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The Kärlich–Seeufer archaeological site in Germany’s central Rhineland was excavated between 1980 and 1992. The site provides evidence for hominid activity during a Middle Pleistocene interglaciation known up to now only from the Kärlich clay pit and therefore defined as the Kärlich Interglaciation, which is considered to be post-Cromer IV and pre-Holstein (sensu stricto) in age. The site is characterized by Acheulean artifacts, a fauna dominated by Elephas (Palaeoloxodon) antiquus, and a unique and outstanding preservation of wooden and other palaeobotanical remains. Assuming all finds are associated, the site previously was interpreted as an elephant hunting camp with a wooden structure, together with wood and bone implements preserved in situ. Recent analysis of the same features has shown that the site can also be interpreted as a reworked archaeological sample. Hominid occupation occurred in the vicinity of a small lake with prevailing meso-oligotrophic conditions. Expanding boreal forests and fen vegetation characterized the landscape.

INTRODUCTION

The discovery of lithics and bones in Middle Pleistocene interglacial sediments, with outstanding preservation of paleobotanical remains, led to the excavation of the Kärlich–Seeufer site. The study was undertaken in order to obtain data about the chronostratigraphy of Middle Pleistocene interglaciations and detailed information about palaeoenvironmental conditions during hominid occupation. Knowledge about utilization of wood for tool production had been expected.

TOPOGRAPHIC SETTING

The Middle Pleistocene open-air Kärlich–Seeufer site is located 7 km northwest of Koblenz in the Neuwied Basin of Germany (Fig. 1). The Neuwied Basin is part of a tectonic depression in the center of the Rheinisches Schiefergebirge. It is part of the West European Rift System that extends from the Rhône Valley through the Rhine Valley and the Netherlands to the North Sea (Fig. 1). The open-air site is situated in the Kärlich clay pit, at an altitude of 170 m.

GEOLOGY

The Kärlich clay pit has been the most important exposure for the Quaternary stratigraphy of the central Rhineland since the beginning of this century. More than 30 m of exposed Pleistocene sediments have been investigated for more than 80 yr.

The Pleistocene sequence (Fig. 2) begins with a gravel layer belonging to the main terrace (Hauptterrasse) of the river Rhine (Razi Rad, 1976). The lower part of the Hauptterrasse sediments were deposited by the Rhine (Fig. 2, Ba) and have reversed magnetic polarity, whereas the upper part was deposited by the Moselle River (Fig. 2, Bb). Normal magnetic polarity has been detected within these deposits as well as in all overlying beds. Therefore, the Brunhes/Matuyama boundary is located at the Rhine/Moselle gravel transition (Boenigk et al., 1974). The coverbeds consist of ca. 28 m of loess and loess derivatives with intercalated interglacial soils and tephra layers (Brunnacker et al., 1969; Schirmer, 1990).

Volcanic activity in the central Rhineland is first documented
FIG. 1. Map of the study area showing location of the Kärlich–Secufer site.
in Unit G (Fig. 2) of the Kärlich sequence by volcanic minerals and basaltic tephra layers. Unit G also contains an interglacial micromammal fauna characterized by the first occurrence of *Arvicola terrestris cantiana* (v. Kolfschoten and Turner, 1996). Biostratigraphically, the microfauna can be related to the lower part of the *Arvicola terrestris cantiana* range zone, which is correlated with the Cromer IV Interglaciation of the Dutch Pleistocene stratigraphy (v. Kolfschoten, 1990).

The loessic sediments of the overlying unit H (Fig. 2) contain two intercalated layers of pumiceous tephra (Fig. 2, Kae-DT1 and Kae-DT2). Dating of the sediment sequence and the tephra layers by the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar stepheating method (for Kae-DT1; Lippolt et al., 1986) and by $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar single crystal laser grain method (for Kae-DT2; v. d. Bogaard and Schmincke, 1990) is still inconclusive, but it seems most likely that the two tephra layers in unit H have an age of about 450,000 yr. Unit H is topped by a reworked soil with an interglacial molluscan fauna (Brunneracker et al., 1969) representing the first part of the Kärlich Interglaciation. The following layer is the Kärlicher Brockentuff (Fig. 2), a tephra horizon up to 6 m thick formed by several monogenetic phreatomagmatic eruptions that formed maar-like craters (Frechen, 1995).

On top of the Brockentuff, lacustrine sediments accumulated in a former depression now exposed only within the southeast corner of the Kärlich clay pit. Although the exact origin of the depression has been debated (Bosinski et al., 1980; v. d. Bogaard et al., 1989), a volcanic–tectonic origin seems most likely in view of the presence of phreatomagmatic eruption centers of one or several maars east of the southeast corner of the clay pit. This interpretation is also supported by the presence of lake sediments within the depression that indicate a plateau position (Boenigk and Frechen, in press a).

Immediately after the formation of the depression, minor earth slides occurred that moved Moselle River gravel, loess,
and loess derivatives of the older part of the Pleistocene Kärlich sequence into the depression. Subsequently, slope debris, mainly reworked Brockentuff, was deposited (Fig. 3, unit a). Next, clay (Fig. 3, unit b) accumulated and a lake formed. The sediments of this lake become finer toward the top of the sequence, with an increase in the organic content of the sediment. In a period of stability, resulting in a very low sedimentation rate, the depression was filled with detrital muds (Fig. 3, unit c) which are finer toward the center and coarser toward the edge of the lake. The overlying sediments yield the archaeological finds and consist of several layers of sandy debris flows, which are up to 2.4 m thick (Fig. 3, unit d) at the edge of the depression. Finer-grained mudflow units are stratigraphically equivalent sediments toward the center of the depression. They represent a short period of time when the land surface was unstable and point to the destruction of the forest vegetation at the end of the Kärlich Interglaciation.

Lacustrine sediments, as well as the artifact-yielding deposits, represent the second part of the Kärlich Interglaciation. Only the first part of the interglaciation, documented by the mollusc fauna below the Brockentuff, was originally defined as the Kärlich Interglaciation (Brunnacker et al., 1969). The same term was also used for warm-climate deposits above the Brockentuff discovered 10 yr later, assuming that these sediments belong to the same warm phase (Urban, 1978; Bittmann, 1992). This is indicated by macroscopic remains of _Acer campestre_ and _Cornus mas_ found at the base of the Brockentuff (Schirmer, 1990), showing that the Brockentuff erupted during an interglaciation.

From a geologic point of view, it cannot be excluded that the soil yielding the molluscs, now representing the Kärlich Interglaciation I, was reworked by periglacial processes. Therefore, the possibility of two interglaciations has to be considered. For this reason a subdivision of the Kärlich Interglaciation (Kärlich Interglaciation I below the Brockentuff, Kärlich Interglaciation II above the Brockentuff) has been suggested (Boenigk and Frechen, in press b).

Above the sediments representing the Kärlich Interglaciation, II mudflow layers, waterlain clay and silt and three horizons rich in organic matter were deposited in a sequence up to 2 m thick (Fig. 3, units e–i). These document a swampy environment with some open patches of water. Above the uppermost layer of organic material (Fig. 3, unit i) within this sequence a significant change in sedimentation took place. The absence of a permanent lake or swamp is indicated by a sequence of well-layered sediments rich in clay and up to 10 m thick (Fig. 3, unit k), showing a change in color from dark gray and black to brown. Sedimentation was controlled by slope wash and the sediments alternate from fine to coarse silty sand. Two light-gray soils (pseudogleys) are intercalated in this sequence, documenting an interruption in sedimentation. The top of the sequence is characterized by a clay-rich brown soil, a remnant of the Bt horizon (Fig. 3, unit l) of a well-developed forest soil (Parabraunerde). At the Seeufer site, the uppermost sediments consist of unweathered yellowish loess (Fig. 3, unit m), rich in carbonate.

**GEOCHRONOLOGICAL POSITION**

Palaeontological investigations of small mammals from the upper part of Unit G of the Kärlich clay pit characterize the microfaunal assemblage as belonging to the _Arvicola terrestris cantiana—Sorex-(Drepanosorex sp.) Concurrent—range-subzone, which is assigned to Interglaciation**

Deposits of the Kärlich Interglaciation I and II are separated from Unit G by a horizon with ice wedges (Fig. 2), suggesting an age younger than that of Cromer IV, known hitherto as the youngest interglaciation of the Cromer Complex in the Dutch Pleistocene stratigraphy (Zagwijn, 1985).

Attempts to date the Brockentuff tephra, which separates deposits of the Kärlich Interglaciation I and II, gave a maximum age of 396,000 ± 20,000 yr (=OIS 11) and older than OIS 7. However, bearing in mind the possibility that Kärlich Interglaciation I and II deposits might represent two interglaciations, we cannot exclude a younger age (OIS 9) for the Seeufer site.

PALEOBOTANY

Palynological studies yielded important results for reconstructing the environmental and climatic conditions at the archaeological site (Bittmann, 1995). Paleobotanical studies were carried out on the limnic and telmatic (swampy) sediments directly above the reworked Brockentuff (Fig. 3, unit a). Some of these interfinger with the debris-flow sediments containing the archaeological finds. Altogether, five pollen profiles were studied; two near the excavated area were intended to characterize the interglaciation, and three within the excavated area to characterize the environment during the deposition of the archaeological assemblage. Six major units with 14 local pollen zones (lpz) were recognized in the pollen diagrams, spanning the Kärlich Interglaciation (II) and the onset of the following glaciation (Fig. 4).

**Quercetum mixtum Period (lpz 1–3)**

This period is characterized by mixed oak forest and is considered the climatic optimum of the interglaciation. Thermophilous taxa like Celtis, C. mas, Hedera, and Syringa reach their maximum values in the pollen diagrams. In addition, the water fern Azolla filiculoides was found in this zone only. The vegetation of the lake indicates a transition from mesotrophic (lpz 1–2a) to eutrophic conditions (lpz 2b–3).

**Carpinus and Quercetum mixtum Period (lpz 4–7)**

At the beginning of this period hornbeam became the dominant tree. The presence of fen vegetation indicates meso-eutrophic conditions in the lake from the end of lpz 4 onward. During this period the climate began to cool slightly at the beginning of lpz 6 and more pronouncedly at the transition to lpz 7a and 7b, the equivalent of the archaeological horizon (Fig. 3, unit d). Boreal forests and fen vegetation expanded. Meso-oligotrophic conditions prevailed in the lake (lpz 7).

**Pinus Period (lpz 8)**

During this period telmatic (swampy) conditions predominated in the area of the lake. A peat layer with many Menthae seeds records the almost complete infilling of the lake. Pinus invaded in these areas and is therefore overrepresented in the pollen diagram.

**Mühlheim I Stade (lpz 9–10)**

Tundra vegetation dominated the landscape during this period, when further cooling of the climate is indicated. At the end, a lake again developed (limnic conditions in lpz 10).

**Kettig Interstade (lpz 11)**

Climatic amelioration during this period can be inferred and resulted in a small expansion of Quercus and Corylus. The second infilling of the lake, at the end, can be regarded as the result of a higher organic production rate in a temperate climate.

**Mühlheim II Stade (lpz 12–14)**

Tundra vegetation again developed. In the course of this period, the climatic conditions improved once more (lpz 13).
FIG. 4. Simplified percentage pollen diagram (based on the total terrestrial pollen sum less Corylus), stratigraphy, and pollen zones from the Kürlich clay pit.
but much more weakly than during the Kettig Interstade. The lake become totally infilled. At the limit of Ipz 13 to 14 the vegetation changed suddenly, indicating a transition of climatic conditions from cold and wet tundra to cold and dry steppe. This abrupt change marks a hiatus of unknown duration.

Discussion

The pollen profiles representing the Kärlich Interglaciation II show a range from the optimum phase of an interglaciation, with high amount of thermophilous elements, to glacial conditions. The distinct division of the Kärlich sequence into a phase with a well-developed and predominant Quercetum mixtum followed by a phase with the dominance of Carpinus and Abies is typical for the warm stages of the Cromer Complex (Zagwijn, 1992). Most likely, the sequence corresponds to the second optimum of the Cromer Interglaciation from Bilshausen (Niedersachsen, Germany) (Bittmann and Müller, 1996). The so-called Rhume Interglaciation from Bilshausen lasted more than 25,000 yr, as indicated by counts of laminated lake sediments. It was interrupted by a strong climatic deterioration (<1000 yr) which transformed the interglacial vegetation into pine–birch forests. Afterward, climatic conditions improved and a mixed oak forest again developed. Fir needed nearly 2000 yr to immigrate.

Figure 5 compares the corresponding parts of the Kärlich and Bilshausen diagrams. Differences between the two are due to biogeographical reasons. Species with an Atlantic to Subatlantic distribution like Hedera, Ilex (not figured), and Corylus reach higher values in the Kärlich sequence, whereas the continentally distributed Picea is more important at Bilshausen.

A situation comparable to Bilshausen could be postulated for the Kärlich sequence. The interglacial molluscan fauna recovered below the Brockentuff (here referred to as Kärlich Interglaciation I) might be equivalent to the first optimum of the Rhume Interglaciation. As a consequence, the soil yielding the interglacial molluscs should have been reworked during the strong climatic deterioration. Possible soil reworking by periglacial processes cannot be excluded. Therefore, the possibility of two interglaciations interrupted by a glaciation must be considered. However, the botanical results make this rather unlikely.

Correlations of geologic and pollen profiles showed that the debris-flow sediments yielding the archaeological finds were deposited between local pollen zone 6 (Carpinus and Q. mixtum period) and local pollen zone 7b of the Kärlich Interglaciation II (Gaudzinski, in press).

FAUNAL EVIDENCE

Ca. 1100 bones were recovered at the Kärlich–Seeufer site. The faunal assemblage consists of 7 species (Table 1). Small mammal remains were recorded from the archaeological horizon. A few Microtus molars were collected from loess-like deposits in the upper part of the sequence and correlated with Kärlich J (Fig. 2).

The large mammal assemblage consists mainly of species preferring forested conditions and commonly regarded as indicators of an interglacial context (e.g., Elephas (Palaeoloxodon) antiquus, Sus scrofa). These species occur together with species preferring an open environment (e.g., Rangifer). The combination of Rangifer sp. and E. (Palaeoloxodon) antiquus is unusual. The presence of large mammals preferring open conditions, such as Rangifer, corresponds to the presence of floral elements, indicating cold climatic conditions, such as Larix, found in the same stratigraphic position within the Seeufer site. In degree of preservation, the antler base of Rangifer found at Kärlich does not differ from bones of other species. Recent investigations of German postglacial sites have shown that Rangifer appeared during the Boreal interval in the context of a forested environment, together with a fauna preferring forested conditions (Steppan, 1993). Although these aspects suggest that the Kärlich–Seeufer faunal assemblage is probably autochthonous or parautochthonous, it cannot be excluded that the antler base of Rangifer represents a reworked faunal element, originally not belonging to the faunal assemblage.

ARCHAEOLOGY

The Seeufer site was excavated from 1980 to 1982 and again from 1987 until 1992 by Bosinski (Bosinski et al., 1980), Happe, Kulemeyer (1988), and especially by Kröger (Kröger et al., 1991). Altogether 417 m² was excavated. The archaeological assemblage was subsequently studied in detail, together with the fauna, by Sabine Gaudzinski.

At the Kärlich–Seeufer site the sediments yielding the finds were deposited in a depression as debris flows during the Kärlich Interglaciation II. The excavated assemblage is dominated by more than 12,000 paleobotanical remains. Wood, hazelnuts, and other fruits are present (Fig. 6). Determinations of wood species were undertaken by Bittmann (Bittmann, 1995; Gaudzinski, in press), and 19 taxa were identified. Quercus and Abies dominate the tree spectrum and are preserved as trunks and branches. Nearly 90% of the wood is <1 m long but one 6.5-m trunk was recovered (Table 2).

Vertical and horizontal sorting of the wood pieces by species and size can be observed within the sediments, suggesting that the remains represent only a small number of individuals. This assumption is confirmed by dendrochronological investigations done by Leuschner. Relative dating implies that all 19 samples collected belong to only three different trees (Gaudzinski, in press). Size- and/or weight-dependent spatial distribution patterns together with a regular vertical distribution of finds can be interpreted as the result of rebedding processes (Schick, 1986). According to Spicer (1989) most fossil floral assemblages were accumulated, or at least affected, by transport mechanisms.
FIG. 5. Comparison of the corresponding parts from the Kärlich and Bilshausen pollen diagrams.
TABLE 1
List of Species from Kärlich–Seeufer Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>MNI*</th>
<th>NISP*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panthera leo ssp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephas (Palaeoloxodon) antiquus</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>101'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equus sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sus scrofa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervus elaphus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cf. Rangifer sp.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bos vel Bison</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MNI, minimum number of individuals per taxon.
* NISP, number of individual specimen per taxon.
' One hundred thirteen small ivory fragments not included.
' Rangifer sp. is represented by the basal part of a shed antler only.

Two "pointed" branches were recovered, but as pointing of branches is typical for abrasional processes in the case of transported trees (Spicer, 1989), hominid association with these fragments can almost certainly be excluded. Unambiguous traces of hominid interference were not detected in the wood material.

At the Kärlich–Seeufer site the sorting of wood can best be explained by postulating that most of the wood was redeposited with the debris-flow sediments. Sediments and wood were deposited on the edge of and within a swamp. These processes were responsible not only for the accumulation of the wood but also for at least part of the accumulation of artifacts and bones. Within the sediments a coherent archaeological find horizon was not observed. The vertical distribution of stone artifacts can be as great at 150 cm within 1 m², and for the wooden remains 130 cm. The bones were scattered through the deposits over a vertical distance of as much as 211 cm within 1 m². Moreover, the presence of rolled artifacts and the taphonomy of the bones point to the mixed character of the artifact and bone assemblage.

The lithic assemblage consists of ca. 60 unmodified flakes; more than 50 retouched artifacts, most of them simple scrapers; 11 single-platformed unifacial or simple-platformed bifacial cores; 3 bifaces; and 4 cleavers (Figs. 7 and 8). Most of the artifacts are not easy to assess typologically. Only the bifaces place the whole assemblage in an Acheulean context. Simple modified flakes, as well as tools, are characterized by a high proportion of cortex; dorsal flake patterns resulting from previous knapping are rare. The number of dorsal scars rarely exceeds three and most striking platforms are cortical. Cleavers and bifaces were generally modified only to a minor extent since the form of the raw material used for manufacturing was chosen to be of similar shape to the desired finished tool.

With one exception only raw material from the local gravels or the Brockentuff was used for stone tool production: Devonian quartzite and quartz are dominant. A scraper made of Tertiary quartzite testifies to the exploitation of raw-material resources ca. 4 km distant from the site (Figs. 8.9).

That stone tool production occurred at or near the site is shown by refitting studies and the presence of cores and cortical flakes (Fig. 8). Although most of the artifacts are fresh and have sharp edges, the presence of some rolled artifacts indicates that the Seeufer assemblage might be a mixture of lithics from different time periods and locations (Gaudzinski, in press).

No causal relationship can be demonstrated between the presence of the stone artifacts and the faunal remains. The bones are almost complete. Long bone fragments larger than 10 cm and characterized by spiral fracture are extremely

FIG. 6. Hazelnut and twig from the archaeological horizon of the Kärlich–Seeufer site.
TABLE 2
Wooden Remains from the Kärlisch—Seeufer Site (n = 7331; Only Pieces with Excavation Coordinates without Characoals Included)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxaceae</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxus (yew)</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>4300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinaceae</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abies (fir)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picea/Larix (spruce/larch)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus (pine)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salicaceae</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix (willow)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betulaceae</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula (birch)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpinus (hornbeam)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corlyus (hazel)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAGACEAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus (oak)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulmaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umlus (elm)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celtis/Zelkova</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSACEAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomoideae-type (pomaceous fruit)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus-type (stone-fruit)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acer (maple)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus (dogwoods)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus (ashes)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caprifoliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonicera (honeysuckle)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambacaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambucus (elder)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In summary, the faunal assemblage from the Kärlisch-Seeufer site gives the impression of a palimpsest deposit. It was not possible to identify elements that might have been the result of human interference. Though hominid interference cannot be convincingly demonstrated for the faunal and wood assemblage, the most reasonable interpretation is that the site represents remains of hominid activities in the vicinity. The quality and composition of the artifacts indicates that most of the tools represent a homogeneous assemblage. This is suggested by similar proportioned and flaked bifacial tools and the uniform preservation of most of the tool surfaces. Although only one refit comprising three simple flakes is present, a range of artifacts was produced from exactly the same raw material type. Moreover, most of the stone tools seem to be more-or-less contemporary with the sediment in which they were buried, for most are characterized by extremely sharp edges. Although the faunal assemblage seems to reflect a palimpsest deposit, mammal species representation is typical of an interglacial context. Preservation of wood requires rapid burial, and so the contemporaneity of floral remains and enclosing sediments seems plausible.

DISCUSSION

Stratigraphy

Apart from its archaeological record, the Kärlisch—Seeufer site provides a basis for further discussion of the chronostratigraphic position of Middle Pleistocene interglaciations. The Kärlisch Interglaciation is characterized by a distinct division into a phase with a well-developed and predominant Q. mixtun phase followed by a phase dominated by Carpinus and Abies, typical of warm stages of the Cromer Complex.

Among the known Cromer sites, the Kärlisch sequence is in good agreement with the second optimum of the bipartite sequence of the Rhume Interglaciation of Bilshausen (Lower Saxony, Germany; Bittmann and Müller, 1996) which is overlain by clay of Elster glacial age. This, together with the geological context and dates for the Kärlisch Interglaciation II, makes a chronological position between Cromer IV and the Holstein Interglaciation most likely. Interglacial sequences between the Cromer IV and the Holstein Interglaciation were reported by Klostermann (1995) [i.e., Römerhof Interglaciation (Urban, 1980) situated within the Elster Complex] and from Poland (Ferdynandov Interglaciation situated above (Janczyk-Kopikowa, 1975; Krzyszkowski, 1995) or between sediments of Elster glacial age (Rzechowski, 1996]). However, the Ferdynandov Interglaciation was unknown from German sites. Nevertheless, there are similarities between the Ferdynandov and Bilshausen/Kärlisch interglaciations: two optima, the lower one without Carpinus pollen grains (Ferdynandov) or with very low values (Bilshausen), the upper optimum with a large amount of Carpinus. The main difference between the Rhune Interglaciation of Bilshausen and the Ferdynandov Interglaciation is the

rare. Direct traces of human interference in the form of cut and fracture marks on the bones are lacking, but carnivore modification can be observed on the bones (n = 11). Analy- sis of mortality structures or skeletal element representation is difficult due to the small sample.

Scarcity of postcranial elements in the faunal assemblage likely is not the result of diagenetic events. For E. (Palaeo-Loxodonz) antiquus, C. elaphus, and Bos vel Bison, the presence of teeth (high-density skeletal element; Lyman, 1994) and vertebrae (low-density skeletal element; Lyman, 1994) is documented. Assuming bone destruction by diagenetic processes after burial, low-density elements can be expected to be rare or absent. The absence of certain skeletal elements must be related to a primary modification of the thanatocoe- tone prior to burial or other site formation processes. Different degrees of abiotic taphonomic processes (e.g., abrasion and weathering) on the bone surfaces of all species testify to different necrologies (Fig. 9).
stratigraphic position, interpreted as being below (Bils-hausen) and above (Ferdynandov) Elster sediments.

An Eemian Interglacial age or an age younger than the Holstein Interglacial, as suggested by Urban (1983), can be excluded for the Kärlich Interglacialiation because of palynological characteristics of the pollen sequences studied by Bittmann (1995). A correlation with the Holstein Interglacialiation sensu stricto is also not possible due to the low percentages of conifers, the unimportant role of Alnus, and the large amount of Q. mixtum and Carpinus. The same is true for the Wacken Interglacialation (Menke, 1968) and Dömnitz Interglacialation (Erd, 1973) which are very similar to the Holstein Interglacialation but differ from it in the lack of fir and in having lower values of thermophilous species.

The chronological position of the Holstein Interglacialation has been the subject of intense discussion. Correlation with marine isotope stage 7 was advocated by several authors using different methods, e.g., Miller and Mangerud (1986), Sejrup and Knudsen (1993, amino acids), Bombien (1987), Linke et al. (1986, ESR), and Schwarz and Grün (1988, ESR, U-series). Other workers correlate the Holstein Interglacialation with isotope stage 9 (Zagwijn, 1992, based on geology and palynology), stage 11 (Samtheim et al., 1986, based on ESR and U-series ages; de Beaulieu and Reille, 1995, based on palynology), or with stage 13 (Manig, 1993, based on geomorphology). Assuming that the Kärlich Interglacialation I and II represent a single interglacialation, the geologic position and geophysical data show that the Kärlich Interglacialation most likely belongs to stage 11. As a consequence, correlation of the Holstein Interglacialation with stage 11 can be excluded.
FIG. 8. Scrapers and simple flakes from the Kärlich-Seeufer site. 1, 3–7, and 10, Devonian quartzite; 2, 8, and 9, Tertiary quartzite.
FIG. 9. Skull fragments from the Kärlich–Seeufer site. (Right) Fragment of a basioccipitale with left condylus occipitale from Bos or Bison preserved in a very fresh condition. (Left) Heavily abraded skull fragment.

Archaeology

The sediments and finds recovered at the Kärlich–Seeufer site were deposited on the edge of and within a former swamp exposed at the Kärlich clay pit (Rhineland, Germany). Although the Kärlich Seeufer site yielded a reworked archaeological sample, it proves that Middle Pleistocene hominids inhabited forested environments and shows that a much wider range of environments was exploited by palaeolithic occupants of northern Europe during the Middle Pleistocene than has been acknowledged on the basis of some recent ecological approaches (e.g., Gamble, 1986). The Kärlich–Seeufer site is important for the reconstruction of ecological conditions during a Middle Pleistocene interglacial phase and is a good example of the complexity of our geological records, in which hominids are only one variable.

Assuming the association of all finds, the site was interpreted as an elephant hunting camp as early as 1982 when only 50 m² had been excavated. During the 1982 campaign, the first, badly preserved wood fragments came to light and were immediately interpreted as wooden spears (Bosinski, 1982; Kulemeyer, 1988). During the following years, when the wood accumulation was discovered, an artificial wooden construction was added to this interpretation as well as other elements imagined to characterize the Lower Palaeolithic (Krüger et al., 1991). Only when the site was analyzed in detail, taking into account the several geological processes that affected it, did it become obvious that a more conservative interpretation of the site is called for.

Together with Schöningen (Germany) (Thieme and Maier, 1995) and Benot Ya’aqov (Israel) (Goren-Inbar et al., 1992), the Kärlich–Seeufer site is one of the rare Lower Palaeolithic sites where wood remains have been preserved. Wooden spears and other wooden tools recently discovered at Schöningen show that the Lower Palaeolithic contains more than lithic tools. This is also underlined by the archaeological record from Castel di Guido (Italy) (Boschian, 1993), Fontana Ranuccio (Italy) (Segre et al., 1987), and Bilzingsleben (Germany) (Mania and Weber, 1986) where numerous bone tools have been discovered. Although at the Seeufer site the preservation of ca. 12,000 wood items is unique and outstanding, evidence for hominid interaction with this wood is missing.

The Kärlich–Seeufer site forms part of an assemblage, together with other Middle Pleistocene open-air sites, where faunal assemblages are dominated by E. (Palaeoloxodon) antiquus, although the data are still inadequate for specific interpretations. Among the sites are Torralba and Ambroina in Spain (Santonja and Villa, 1990; Howell et al., 1995). As at the Kärlich–Seeufer site, both Torralba and Ambroina have previously been interpreted as localities where elephants were killed by hominids. At least for Ambroina, taphonomic and zooarchaeological investigations (e.g., Klein, 1987), as well as quality and composition of the artifact assemblage, indicate
that the record was severely affected by fluvial reworking. Although hominin interaction with the elephant assemblage is indicated by a few cut-marked bones (Shipman and Rose, 1983), hominin activity at the site is considered to have been minimal and discontinuous (Santonja and Villa, 1990). As Villa (1990) pointed out, exploitation of elephant remains by hominids also is ambiguous at Torralba.

Unambiguous functional interpretations of stone tool/fauna associations are equally impossible for a few sites in Italy, where faunal assemblages are dominated by *Elephas (Palaeoloxodon) antiquus* (e.g., Rebibbia, La Polledrara, and Fontana Ranuccio). The topographic and geologic situations of the sites are connected with a fluvial or a limnic setting. The Rebibbia assemblage is interpreted as fluvially reworked material, coming from various sources and accumulated by hydrologic processes (Anzidei and Ruffo, 1985). At Fontana Ranuccio, the archaeological material was located directly below a soliflucted horizon (Biddittu et al., 1979). Because the bones are highly fragmented and bone surfaces show scratches, it remains unclear to what degree the archaeological layer was affected by the processes that disturbed the overlying sediments. La Polledrara (Italy) reflects *in situ* deposition of artifacts and bones within a marshy environment connected with a river bed. The taphonomic studies are not yet finished. Although hunting activities are not ruled out at La Polledrara, at least some of the animals may have died a natural death, and hominids possibly took advantage of this situation (Anzidei and Arnoldus-Huyzendveld, 1992).

In contrast to the ambiguous evidence from the sites mentioned above, several Middle and Upper Pleistocene *in situ* records indicate a clear hominid interference with elephant carcasses (e.g., Notachirico, Italy (Cassoli et al., 1993); Aréidos 1 and 2, Spain (Santonja and Villa, 1990); Gröbern, Germany (Erfurt and Mania, 1990); Lehringen, Germany (Thieme and Veil, 1985)). The presence of large elephant bones from a single old individual, cut-marks on the bone surfaces, a close association of elephant remains and stone tools on the same paleosurface, and the homogeneity of the lithic assemblage indicated by a homogeneous fresh state of preservation and several lithic conjoins are elements which characterize most of these sites. Collectively, they suggest that Middle and Upper Pleistocene hominids interacted with elephant carcasses in a quite organized manner. The fact that records from such sites as La Polledrara differ remarkably from the single carcass sites might be an expression of a different mode of meat acquisition, but could also reflect different burial and/or site histories.
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