party | p.39 |
| 2.8.5 Complications | p.41 |
| 2.9 Changing the party system | p.42 |
| 2.10 What this study does not claim | p.51 |
| Chapter 3: Methods | p.53 |
| 3.1 Introduction | p.53 |
| 3.2 Case selection | p.54 |
| 3.3 Analytical strategy | p.60 |
| 3.4 Data collection and data analysis in the parliamentary arena | p.62 |
| 3.4.1 Attention in parliament | p.62 |
| 3.4.2 Talking in the Dutch parliament | p.64 |
| 3.4.3 Collection and categorisation of parliamentary speech | p.66 |
| 3.4.4 Case-by-case analysis of attention in parliament | p.67 |
| 3.4.5 Statistical analysis of attention in parliament | p.70 |
| 3.4.6 Party positions in parliament | p.73 |
| 3.4.7 Voting in the Dutch Parliament | p.74 |
| 3.4.8 Collection and categorisation of parliamentary votes | p.77 |
| 3.4.9 Models of parliamentary voting | p.78 |
| 3.4.10 Analysis of positions in parliament | p.82 |
| 3.5 Data collection and data analysis in the electoral arena | p.86 |
| 3.5.1 Issue saliency in the electoral arena | p.87 |
| 3.5.2 From election manifestos to measures of attention change | p.88 |
| 3.5.3 Measuring positions in the electoral arena | p.93 |
| 3.5.4 From election manifestos to measures of position change | p.93 |
| 3.6 Measuring independent variables | p.100 |
| Chapter 4: Introducing new parties | p.104 |
| 4.1 Introduction | p.104 |
| 4.2 Three typologies | p.105 |
| 4.2.1 Party formation | p.105 |

| | |
|---|-------|
| 4.2.2 Party goals | p.106 |
| 4.2.3 Party's issue | p.107 |
| 4.3 The parties and party system in 1946: the baseline | p.107 |
| 4.4 Nineteen new parties | p.112 |
| 4.4.1 KNP: dissenting Catholics | p.112 |
| 4.4.2 PSP: dissenting socialists | p.115 |
| 4.4.3 BP: farmers in protest | p.117 |
| 4.4.4 GPV: dissenting Protestants | p.121 |
| 4.4.5 D66: democratic idealists | p.123 |
| 4.4.6 DS'70: democratic moderates | p.127 |
| 4.4.7 PPR: radicalising radicals | p.129 |
| 4.4.8 NMP: small business owners in protest | p.132 |
| 4.4.9 RKPN: orthodox Catholics | p.136 |
| 4.4.10 RPF: orthodox Protestants | p.138 |
| 4.4.11 EVP: progressive Protestants | p.140 |
| 4.4.12 CP: the start of anti-immigration politics | p.144 |
| 4.4.13 AOV and U55+: two elderly sisters | p.146 |
| 4.4.14 SP: a leftwing challenger | p.149 |
| 4.4.15 LN and LPF: democratic populists & the return of anti-immigration politics | p.151 |
| 4.4.16 PVV: the persistence of anti-immigration politics | p.155 |
| 4.4.17 PvdD: the hobbyhorse | p.157 |
| 4.5 Patterns | p.162 |
| Chapter 5: Reinvigorating or redefining? | p.167 |
| 5.1 Introduction | p.167 |
| 5.2 Case-by-case analyses | p.168 |
| 5.2.1 KNP: dissenting Catholics | p.169 |
| 5.2.2 PSP: dissenting socialists | p.171 |
| 5.2.3 BP: farmers in protest | p.173 |
| 5.2.4 GPV, RKPN and RPF: orthodox dissent | p.175 |
| 5.2.5 D66 and DS'70: democratic idealists and moderates | p.180 |
| 5.2.6 PPR: radicalising radicals | p.184 |
| 5.2.7 NMP: small business owners in protest | p.189 |
| 5.2.8 EVP: progressive Protestants | p.191 |
| 5.2.9 CP: the start of anti-immigration politics | p.194 |
| 5.2.10 AOV and U55+: two elderly sisters | p.198 |
| 5.2.11 SP: a leftwing challenger | p.204 |
| 5.2.12 LN: democratic populists | p.207 |
| 5.2.13 LPF and PVV: the return and persistence of anti-immigration politics | p.213 |
| 5.2.14 PvdD: the hobbyhorse | p.217 |
| 5.3 Analysing the case-by-case results | p.221 |
| 5.3.1 Party positions in parliament | p.221 |
| 5.3.2 Analysis of attention in parliament | p.226 |
| 5.4 Expanded statistical analysis of attention in parliament | p.232 |
| 5.5 Conclusion | p.239 |
| Chapter 6: When new parties win or when established parties lose? | p.242 |
| 6.1 Introduction | p.242 |
| 6.2 Case-by-case analyses | p.243 |
| 6.2.1 KNP: dissenting Catholics | p.244 |
| 6.2.2 PSP: dissenting socialists | p.246 |
| 6.2.3 BP: farmers in protest | p.248 |

| | |
|---|-------|
| 6.2.4 GPV, RKPN and RPF: orthodox dissent | p.252 |
| 6.2.5 D66 and DS'70: democratic idealists and moderates | p.254 |
| 6.2.6 PPR: radicalising radicals | p.257 |
| 6.2.7 NMP: small business owners in protest | p.259 |
| 6.2.8 EVP: progressive Protestants | p.261 |
| 6.2.9 CP: the start of anti-immigration politics | p.263 |
| 6.2.10 AOV and U55+: two elderly sisters | p.264 |
| 6.2.11 SP: a leftwing challenger | p.266 |
| 6.2.12 LN: democratic populists | p.268 |
| 6.2.13 PVV and LPF: the return and persistence of anti-immigration politics | p.271 |
| 6.2.14 PvdD: the hobbyhorse | p.273 |
| 6.3 Analysing the case-by-case results | p.276 |
| 6.3.1 Analysis of party positions in the electoral arena | p.276 |
| 6.3.2 Analysis of attention in the electoral arena | p.282 |
| 6.4 Expanded statistical analysis | p.287 |
| 6.4.1 Reaction in terms of attention | p.287 |
| 6.4.2 Anticipation in terms of attention | p.292 |
| 6.5 Conclusion | p.294 |
| Chapter 7: The invisible people, the left and the right | p.299 |
| 7.1 Introduction | p.299 |
| 7.2.1 Period 1: 1963-1977 | p.301 |
| 7.2.2 Period 2: 1977-1986 | p.305 |
| 7.2.3 Period 3: 1989-1998 | p.309 |
| 7.2.4 Period 4: 1998-2010 | p.311 |
| 7.3 Conclusion | p.316 |
| Chapter 8: Why care about new political parties? | p.318 |
| 8.1 Why care about new political parties? | p.318 |
| 8.2 Comparing Patterns | p.319 |
| 8.3 Comparing countries | p.324 |
| 8.4 Further research | p.326 |
| Appendix 1: categorization scheme | p.328 |
| Appendix 2: attention in the parliamentary arena | p.329 |
| Appendix 3: party positions in the parliamentary arena | p.331 |
| Appendix 4: attention in the electoral arena | p.338 |
| Appendix 5: party positions in the electoral arena | p.341 |
| Appendix 6: all new parties | p.342 |
| Bibliography | p.348 |
| Dutch summary | p.361 |
| Curriculum Vitae | p.368 |