Qualifying standpoints. Stance adverbs as a presentational device for managing the burden of proof

van

Assimakis Tseronis


II. The concept of the burden of proof is necessary to explain the protagonist’s strategic goal when qualifying the standpoint.

III. The weight of the burden of proof for a standpoint should be measured with regard to the questions that the other party asks, and not with regard to the content of the standpoint.


VI. Corpus analytic tools are needed in the study of argumentative markers to provide an account that is not only theoretically grounded but also empirically plausible.

VII. The practice of analysing oratory texts of the past as good examples of rhetoric blurs the boundaries between philology and argumentation studies.

VIII. The fact that what is persuasive in actual communication is sometimes anything but rational does not mean that rational models of argumentation lack descriptive adequacy.

IX. Synchronic dictionaries do not necessarily describe what language actually is.

X. The habit of Dutch doctors of arguing about their patients’ health problems with them is more worrying than comforting for the patient.