1) Dialogical Logic is an ideal framework for the combination of different logics.

2) Concerning the formulation of non-classical logics dialogical logic offers a fruitful compromise between Došen's principle and Girard's principle.

3) In the dialogical framework it can be shown what is wrong with logical particles like *tonk*.

4) With the help of dialogical logic one can give two distinct readings of anti-realist talk of meaning in terms of assertibility conditions.

5) There are two important aspects of knowledge, one of which is neglected in standard epistemic logics.

6) An adequate logical analysis reveals that the existence of ‘intensional contexts’ is a myth.

7) Williamson’s new proposal of reference as knowledge maximising is a an interesting alternative to standard approaches to reference.

8) Kripke's distinction between rigid and non-rigid designators is flawed.

9) Kripke's conception of analyticity in *Naming and Necessity* is unconvincing.

10) If Wittgenstein had followed through the main line of thought of the *Tractatus* this should have led him to a language without tautologies and contradictions.

11) It is misleading to call Hume an irrationalist concerning induction.

12) The most famous doctor from Leiden by far often found himself in philosophically relevant circumstances.