

Chapter 3

The RML Tutorial

Author: Joost Jacob

When reading this tutorial you could go directly to Sect. 3.4 **Installing and running** now if you are in a hurry and just want to learn RML quickly. There are examples in Sect. 3.5 **Examples** that will introduce everything incrementally and step by step. You can treat the examples as exercises and try to solve them before looking at the solutions. When trying to solve such exercises you can use Table 2.1 for an overview of all the RML constructs that are defined in the current version (September 23, 2008) of RML. Sections 3.1–3.3 are meant for readers who prefer a little more introduction and explanations.

RML (Rule Markup Language) was designed for ease of use. You do not have to be an experienced programmer to use RML. My experiences with the existing transformation methods for XML were such that I felt it was a good idea to come up with something much more simple and elegant.

It is assumed that the reader does have at least a superficial knowledge of XML, like what are XML element names and attributes and for example the fact that well-formed XML only has one root element. With this tutorial the reader can learn how to transform XML with RML, according to transformation rules that are defined using the input XML itself.

Other approaches for XML transformations do not make much use of the problem domain XML for defining transformation rules. They define the transformations in (complex!) special purpose XML [Cla] or they are more low level, defined in various programming languages.

It is not my goal to replace existing technology for XML transformations, but to add a new, easy to use and interoperable technology. If you have a transformation that is easily done with XSLT for instance, then by all means use XSLT. But sometimes you will need transformations that are hard to program with XSLT, for example removing duplicate child elements, and then RML provides an easy solution for your transformation problem.

Your ideas for improving this tutorial are welcome. I have been trying to make this tutorial easy to understand and readable, but English is not my native language so there are probably lots of grammar and style errors. Please do send your suggestions by email to Joost.Jacob@gmail.com.

3.1 The XML vocabulary for the examples

The example XML vocabulary in this tutorial is an XML vocabulary for modeling business processes. Such a vocabulary can be defined with a DTD or with an XML Schema but to save space here Fig. 3.1 gives just an informal definition.

element	attributes	explanation

model		the root element
process	id, name	
role	id, name	
collaboration	id, name	
event	id, name	
object	id, name	
triggering	id, name	must contain a from and to element
realisation	id, name	must contain a from and to element
use	id, name	must contain a from and to element
from	href	
to	href	

Figure 3.1: The XML vocabulary for the examples

The exact meaning of the elements and attributes is not important here, this is left to the imagination of the reader. Also there is no required hierarchy or ordering of elements. Later on in this tutorial, if appropriate, ordering requirements can be assumed and explained for some example.

3.2 How RML works

Details will be explained in Sect. 3.5 but here is already a short description of how RML works.

The simplest RML tool is called **applyrule**. It is a Python[vR95] library that can also be used as as command-line program, and it takes as input some problem domain XML and an RML-rule. Both the XML and the RML-rule will normally be provided in files. The **applyrule** program then transforms the problem XML according to the rule and outputs the result.

3.2.1 Rules

An empty rule looks like shown in Figure 3.2.

```
<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <!-- Insert matching pattern here -->
  </div>

  <div class="consequence">
    <!-- Insert output pattern here -->
  </div>
</div>
```

Figure 3.2: An empty RML-rule

As can be seen in Fig. 3.2 an empty rule consists of div elements like in XHTML. A rule consists of an antecedent (input) and a consequence (output), marked with class attributes of div elements. The XML comments in Fig. 3.2 show what must be done to change this empty rule template to rules that actually do something. How this is done is explained later. The

empty rule defines an empty transformation: the output is the same as the input.

3.2.2 Literal matching

Any XML in the antecedent of a rule that is pure problem domain XML is matched literally. An exception is how the attributes are matched: if the (attributes, value) pairs of an element in the antecedent are a subset of the pairs of an element in the input, and if the elements have the same name, then it is considered a match. This means that input elements can have more attributes that are not involved in the matching process.

3.2.3 Wildcard matching

A core idea of RML is to define XML notation for an XML version of constructs like the `*` and `?` and `+` and others in expressions for text matching with wildcards, as illustrated in Fig. 3.3.

```
d:\tutorial>dir

Directory of d:\tutorial

11/21/2003  05:14 PM    <DIR>          .
11/21/2003  05:14 PM    <DIR>          ..
11/21/2003  05:11 PM                19 g.bat
11/21/2003  05:07 PM                74 make.bat
11/21/2003  05:07 PM           7,764 tutorial.dvi
11/21/2003  05:07 PM           6,962 tutorial.tex

d:\tutorial>dir tutorial.*

Directory of d:\tutorial

11/21/2003  05:07 PM           7,764 tutorial.dvi
11/21/2003  05:07 PM           6,962 tutorial.tex
```

Figure 3.3: The `*` wildcard in action in the Windows XP shell

These wildcard constructs can then be used for matching (parts of) XML. The input that matches a wildcard can then be remembered in RML-variables. The constructs are called *XML wildcard expressions*.

RML uses XML wildcard expressions to bind parts of the input XML into RML-variables. There are XML wildcard *elements* and XML wildcard *attributes*. The XML wildcard elements are special RML elements that can be mixed with the problem domain XML in the antecedent of a rule. The XML wildcard attributes are used for binding attribute values into RML-variables. Exactly how it is done will be made clear later with examples. All the RML constructs are shown in Table 2.1 in the Appendix. As can be seen it all fits in one table, and the RML constructs are designed to be easy to remember. Future versions of RML may add extra constructs, but the ones shown in Table 2.1 are all that were needed so far for the XML transformations I encountered in practice.

3.2.4 Search and replace

The **applyrule** program tries to find the pattern in the antecedent of a rule in the input XML. If it finds a piece of input XML that matches the pattern, then it replaces that piece of input by the consequence of the rule.

3.2.5 The dorules tool

The **dorules** program takes as input some problem XML and a list of RML rules. The RML rules are passed as filenames separated by + characters. For instance:

```
dorules -i myinput.xml -r rule1.xml+rule2.xml
```

It then applies the first rule of the list to the input, just like the **applyrule** program, and if there is a match (the output is different from the input) then the program restarts, taking the generated output and the list of rules as input. If a rule does not match the input then the next rule in the list is tried. If no rule in the list matches the input then the program stops. This program turned out to be useful in practice, alleviating the writing of commandline scripts. Such commandline scripts can perform complex transformations by executing **applyrule** and **dorules** repeatedly with varying rules.

3.2.6 The dorecipe tool

To avoid writing commandline scripts at all, the **dorecipe** program is available. With the **dorecipe** program the user can define a sequence of **applyrule** and **dorules** executions in XML. The XML used is Recipe RML (RRML). An example RRML recipe is shown in Fig. 3.4.

```

<rml-recipe>
  <apply>
    <rule>
      <variable name="ID" value="commandline-ID" />
      <directory name="rules" />
      <filename name="effect1.xml" />
    </rule>
  </apply>
  <iterate>
    <rule>
      <directory name="rules" />
      <filename name="effect3.xml" />
    </rule>
    <rule>
      <directory name="rules" />
      <filename name="effect2.xml" />
    </rule>
  </iterate>
</rml-recipe>

```

Figure 3.4: An RRML recipe

An RRML recipe has a root element called `rml-recipe`. This root element can contain `apply` elements and `iterate` elements. The `apply` element corresponds to the execution of the **applyrule** program and the `iterate` element corresponds to the **dorules** program. An `apply` element must contain exactly 1 `rule` element, an `iterate` element must contain 1 or more `rule` elements. Finally, a `rule` element must contain a `filename` element and it can contain a `directory` element and 0 or more `variable` elements. Later in this tutorial there will be examples showing the usage of recipes.

3.2.7 XML parsing details

RML was designed to transform XML elements and their text content. In the current version of RML only XML elements and their text contents are preserved. This means that for instance XML comments or processing instructions are removed. The reason for this is to make the RML tools as portable as possible, independent of the capabilities of the available XML parsers on a platform. There are many XML tools available outside RML to extract and handle things like processing instructions so I suggest you use those if you need to use XML constructs that are not XML elements. However, contact the author if you have suggestions.

In XML elements produced by the RML tools, the order of attributes in the set of attributes may be changed with respect to the input, this depends on the XML parser on your system that is used by the RML tools. This should not be a problem: relying on attribute order in XML is generally considered bad XML usage.

The RML tools look for the pyRXP module and use that if available. The pyRXP module uses the very fast RXP parser, that is an example of a parser that does not preserve attribute order. If your system is missing the pyRXP parser then the old xmllib Python parser is used, this one is available since Python version 1.5.2 from 1999. Support for more parsers may be added in the future.

3.3 Future versions of RML

Some ideas for future versions of RML that would be simple to implement but were not necessary in the XML languages in the projects it was used for are listed here.

- String concatenation.

Example: `<newprefix+rml-X />` in the consequence. If `X` is bound (in the antecedent) to "MyName" then this will produce a `<newprefixMyName />` element.

- Arithmetic

Example: `<... ..= 10+rml-X />` in the consequence. If `X` is bound to a string representing a number, then the sum of 10 and this number becomes the attribute value.

- XPath support.

Example: `<rml-if xpath="model/*/process" />` will let a match only succeed if the previous element is a `process` element with a `model` ancestor.

3.4 Installing and running

Unzip the supplied zipfile, this will create a directory called `rml`, containing several other subdirectories. The RML tools, **applyrule** and **dorules** and **dorecipe**, are in the `rml` directory. It is assumed the reader does know how to run commandline programs and how to go to directories on his or her operating system. If you have a default Python [vR95] installation on a Windows computer then you can call Python files as executable programs, because the `.py` filename extension will be marked as executable. To get Python, go to <http://www.python.org> and download the executable installer. In the example commands you can then use

```
C:\mystuff\rml\applyrule.py -i myinput.xml -r myrule.xml
```

from any other directory, assuming you did install RML in `C:\mystuff`. With linux or unix systems you usually have to prepend `python` to commands, for instance

```
$ python applyrule.py -i myinput.xml -r myrule.xml
```

If one of the tool programs is run without arguments then a short usage help is output.

The tutorial examples are in subdirectory `examples` below `tutorial` below `rml`. If you go to the `examples` directory you can run the **applyrule** program on file "input.xml" there using the empty rule in file "rule.empty.xml" by issuing the

```
..\..\applyrule.py -i input.xml -r rule.empty.xml
```

command. This will output the contents of the file "input.xml", since nothing was matched. The output is pretty printed, with more indentation for elements deeper down the tree hierarchy, and with attributes indented from the element name and below each other. Figure 3.5 shows an input and the output from applying the empty rule.

Input:

```
<model xmlns="Concepts.ArchiMate.Generic"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="Concepts Generic.xsd">

  <event id="008" name="request for insurance"/>
  <process id="009" name="investigate"/>

  <triggering id="015" name="triggers"><from href="008"/>
    <to href="009"/></triggering>
</model>
```

Output:

```
<model
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xmlns="Concepts.ArchiMate.Generic"
  xsi:schemaLocation="Concepts Generic.xsd" >
  <event
    name="request for insurance"
    id="008" />
  <process
    name="investigate"
    id="009" />
  <triggering
    name="triggers"
    id="015" >
    <from
      href="008" />
    <to
      href="009" />

  </triggering>

</model>
```

Figure 3.5: Input and output when using the empty rule

3.5 Examples

3.5.1 Deleting an element

Deleting an element using a literal match

Example 001 Suppose you want to transform

```
<model>
  <event name="request for insurance" id="008" />
  <process name="investigate" id="009" />
  <process name="formalize request" id="010" />
</model>
```

available in file `input.001.xml`

to

```
<model>
  <event name="request for insurance" id="008" />
  <process name="formalize request" id="010" />
</model>
```

, removing the process element with name `investigate`. This can be done with the RML rule

```
<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <process name="investigate" id="009" />
  </div>
  <div class="consequence" />
</div>
```

`rule.001.xml`

If you have the input in file `input.001.xml` and the rule in file `rule.001.xml` then you can do this transformation on the commandline with the command

```
applyrule --input input.001.xml --rule rule.001.xml
```

The files for the examples, in this case `input.001.xml` and `rule.001.xml`, are in your `examples` directory.

How does RML apply this rule to the input? What happens is that the **applyrule** program looks in the **antecedent** of the rule and finds the **process** element there. This is a literal element, there are no special RML features in the element. The program then loads the input in its memory and searches the input for such an element. In our case it finds such an element and then replaces it by the contents of the **consequence** of the rule (in this case nothing). The program then outputs the modified input. If the program would not have found a matching element then the output would be the same as the input, but “pretty-printed” (see Fig. 3.5).

Output is printed to screen, there are no special features to produce files. The normal I/O redirection of the operating system can be used to produce files, for instance

```
applyrule --input input.xml --rule rule.xml > myoutput.xml
```

Deleting an element with a specific attribute

Example 002 Now suppose you want to remove a **process** element from `input.001.xml` and you do know it has a `name="investigate"` and an `id` attribute but you don't know the value of the `id` attribute. This RML rule does what you want:

```
<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <process name="investigate" id="rml-X" />
  </div>
  <div class="consequence" />
</div>
```

rule.002.xml

This is an RML rule with a special RML feature in it: the `"rml-X"` attribute value of the `id` attribute. In RML, if an attribute value starts with `rml-` then it is considered an RML variable. The name of the variable is what comes after the `rml-`. If you use this rule, what happens is that the **applyrule** program does the same thing as in example 001, but instead of looking for an `id="009"` it now only looks for an `id` attribute and it puts the value of the attribute it finds into RML variable `X`. This variable `X` is not used anywhere else in the rule so for the rest of **applyrule**'s program execution it is just ignored.

But what if there are 2 `process` elements with `name="investigate"` and an `id` attribute? Then the first one in the input XML will be removed, the first being the first one encountered when reading the XML input file from top to bottom.

Example 002 can reuse the file `input.001.xml` so there is no need for a `input.002.xml` file, but there is a `rule.002.xml` in your `examples` directory.

Deleting an element with a specific attribute value

Example 003 The following rule removes a `process` element if it has an `id` attribute with value 009, ignoring all other attributes and values.

```
<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <process id="009" />
  </div>
  <div class="consequence" />
</div>
```

rule.003.xml

This rule works because RML does subset matching: if the element name and all the attributes of a pattern element match, then it is considered a match even if the matching input element has more attributes.

If `<process id="009" />` is replaced by `<process id="rml-X" />` then even the attribute value does not matter: the first `process` element with an `id` attribute is removed.

3.5.2 Changing an element

So far we did see only rules that delete elements. The emphasis was on how to match input elements, how to select elements that are to be deleted. In this Section we will see how element names and attributes can be changed into something else. This will also explain more about RML-variables.

Changing an element name

Example 004 Suppose you want to change the name of the `<process name="investigate" id="009" />` element to `MyProcess`. The rule in file `rule.004.xml` does just that.

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <process name="investigate" id="009" />
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <MyProcess name="investigate" id="009" />
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.004.xml

But this rule only works for a process with attributes name="investigate" and id="009".

Changing an element name and copying all attributes

Example 005 What if you just want to change the element name like in Example 004 and copy all the other attributes. This is useful for instance if you don't know all the attributes. Then you need the special RML attribute `rml-others`. It puts all attributes that do not appear elsewhere in the element into an RML variable. An RML variable is denoted by a leading `rml-`. Rule `rule.005.xml` shows the solution.

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <process rml-others="rml-X" />
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <MyProcess rml-others="rml-X" />
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.005.xml

Changing all process element names: Iterating a rule

Example 006 But `rule.005.xml` only changes 1 element. If you want to change all `process` elements to `MyProcess` elements then you could just repeatedly use `applyrule` until there are no more `process` elements left. But you can also use the `dorules` program here. The `dorules` program has a

--rules parameter instead of a --rule parameter, it takes a set of rules as the value of that parameter, where rules are separated by a + character. In this example there is only one rule. Run `dorules -i input.002.xml -r rule.005.xml` for the desired effect.

Changing attribute values

Example 007 Example 006 did bind a set of attributes to an RML variable. We can also bind an attribute value to an RML variable. We did that already in Example 002, but here we will also use the value of the attribute in the output.

Rule `rule.007.xml` shows how to search for a process with `name="investigate"` and to change it to a process with `name="SomethingElse"`. The `id` attribute with its value is copied to the output. This works even when you don't know the value of the `id` attribute, the RML variable with name `A` is used for that.

```
<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <process name="investigate" id="rml-A" />
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <process name="SomethingElse" id="rml-A" />
  </div>
</div>
```

rule.007.xml

3.5.3 RML variables for elements

Section 3.5.2 introduced RML variables. This Section will say more about RML variables. The antecedent of an RML rule contains XML from the problem domain XML vocabulary, mixed with other RML constructs. Together they form a matching pattern. When the rule is applied, this matching pattern is matched against the XML input. When a match occurs, the RML variables in the antecedent are filled with values. The type of these values can be:

- a string (element name, or attribute value),

- a set of attributes and their values,
- one XML element,
- a list of XML elements from the problem domain.

The last two are introduced next.

RML variables for lists of elements and their children

Example 008 Duplicate all childs of a model element.

```
<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent" >
    <model>
      <rml-list name="A" />
    </model>
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <model>
      <rml-use name="A" />
      <rml-use name="A" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>
```

rule.008.xml

The `rml-list` RML element stores a list of elements *at that position* in the pattern into an RML variable. The RML variable can be output in the consequence of a rule with the `rml-use` RML element. All children elements of elements in the list will also be copied.

RML variables for complete elements and their children

Example 009 Duplicate the first child of a model element.


```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent" >
    <model>
      <rml-tree name="A" />
      <rml-list name="B" />
    </model>
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <model>
      <rml-use name="A" />
      <rml-use name="A" />
      <rml-use name="B" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.009.xml

The `rml-tree` element matches only 1 element (and all its possible children), the `rml-list` element after it in the antecedent of the rule matches the rest of the elements in that list.

Defining RML variables for elements or lists of element with `rml-bind`

New in RML 1.6.

You can bind RML variables for elements (or for lists of elements) in the matching process, but you can also define them “manually” with `rml-bind`:

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent" >
    <model>
      <rml-bind name="A">
        <MyNewElement />
      </rml-bind>
      <rml-list name="B" />
    </model>
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <model>
      <rml-use name="A" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.009a.xml

This rule replaces all children of `model` with the `MyNewElement` element. Here `<MyNewElement/>` is bound to RML variable `A`. Instead of only `<MyNewElement/>` you can also put a list of elements there, and you can use RML variables to define the elements (for example RML variables for element names and attribute values). The `rule.009a.xml` is equivalent with a rule that has no `rml-bind` element and just has `<MyNewElement/>` in the consequent of the rule in place of the `rml-use` element there. So why bother with `rml-bind`? In Section 3.5.5 in example 011a we will see how manually binding with `rml-bind` can be useful.

3.5.4 RML variables for text content

The `<rml-text name="SomeName" />` construct is used to bind XML text-content. It is used in the same way as the `rml-tree` element, but it will only match if there is XML text-content to be found in the matching position of the input. So you can not match an *element* and put it in the `SomeName` variable, if you want that, then you have to use `rml-tree`. You can use the `SomeName` RML variable just like any other RML variable; use it like `rml-SomeName` for an element name in the output or for an attribute name or attribute value, or use it like `<rml-use name="SomeName" />` for text-content of an XML element.

3.5.5 Adding constraints with rml-if

Example 010 Transform

```
<model>
  <collaboration id="004" name="Negotiation">
    <role id="001" name="Intermediary"/>
    <role id="002" name="Customer"/>
  </collaboration>
  <process id="009" name="investigate"/>
  <role id="002" name="Customer"/>
  <process id="010" name="formalize request" />
</model>
```

input.010.xml

into

```
<model>
  <collaboration id="004" name="Negotiation">
    <role id="001" name="Intermediary"/>
    <role id="002" name="Customer"/>
  </collaboration>
  <process id="009" name="investigate"/>
  <role id="002" name="Customer"/>
  <process id="010" name="formalize request" />
  <role id="001" name="Intermediary"/>
</model>
```

by executing this rule:

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent" >
    <model>
      <collaboration rml-others="CollAttrs">
        <rml-tree name="A" />
        <rml-tree name="B" />
      </collaboration>
      <rml-list name="L1" />
      <rml-if child="B" />
      <rml-list name="L2" />
    </model>
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <model>
      <collaboration rml-others="CollAttrs">
        <rml-use name="A" />
        <rml-use name="B" />
      </collaboration>
      <rml-use name="L1" />
      <rml-use name="L2" />
      <rml-use name="A" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.010.xml

In the example the `Intermediary` is added as a child of `model`. The rule looks for a `model` element with a `collaboration` child that in turn has exactly 2 children elements, where the second child elements is also a child of the `model` element. If that is the case, the rule adds the first child to the children of `model`.

The `rml-if` elements are elements that constrain whether a match succeeds or not. The `rml-if child="SomeVar"` element only succeeds if the element bound to `SomeVar` appears somewhere in the current list of elements. `SomeVar` has to be bound earlier in the rule with an `rml-tree name="SomeVar"` element. There is also a `rml-if nochild=X />` element that succeeds only if `X` does *not* appear in the current list. These 2 constraint adding elements are more complex than I would like, but I have found good

usage for them in practice.

Example 011 If you repeat `rule.010.xml`, using the output as input, then you add `Intermediary` elements every time. To prevent that, rewrite the rule as:

```
<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent" >
    <model>
      <collaboration rml-others="CollAttrs">
        <rml-tree name="A" />
        <rml-tree name="B" />
      </collaboration>
      <rml-list name="L1" />
      <rml-if child="B" />
      <rml-if nochild="A" />
      <rml-list name="L2" />
    </model>
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <model>
      <collaboration rml-others="CollAttrs">
        <rml-use name="A" />
        <rml-use name="B" />
      </collaboration>
      <rml-use name="L1" />
      <rml-use name="L2" />
      <rml-use name="A" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>
```

rule.011.xml

The only difference is the line with `<rml-if nochild="A" />` in the antecedent of the rule. If I execute `..\..\dorules.py -i input.010.xml -r rule.010.xml` on my computer, then the program *hangs* until the system runs out of memory: It tries to add an infinite number of `Intermediary` elements and I have to use the Break key to stop it. But if I use `dorules`

with `rule.011.xml` then it stops with the desired effect. It stops because the second time it tries to apply the rule, the match fails because `nochild` fails because there *is* an `Intermediary`. The `dorules` program stops when it can not change the input anymore with any of its rules.

Example 011a In example 011 we were able to stop iteration by simple adding a `<rml-if nochild="A">` element. The value for the `A` variable was found earlier in the rule. But sometimes we can not do this, especially when the value that we want to bind to the variable is not present in the input. An example is when we want to create a completely new element and bind it to an RML variable such that we we can use the RML variable in `rml-if` constructs.

Suppose we want to transform `input.011a.xml`:

```
<model>
  <collaboration id="004" name="Negotiation">
    <role id="001" name="Intermediary"/>
    <role id="002" name="Customer"/>
  </collaboration>
</model>
```

`input.011a.xml`

into `output.011a.xml`:

```
<model>
  <collaboration id="004" name="Negotiation">
    <role id="001" name="Intermediary"/>
    <role id="002" name="Customer"/>
  </collaboration>
  <Intermediary id="001"/>
</model>
```

`output.011a.xml`

creating a new element with name `Intermediary`. Then we can use `rule.011a.xml`:

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent" >
    <model>
      <collaboration rml-others="CollAttrs">
        <rml-list name="PreRoles"/>
        <role id="rml-idA" name="rml-A" />
        <rml-list name="PostRoles"/>
      </collaboration>
      <rml-list name="Tail"/>
    </model>
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <model>
      <collaboration rml-others="CollAttrs">
        <rml-use name="PreRoles"/>
        <role id="rml-idA" name="rml-A" />
        <rml-use name="PostRoles"/>
      </collaboration>
      <rml-use name="Tail"/>
      <rml-A id="rml-idA" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.011a.xml

But when we apply rule.011a.xml to the result (in output.011a.xml) again, it produces *another* Intermediary element. We want to stop that, and instead add a Customer element, like in output.011b.xml:

```

<model>
  <collaboration id="004" name="Negotiation">
    <role id="001" name="Intermediary"/>
    <role id="002" name="Customer"/>
  </collaboration>
  <Intermediary id="001"/>
  <Customer id="002"/>
</model>

```

output.011b.xml

To achieve this, use rule.011b.xml:

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent" >
    <model>
      <collaboration rml-others="CollAttrs">
        <rml-list name="PreRoles"/>
        <role id="rml-idA" name="rml-A" />
        <rml-list name="PostRoles"/>
      </collaboration>
      <rml-bind name="New">
        <rml-A id="rml-idA" />
      </rml-bind>
      <rml-if nochild="New"/>
      <rml-list name="Tail"/>
    </model>
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <model>
      <collaboration rml-others="CollAttrs">
        <rml-use name="PreRoles"/>
        <role id="rml-idA" name="rml-A" />
        <rml-use name="PostRoles"/>
      </collaboration>
      <rml-use name="Tail"/>
      <rml-A id="rml-idA" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.011b.xml

When we apply rule.011b.xml iteratively until the output is stable (with the dorules tool: "dorules.py -i input.011a.xml -r rule.011b.xml") we get the desired output.011b.xml. The rule works by binding the desired new element to an RML variable with the name `New`, and using this `New` variable in the `<rml-if nochild="New"/>` test; preventing a match if the new element is already there.

Example 012 Suppose you want to output the second role in the first collaboration in `input.010.xml`, producing

```
<role id="002" name="Customer"/>
```

This rule:

```
<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <model>
      <collaboration>
        <role/>
        <rml-tree name="A"/>
      </collaboration>
    </model>
  </div>

  <div class="consequence">
    <rml-use name="A" />
  </div>
</div>
```

`rule.012a.xml`

produces the desired output. And when applying that rule to

```
<model>
  <collaboration id="004" name="Negotiation">
    <role id="001" name="Intermediary"/>
    <role id="002" name="Customer"/>
    <role id="003" name="Office"/>
  </collaboration>
  <process id="009" name="investigate"/>
  <role id="002" name="Customer"/>
  <process id="010" name="formalize request" />
</model>
```

`input.012.xml`

it also outputs the `Customer`. But what if you want the rule only to work if there are exactly 2 child elements in the `collaboration`? Then use rule:

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <model>
      <collaboration>
        <role/>
        <rml-tree name="A"/>
        <rml-if last="true"/>
      </collaboration>
    </model>
  </div>

  <div class="consequence">
    <rml-use name="A" />
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.012.xml

The `<rml-if last="true"/>` element makes the matching of the rule only succeed if the previous element is the last in a list. If you apply `rule.012.xml` to `input.012.xml` then you get the contents of the input back: no match. But `rule.012.xml` *does* work on `input.010.xml`.

3.5.6 Match choice with `rml-type="or"`

Example 013 If you want to match

```

<model>
  <role id="001" name="Intermediary"/>
</model>

```

input.013a.xml

or

```

<model>
  <role id="002" name="Customer"/>
</model>

```

input.013b.xml

but not

```
<model>
  <role id="003" name="Insurance Company"/>
</model>
```

input.013c.xml

then you can use rule rule.13.xml:

```
<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <model>
      <role id="001" name="Intermediary"
        rml-type="or" />
      <role id="002" name="Customer"
        rml-type="or" />
    </model>
  </div>

  <div class="consequence">
    <matched how="allright" />
  </div>
</div>
```

rule.13.xml

With the special attribute `rml-type="or"`, the RML tools try the next element if a match fails on an element, but only if that next element also has this special attribute.

3.5.7 How to remove duplicate siblings

Example 014 Some of the inspiration leading to RML came when I had to transform something like

```

<model>
  <role id="003" name="Insurance Company"/>
  <whatever />
  <role id="003" name="Insurance Company"/>
  <evenmore />
</model>

```

input.014.xml

to

```

<model>
  <role id="003" name="Insurance Company"/>
  <whatever />
  <evenmore />
</model>

```

, just removing duplicate siblings. This looks simple but I found out it was very hard to do with for example XSLT. The RML rule for this is not difficult:

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <model>
      <rml-list name="list1" />
      <role rml-others="A" />
      <rml-list name="list2" />
      <role rml-others="A" />
      <rml-list name="list3" />
    </model>
  </div>

  <div class="consequence">
    <model>
      <rml-list name="list1" />
      <role rml-others="A" />
      <rml-list name="list2" />
      <rml-list name="list3" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.014.xml

This rule also shows a typical usage of `rml-list` elements: all the elements, and their children, around the elements you are interested in, are remembered in variables (here `list1` and `list2` and `list3`). With this pattern you can "preserve the context" of the elements you want to match. Example 016 also shows this pattern.

Example 015 And for Example 014 even this rule works:

```
<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <model>
      <rml-list name="list1" />
      <rml-tree name="A" />
      <rml-list name="list2" />
      <rml-use name="A" />
      <rml-list name="list3" />
    </model>
  </div>

  <div class="consequence">
    <model>
      <rml-use name="list1" />
      <rml-use name="A" />
      <rml-use name="list2" />
      <rml-use name="list3" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>
```

rule.015.xml

, not only for duplicate `role` elements, but for *any* duplicate elements in the `model`. This rule makes use of the fact that variable `A` has been bound in the line with `<rml-tree name="A" />`, and then a `<rml-use name="A" />` is allowed not only in the consequence, but also in the antecedent of a rule.

3.5.8 Iterating sets of rules

The `dorules` tool accepts a list of rulefilenames in parameter `--rules` (`or-r`), instead of just one rule like the `applyrule` tool.

It then applies the first rule of the list to the input, just like the **applyrule** program, and if the rule can be successfully applied (the output is different from the input) then the program restarts, taking the generated output and the list of rules as input. If a rule does not match the input then the next rule in the list is tried. If no rule in the list matches the input then the program stops.

Iterating sets of rules is often useful. A typical usage pattern is when you want to create new elements with data from 2 original elements, but you don't know the order of the 2. Then you write 2 rules and let **dorules** handle it.

Example 016 Transform

```
<model>
  <triggering id="016" name="triggers">
    <from href="009"/>
    <to href="010"/>
  </triggering>
  <triggering id="015" name="triggers">
    <from href="008"/>
    <to href="009"/>
  </triggering>
</model>
```

input.016.xml

into

```
<model>
  <triggering id="016" name="triggers">
    <from href="008"/>
    <to href="010"/>
  </triggering>
</model>
```

by executing **dorules** with this rule:

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <model rml-others="modelAttrs">
      <rml-list name="Prelude" />
      <rml-R1 name="rml-N1">
        <from href="rml-F1"/>
        <to href="rml-ID" />
      </rml-R1>
      <rml-list name="Between" />
      <rml-R1 name="rml-N1" rml-others="AttrRest">
        <from href="rml-ID"/>
        <to href="rml-T1" />
      </rml-R1>
      <rml-list name="Epilog" />
    </model>
  </div>

  <div class="consequence">
    <model rml-others="modelAttrs">
      <rml-use name="Prelude" />
      <rml-R1 name="rml-N1" rml-others="AttrRest">
        <from href="rml-F1"/>
        <to href="rml-T1" />
      </rml-R1>
      <rml-use name="Between" />
      <rml-use name="Epilog" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.016a.xml

and this rule:

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent">
    <model rml-others="modelAttrs">
      <rml-list name="Prelude" />
      <rml-R1 name="rml-N1" rml-others="AttrRest">
        <from href="rml-ID"/>
        <to href="rml-T1" />
      </rml-R1>
      <rml-list name="Between" />
      <rml-R1 name="rml-N1">
        <from href="rml-F1"/>
        <to href="rml-ID" />
      </rml-R1>
      <rml-list name="Epilog" />
    </model>
  </div>

  <div class="consequence">
    <model rml-others="modelAttrs">
      <rml-use name="Prelude" />
      <rml-R1 name="rml-N1" rml-others="AttrRest">
        <from href="rml-F1"/>
        <to href="rml-T1" />
      </rml-R1>
      <rml-use name="Between" />
      <rml-use name="Epilog" />
    </model>
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.016b.xml

issuing the command:

```
dorules -i input.016.xml -r rule.016a.xml+rule.016b.xml
```

Note that the order of the rules in the list is significant. The order is not important in this example but in another it could be. This example is also another example of “context preserving” with `rml-list` elements, storing the context in `Prelude`, `Between` and `Epilog`.

3.5.9 Turning a list into a hierarchy

Example 017 Transform

```
<model>
  <role id="001" name="Intermediary"/>
  <collaboration id="004" name="Negotiation"/>
  <process id="009" name="investigate"/>
  <process id="010" name="formalize request"/>
</model>
```

input.017.xml

into

```
<model>
  <role id="001" name="Intermediary" >
    <collaboration >
      <process id="009" name="investigate" >
        <process id="010" name="formalize request"/>
      </process>
    </collaboration>
  </role>
</model>
```

by executing dorules with this rule:

```

<div class="rule">
  <div class="antecedent" >
    <rml-Top>
      <rml-Name rml-others="A" />
      <rml-Name2 rml-others="B" />
      <rml-list name="L" />
    </rml-Top>
  </div>
  <div class="consequence">
    <rml-Top>
      <rml-Name rml-others="A">
        <rml-Name2 rml-others="B">
          <rml-use name="L" />
        </rml-Name2>
      </rml-Name>
    </rml-Top>
  </div>
</div>

```

rule.017.xml

This rule "deepens" all lists in the input when applied iteratively with `dorules`.

3.5.10 Pre-binding string variables on the command-line

Example 018 If you have this input:

```

<model>
  <event name="request for insurance" id="008" />
  <process name="investigate" id="009" />
  <process name="investigate" id="010" />
</model>

```

available in file `input.018.xml`

and you would like to remove the `process` with `id="010"`, then you need something very similar to the `rule.002.xml` you wrote earlier. But that rule

removes the `id="009"` process, because that is the first that matches the antecedent of the rule (`<process name="investigate" id="rml-X" />`).

A solution is to bind commandline variables to RML variables. If you issue the command:

```
applyrule -i input.018.xml -r rule.002.xml X=010
```

 then the `id="010"` will be removed.

What happens is that the *string* `010` is bound to RML variable `X`, meaning that the RML tools now treat `"rml-X"` in a rule as `"010"`.

3.5.11 Using recipes

RML recipes are stated in Recipe RML (RRML), an XML vocabulary for RML recipes. With RML recipes the user can define sequences of `applyrule` and `dorules` executions. See Sect. 3.2.6 and Fig. 3.4, there is an example recipe. There are plans for a future version of RRML with `rule` elements that can execute XSLT transformations too. Or that can execute arbitrary programs...let me know what you would like.

I hope you enjoyed this tutorial. Good luck with your XML transformations!

