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I

As is well known, there are many and sometimes striking differences between the Massoretic text of the book of Isaiah (MT Isa) and the Old Greek of this book (LXX Isa). Several explanations for these differences have been given in the last century. Some scholars believed that the Vorlage of the LXX Isa was markedly different from the MT Isa. Others, however, criticized this view; in their opinion most differences were the work of the translator and were due mainly to factors such as faulty knowledge of the Hebrew language, misreadings, influence of the Aramaic, attempts to produce good Koine Greek, and predilection for a free and paraphrasing translation. To these and other supposed factors I. L. Seeligmann added a new one: a marked tendency toward contemporization by means of fulfillment-interpretation of the old oracles of the prophet Isaiah.

My own research on the LXX Isa has led me to the conviction that this feature of the LXX Isa, as suggested by Seeligmann, is an important key not only for explaining differences between the Hebrew and the Greek text of Isaiah, but also for a better understanding of the Greek text itself. Recently I have dealt with some texts of the LXX Isa as examples of fulfillment-interpretation in *Die alten Textzeugen des Jesajabuches*. In this present article
I will deal with some verses of LXX Isa 23 as another example of this kind of interpretation.

Seeligmann adheres to the view that one can discover such interpretations only "in isolated, free renderings." It is to be asked, however, whether one has to look upon free and interpretative renderings as "isolated" from their Greek context. According to Seeligmann, one should not try "to discover logical connexions in any chapter or part of a chapter in our Septuagint-text," as K. F. Euler did with LXX Isa 53. Seeligmann studies the LXX Isa in relation to the Hebrew Vorlage exclusively and considers it unjustified to deal with the LXX Isa as a coherent text with its own meaning. Euler, on the other hand, aimed at treating LXX Isa 53 in both respects. J. M. Coste did the same with LXX Isa 25:1-5 and reached the conclusion that the Greek passage in those verses, while very arbitrary in relation to the Hebrew, turns out to be a meaningful unity on its own. J. C. M. das Neves dealt in the same way with LXX Isa 24.

It is in this twofold way that I will deal in this article with some verses of LXX Isa 23: (a) the Greek text in relation to "the" Hebrew text (primarily in a descriptive way), and (b) the Greek text on its own. As to "the" Hebrew text, important readings of Qumran MSS will be mentioned alongside the MT: first, readings of 1QIsa (contemporary with the LXX Isa), and then readings of 1QIsa, 4QIsa, and 4QIsa.

II

23:1 (The Heading) ἩΒΔ Τόπου - τὸ δραμα τῶν.

The rendering δραμα (or δραστ) for ἩΒΔ is characteristic of the LXX Isa and does not occur in the LXX elsewhere in the OT. In the LXX Isa not only the prophecy about Tyre in our chapter but also the book as a whole (1:1, cf. MT) is called a "vision." The rendering δραμα is thus in line with δραστ of 1:1.
The first thing which is striking in this verse is the rendering "Carthage" for Tarshish, as is the case throughout chap. 23 (vv 6, 10, 14). Outside this chapter, however, Tarshish has been rendered differently in the Old Greek of Isaiah: in 2:16 the words לֹּא צְרִיךְ חַדְרָקְן are translated by πᾶν πλοῖον θαλάσσις (Tarshish as referring to the Mediterranean Sea), whereas the LXX offers πλοῖα θαρσοῦ for צְרִיךְ חַדְרָקְן in 60:9 and θαρσοῦ for צְרִיךְ חַדְרָקְן in 66:19 (Tarshish in both cases understood, apparently, as the name of a [maritime] country).

In chap. 23 Tarshish is interpreted as "Carthage," that is to say, as the most famous Phoenician city on the African coast of the Mediterranean Sea, founded long before by traders from Tyre. The question arises why the translator wanted to introduce Carthage into his version of Isa 23: only to make clear in which sense Tarshish had to be understood in relation to Tyre (cf. LXX Ezek 27:12, 25), or to say something more?

J. Fischer has suggested that LXX Isa 23 reflects a period in which Carthage still had a dominating position in the western part of the Mediterranean Sea (between 250 and 201 B.C.). Seelig-
mann, on the other hand, describes the whole of our chapter in Greek as "one distressful lamentation for the destruction of the πλοία Καρχηδόνος" in the year 146 B.C.

A further analysis of the meaning of v 1 in Greek is necessary in order to answer our question and to deal with the suggestions of both Fischer and Seeligmann. "Wail, ye ships of Carthage, for x is utterly destroyed, and y no longer come from the land of the Kittim; x is led captive." Seeligmann considers "the ships" as subject of ἀπόλεστο. This, however, is improbable: ἀπόλεστο (singular) is in line with ἦταν αἰχμάλωτος (whereas ἔρχονται is in the plural), and αἰχμάλωτος cannot refer to πλοία. Something different must be the subject of the two singular verbs; the subject of ἔρχονται, on the other hand, could be the ships. Usually, one thinks of Tyre as the subject of ἀπόλεστο. It is to be asked, however, whether the Greek of 23:1 has to be understood in the same sense as the Hebrew. This should not be decided on the basis of the meaning of the Hebrew text, as often occurs, but on the basis of the context in the Greek text of chap. 23. In this connection vv 10 and 14 are very important.

23:10 ἔργαζον τὴν γῆν σου - καὶ γὰρ πλοία συκέται ἔρχεται (ἔρχονται A-26) ἐκ Καρχηδόνος.

MT-LXX: ἔργαζον τὴν γῆν σου - ἔργαζον: via ἐλεύθ, cf. 1QIsa (= LXX); καὶ γὰρ: via (8) ἐρ. Ottley suggests καὶ γὰρ πλοία: according to Fischer and Ziegler these words reflect the Hebrew נַכְרָר (instead of נַכְרָר), but that is too speculative; καὶ γὰρ: MT = 1QIsa (בְּלָעִיר) and 4QIsa (בְּלָעִיר). The LXX has a different sense-division from that in the MT. The Hebrew has - "Carthage", (see above); or via שִׂיאָר הַנַּב (with the ל of לְכָר ה "the
Lady of the sea"? "...Ὡρών - οὐκέτι. πλοῖα: not to be related to a hypothetical Ἰορών (see above), but more probably to be related to ΖΩΝ (= 1QIsa\(^a\)), interpreted as "harbor" (see below).

23:14

IfVfJÎ - ÔÀOAÛÇE TÔÔÎ - nAÎA Kapxn6ovoc

MT-LXX: Tarshish - "Carthage": see v 1.

It is clear that vv 10 and 14 in Greek are closely connected with v 1. Verse 14 offers the subject of ἀπώλετο: τὸ οχύρωμα ὑμῶν "your stronghold" (namely, the stronghold of the ships of Carthage). Verse 10b (on v 10a see below) shows close agreement with v 1:

καὶ οὐκέτι ἔρχονται ἐκ γῆς Κιττίεων (v 1)
καὶ γὰρ πλοῖα οὐκέτι ἔρχεται ἐκ Καρχηδόνος (v 10).

This parallelism seems to be intended. (In light of this I would prefer in v 10 the reading ἔρχονται of MSS A-26 et al. to the reading ἔρχεταί. It follows that the subject of ἔρχονται in v 1 is "ships from Carthage." (The "ships of Carthage" in v 1a and v 14a, on the other hand, are ships from Carthage in the harbor of Tyre.) A parallelism between ἐκ γῆς Κιττίεων and ἐκ Καρχηδόνος is very possible: "the land of the Kittim" can refer to Greece (see 1 Macc 1:1) or to Italy (see MT and LXX Dan 11:30); this means that Carthage also and its environs in North Africa constitute a possible meaning of the term.

"Ships no longer come from Carthage, for their stronghold is destroyed." One would like to know which stronghold is meant by the translator. For this question we have to look more closely at v 10b (MT and LXX). In my view, the translator has interpreted the Hebrew of v 10b as follows: "for the daughter (of) Tarshish (or: the Lady of the sea [see above]), Carthage, is no longer a harbor." Thus, the stronghold is Carthage; that formerly impor-
tant center of commercial activities is utterly destroyed, and therefore ships no longer come from Carthage.

The Vorlage of the LXX Isa may have contained the reading הֶרְדָּה because lQisa supports this reading of the MT. The translator then has "interpreted" this word by means of metathesis of two consonants (יַדְר — דְּרַי), a well-known technique in ancient Jewish exegesis. By interpreting the Hebrew text in this way he was able to write down his translation καὶ γαρ πλοῖα οὐκετι ἔρχεται (or: ἔρχονται) ἐκ Καρχηδονος, a translation which was logically connected with the reading of his Vorlage and at the same time verbally connected with v 1.

Let us return to v 1. The subject of ἀπωλέω appears to be "Carthage." "Carthage is destroyed," and ships no longer come from there. It seems clear that the translator, by interpreting the text of Isa 23 in this way, refers to the total destruction of Carthage by the Romans in 146 B.C. This interpretation throws light on the choice of connecting καὶ with מָחָר וּמְלָכָא. The rendering ἤκταὶ αἰχμαλωτος then refers to the fact that the inhabitants of the city were led captive after the defeat. The city itself was totally destroyed.

There remains one question to be answered, namely, why the translator did not translate מִן. It may be assumed that this word stood in the Vorlage of the LXX Isa, because the Qumran MSS support the MT (see above). Ottley remarks: "The Heb. word may have been overlooked before מִן." In light of the meaning of v 1 in Greek, I would suggest another and more satisfying explanation. מִן in the sense of "from being a house" would imply that Carthage (as subject of ἀπωλέω) was a "house" for the ships of Carthage. In view of the commercial practice of that time, it is, however, probable that the author of the LXX Isa interpreted a "house" for ships with their merchants as designating an organization of a state-recognized group in a foreign port,
that is to say, outside the home harbor. This may be the reason why the translator did not translate הובטח; Carthage was not a "house" for the ships of Carthage.

23:2-3 ὁδε γεγονασιν ὅτι ἐξελθοντες ἐν τῇ νῆσῳ
μεταβολῶν Φολνίκης
διαπερνότας τὴν θάλασσαν
ἐν ὅσι πολλῷ
φρονήσας ὁ εἶναι μεταβολῶν
καὶ οἱ ἄμπτοι εἰσφέρομένοι
ὁ θαυμασίας γενέτο
οἱ μεταβολῶν τῶν ἠθνῶν.

MT-LXX: (MT v 1fin) - τίνι: via ἡμῖν; ἡμῖν = 1QIsa, 4QIsa. 
ἐν ὅσι: δμολοι γεγονασιν: via μιπ (μιπ MT).
ἐν δτι (v 2), ἡμῖν and ἡμῖν (v 3): these three words have all been rendered by μεταβολῶν (the sg. understood collectively; ἡμῖν interpreted as ἡμῖν via phonetic similarity of ο/ο).

There is no rendering of γράφαται (for the Qumran readings, see below). ἡμῖν - δμολοι γεγονασιν: the sg. ptp. understood collectively (1QIsa and 4QIsa).

The structure of the Greek text is clear: it consists of a long interrogative sentence τίνι δμολοι γεγονασιν...σερμα μετα-
βόλων, and a clause with an answer ὃς ἄμπτοι.... By the inhabitants "of the isle" are meant the people of Tyre. Thus, whereas in v 1 ships of Carthage (in the harbor of Tyre) are ad-
dressed, vv 2 and 3 in Greek have the inhabitants of Tyre as their subject. The text further makes clear which inhabitants of Tyre the translator has in view: the μεταβόλοι Φοινίκης. They are the important persons of vv 2-3, as is underlined by the fact that the word μεταβόλος occurs three times in these two verses. Here this Greek word is the rendering for the Hebrew נῦנ, a unique rendering of this Hebrew word in the LXX Isa (cf. only 47:15: μεταβολή for נῦנ) and in the LXX of the OT. The usual translation of נῦנ is ἐμπορός, ἐμπορία, ἐμπόριον, as is the case in the rest of our chapter (see v 8 and vv 17-18) and elsewhere in the rest of the LXX (see, e.g., LXX Ezek 27).

The μεταβόλος is the retailer, the small business man, who sells in small quantities. The word occurs but rarely, so, for instance, in the "Revenue Laws" of King Ptolemy Philadelphus (third century B.C.). Like the καπνός (see LXX Isa 1:22), the μεταβόλος stands in contrast to the ἐμπορός (see 23:8), who is the merchant, the trader, the big business man. It means that the inhabitants of Tyre were not the merchants of Phoenicia, but its retailers.

As a result of the rendering Φοινίκη for γέρας the Greek of vv 2-3 presupposes that Tyre was regarded as the metropolis of Phoenicia. As we know, this was indeed the case in the Hellenistic period. In this respect as well as for the interpretation of Φοινίκη for γέρας, the legend on Tyrian coins from the time of Antiochus IV is very significant: Ἐφέσι Νῆα Ἰωάννης. 

Whereas the Hebrew of vv 2-3 does not contain such a comparison, the Greek of these verses compares the retailers of Phoenicia with a harvest gathered in. Is this the result of a misunderstanding of the Hebrew text? Ziegler remarks in connection with the rendering ὃς (ἀμητοῦ): "Der Übers. kannte sich in der Konstruktion der hebr. Vorlage nicht aus, und hat frei ὃς zur Verdeutlichung eingeschoben." This, in my view, is an
underestimation of the translator on the one hand, and on the other too "modern" a criticism of an "ancient" translator, as far as philological principles are concerned. When one reads the Greek of vv 2-3 against the background of the contents of v 1 (see above), then the meaning of these verses becomes quite clear: the retailers in Tyre have become like a harvest gathered in, because the important trading center, Carthage, is destroyed; ships with merchants and merchandise no longer come from Carthage to Tyre, so that the retailers in Tyre, instead of crossing over the sea, have to stay at home "as a harvest gathered in." They are without employment.

In order to be able to make his translation of vv 2-3, the translator evidently dealt "freely" with the Hebrew text, as in fact is often the case in the LXX Isa. He connected יֹּסֵע with יֵּאמֶר, read יָּדֶּל as יְדֵּל (via the graphic similarity of י/י), 35 and interpreted יֵּאמֶר via the root יֵּאמֶר. He left some minor words untranslated, and added ως (see the remarks above).

There is one more important word, however, which he did not translate: יֱִּשֶׁר. According to Ottley, the LXX has "omitted it," 36 but he offers no suggestion as to the reason for this omission. Ziegler states: "LXX hat diesen Vers ganz frei wiedergegeben und kam mit "ως nicht zurecht." 37 Although it is not quite certain which reading stood in the Vorlage of the LXX Isa (יִּשְׁרָאֵל IQIsa a, IQIsa b = MT, [יִִּשְׁרָאֵל 4QIsa a]), the support for the MT of IQIsa b, which, unlike IQIsa a, is a conservative and accurate text-type, is in favor of the reading יֱִּשֶׁר. If then we may assume that this reading was in the Vorlage of the LXX Isa, 38 and if it was understood in the sense of "they filled you (Tyre)," one can imagine why it was left untranslated. It would mean that the retailers of Phoenicia "filled" Tyre. This, however, was not the case. It was not the μεταβολοι, but the ἐμποροι ("merchants, traders"), who "filled" a city like Tyre. There is a text in one of Ezekiel's prophecies against Tyre, viz., Ezek 27:25,
which confirms this: ... אֶנֶּגֶרֶת תְרוּשֵׁשׁ שֶׁרְמוֹרֵךְ מְעַרְבּּךְ וְתִפְלָאֵתְךָ = פָּלוֹאָה, אֵּנִי אוֹתוֹכָּא קָרֵחַסְתְוַא אֶחָּמָרֵו כָּטְשַׁנְו אָּסַע. Therefore, I think, the translator could not use the Hebrew מַלְאָנְרִל in his interpretation of vv 2-3.

Finally, passing over the interesting vv 6-8, I return to vv 10a.

23:10a עִבְרֵי אָרַץ - ἔργαζον τὴν γῆν σου.

MT-LXX: see above.

According to Seeligmann, the LXX echoed here the transformation of Carthage from a commercial state into an agricultural state after the destruction of its ships. In light of the above, however, it is more probable that Tyre is meant in this passage: after the destruction of Carthage there is no longer work for the retailers in Tyre, since ships with merchants and merchandise no longer come from Carthage (see v 10b); thus there is nothing left for Tyre but tilling its land.

The rendering ἔργαζον for ὑπὲρ suggests the reading ὑπὲρ. This is also the reading of lQIsa\(^a\); 4QIsa\(^a\) (ὑπὲρ), on the other hand, agrees with the MT. Because of the fact that the expression ὑπὲρ ἀρμύ with the sense of "till the land" does not occur in biblical Hebrew (one always finds ὑπὲρ ἀρματον), the reading ὑπὲρ of the MT and of 4QIsa\(^a\) must be the older and better reading. It seems more likely, therefore, to look at the rendering ἔργαζον as resulting from some kind of "interpretation" of ὑπὲρ (via the graphic similarity of ὑ/ἔ). This interpretation forms part of the interpretation of Isa 23 as a whole in the LXX Isa. From the fact that lQIsa\(^a\) also contains the reading ὑπὲρ, the question arises whether the author of this MS interpreted the text of Isa 23:10 (and of this chapter as a whole) in a similar way. This question, however, cannot be dealt with in this article, although, as I have tried to point out elsewhere, there is some evidence of fulfillment-interpretation in lQIsa\(^a\) also.
The above may have shown that it is worthwhile to study the text of the LXX Isa in a twofold way, namely, in relation to the MT Isa (including the evidence of the Qumran MSS of Isaiah) and on its own. Much more, of course, can and should be said about LXX Isa 23, but our short commentary on some verses of this chapter may suffice as an illustration of the way in which the author of the LXX Isa dealt with his Hebrew Vorlage and how he constructed his translation. Differences between the Hebrew and the Greek in the verses of Isa 23 which we discussed need not be explained as arising from a faulty knowledge of the Hebrew, from misreadings, etc., but are, in my opinion, rather to be seen as resulting from a well-reasoned interpretation of Isa 23 by means of certain techniques also known from other ancient Jewish exegesis. Some additions and omissions likewise are the result of a specific interpretation of this chapter. Too often translations like the LXX Isa as well as other books in the LXX are criticized on the basis of our philological criteria and from our exegetical view of the Hebrew text.

The question of the coherence of the Greek text of Isa 23 cannot be dealt with until a full treatment of this chapter has been carried out. Yet the verses we have commented on do show a meaningful coherence. It would not be fruitful to treat the "free renderings" in our verses as "isolated" ones.

Our short commentary, further, may have pointed out that LXX Isa 23 is not only a translation, but also a specific interpretation of this old Hebrew oracle against Tyre. The Greek text (of some verses) of LXX Isa 23 reflects the author's belief that the "vision of Tyre" once spoken and written by the prophet Isaiah was fulfilled in his own time, when Carthage was destroyed and Tyre was confronted with the consequences of the downfall of her mighty daughter. What is said about Daniel can be said about the author of LXX Isa 23: evidently he was qualified (and authorized!) to
interpret visions (see Dan 1:17).

Finally, one does not find our exegesis of these verses of LXX Isa 23 in the earliest (Christian) commentaries on the LXX Isa (of Eusebius, Jerome, and others). They connect the contents of this chapter with the siege of Tyre by King Nebuchadnezzar (cf. Ezek 26:7). One early Christian tradition, however, has interpreted LXX Isa 23, albeit in its Old Latin translation, as referring to Carthage, for two "capitula" (nos. LVIII and LVIII) from the African branch of the VL read as follows: "Sermo Domini super Cartaginem (23,1)," "Quod septuaginta annis esset deserta Cartago (23,14) et restitueretur."
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One cannot take it for granted, of course, that this and other readings in the *Vorlage* of the LXX Isa were also the original ones in the Hebrew text of the book of Isaiah.
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GUIDELINES FOR EDITIONS OF ARMEHIAN BIBLICAL TEXTS

Michael E. Stone and Claude E. Cox
Hebrew University and Brandon University

Considerable experience has been gained over the past decade in the preparing of critical editions of Armenian biblical texts and associated documents. Editions of a number of texts have appeared, and the preparation of others is underway. This makes the standardization of procedures very desirable, so that future editions form a coherent corpus of critically edited biblical texts.

Type of Edition

Most of the editions published so far have been diplomatic, minor editions. They were based on a carefully selected group of manuscripts, designed both to present the most valuable text type and also to represent the range of developments of the Armenian textual tradition. The base text for the edition should be the best isolable text form. The procedures for the selection were set forth by M. E. Stone in a series of articles in the 1970s and first applied in the selection of a base manuscript for an edition of Isaiah, and then of the manuscripts to be used in an edition of the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs. The first published edition based on this procedure was that of the Testament of Joseph. The procedures established by Stone were refined and improved by Cox in the preliminary work for his edition of Deuteronomy and this refined method was applied in that edition. This method of preparation has been found suitable in the present state of scholarship, since the number of manuscripts is usually very high, and the